



## Event-specific Method for the Quantification of Maize Line MIR604 Using Real-time PCR

## **Validation Report**

30 March 2010

## Joint Research Centre Institute for Health and Consumer Protection Molecular Biology and Genomics Unit

## **Executive Summary**

The JRC as Community Reference Laboratory for GM Food and Feed (CRL-GMFF), established by Regulation (EC) No 1829/2003, in collaboration with the European Network of GMO Laboratories (ENGL), has carried out a collaborative study to assess the performance of a quantitative event-specific method to detect and quantify the MIR604 transformation event in maize DNA (unique identifier SYN-IR6 $\emptyset$ 4-5). The collaborative study was conducted according to internationally accepted guidelines <sup>(1, 2)</sup>.

In accordance to Regulation (EC) No 1829/2003 of 22 September 2003 on genetically modified food and feed and with Regulation (EC) No 641/2004 of 6 April 2004 on detailed rules for the implementation of Regulation (EC) No 1829/2003, Syngenta Seeds S.A.S. provided the detection method and the samples (genomic DNA extracted from wild-type and 100% maize MIR604 event). The JRC prepared the validation samples (calibration samples and blind samples at unknown GM percentage [DNA/DNA]). The international collaborative study involved fourteen laboratories from ten European Countries.

The results of the international collaborative study met the ENGL performance requirements and the scientific understanding about satisfactory method performance. Therefore, the CRL-GMFF considers the method validated as fit for the purpose of regulatory compliance.

The results of the collaborative study are made publicly available at <u>http://gmo-crl.jrc.ec.europa.eu/</u>.

*Drafted by:* C. Savini

Report Verification Team: 1) W. Moens

2) M. Querci

*Scientific and technical approval:* M. Mazzara

*Compliance with CRL Quality System:* S. Cordeil

*Authorisation to publish:* G. Van den Eede

#### Address of contact laboratory:

European Commission, Directorate General-Joint Research Centre Institute for Health and Consumer Protection (IHCP) Molecular Biology and genomics Unit – Community Reference Laboratory for GM Food and Feed Via Fermi 2749, 21027 Ispra (VA) - Italy

## **Report on Steps 1-3 of the Validation Process**

Syngenta Seeds S.A.S. submitted the detection method and control samples for maize event MIR604 (unique identifier SYN-IR6 $\emptyset$ 4-5) under Article 5 and 17 of Regulation (EC) No 1829/2003 of the European Parliament and of the Council "on genetically modified food and feed".

The Community Reference Laboratory for GM Food and Feed (CRL-GMFF), following reception of the documentation and material, including control samples, (<u>step 1</u> of the validation process) carried out the scientific assessment of documentation and data (<u>step 2</u>) in accordance with Commission Regulation (EC) No 641/2004 "on detailed rules for the implementation of Regulation (EC) No 1829/2003 of the European Parliament and of the Council as regards the application for the authorisation of new genetically modified food and feed, the notification of existing products and adventitious or technically unavoidable presence of genetically modified material which has benefited from a favourable risk evaluation" and according to its operational procedures ("Description of the CRL-GMFF Validation Process", <u>http://gmo-crl.jrc.ec.europa.eu/guidancedocs.htm</u>).

The scientific assessment focused on the method performance characteristics assessed against the method acceptance criteria set out by the European Network of GMO Laboratories and listed in the "Definition of Minimum Performance Requirements for Analytical Methods of GMO Testing" (<u>http://gmo-crl.jrc.ec.europa.eu/guidancedocs.htm</u>) (see Annex 1 for a summary of method acceptance criteria and method performance requirements). During step 2 and step 3 (scientific assessment of documentation and data and experimental testing of the samples and methods, respectively) six scientific assessments were performed and requests of complementary information addressed to the applicant. Upon reception of complementary information, the scientific evaluation of the detection method for event MIR604 was positively concluded in August 2006.

Between January 2006 and January 2007, the CRL-GMFF verified experimentally the method characteristics (<u>step 3</u>, experimental testing of the samples and methods) by quantifying five blind GM levels within the range 0.1%-6.0% on a copy number basis. The experiments were performed in repeatability conditions and demonstrated that the PCR efficiency, linearity, accuracy and precision of the quantifications were within the limits established by the ENGL acceptance criteria. The DNA extraction module of the method was tested on samples of food and feed.

A Technical Report summarising the results of tests carried out by the CRL-GMFF (step 3) is available on request.

## Content

1.	INTRODUCTION
2.	LIST OF PARTICIPATING LABORATORIES 6
3.	MATERIALS
4.	EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN
5.	METHOD
	DESCRIPTION OF OPERATIONAL STEPS FOLLOWED
6.	DEVIATIONS REPORTED
7.	SUMMARY OF RESULTS
	PCR EFFICIENCY AND LINEARITY
8.	METHOD PERFORMANCE REQUIREMENTS 12
9.	CONCLUSIONS
10.	QUALITY ASSURANCE
11.	REFERENCES
12.	ANNEX 1: METHOD ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA AND METHOD PERFORMANCE REQUIREMENTS AS SET BY THE EUROPEAN NETWORK OF GMO LABORATORIES (ENGL)

## 1. Introduction

Syngenta Seeds S.A.S. submitted the detection method and control samples for maize event MIR604 (unique identifier SYN-IR6 $\emptyset$ 4-5) in accordance to Articles 5 and 17 of Regulation (EC) No 1829/2003 of the European Parliament and of the Council "on genetically modified food and feed".

The Directorate General-Joint Research Centre (JRC, Biotechnology and GMOs Unit of the Institute for Health and Consumer Protection) as Community Reference Laboratory for GM Food and Feed [see Regulation (EC) No 1829/2003] organised the international collaborative study for the event-specific method for the detection and quantification of MIR604 maize. The study involved fourteen laboratories, members of the European Network of GMO Laboratories (ENGL).

Upon reception of method, samples and related data (step 1), the JRC carried out the assessment of the documentation (step 2) and the in-house evaluation of the method (step 3), according to the requirements of Regulation (EC) No 641/2004 and following its operational procedures.

The internal in-house experimental evaluation of the method was carried out in January-November 2006.

Following the evaluation of the data and the results of the in-house laboratory tests, the international collaborative study was organised (step 4) and took place in December 2006.

A method for DNA extraction from ground maize seeds, submitted by the applicant, was evaluated by the CRL-GMFF; laboratory testing of the method was carried out between March 2006 and January 2007 in order to confirm its performance characteristics. The protocol for DNA extraction and a report on method testing is available at <a href="http://gmo-crl.jrc.ec.europa.eu/">http://gmo-crl.jrc.ec.europa.eu/</a>

The operational procedure of the collaborative study included the following module:

✓ Quantitative real-time PCR (Polymerase Chain Reaction). The methodology is an event-specific real-time quantitative TaqMan<sup>®</sup> PCR procedure for the determination of the relative content of event MIR604 DNA to total maize DNA. The procedure is a simplex system, in which a maize *Adh1* (*Alcohol dehydrogenase*) endogenous assay (reference gene) and the target assay (MIR604) are performed in separate wells.

The international collaborative study was carried out in accordance with the following internationally accepted guidelines:

- ✓ ISO 5725 (1994).
- ✓ The IUPAC "Protocol for the design, conduct and interpretation of methodperformance studies" (Horwitz, 1995).

## 2. List of participating laboratories

As part of the international collaborative study the method was tested in fourteen ENGL laboratories to determine its performance. Clear guidance was given to the laboratories with regards to the standard operational procedures to follow for the common execution of the protocol. The participating laboratories are listed in alphabetical order in Table 1.

## Table 1. Laboratories participating in the validation of the detection method for maize line MIR604.

Laboratory	Country		
AGES – Austrian Agency for Health and Food Safety	Austria		
Bayerisches Landesamt für Gesundheit und Lebensmittelsicherheit	Germany		
Bundesinstitut für Risikobewertung (BfR)	Germany		
Danish Plant Directorate - Laboratory for diagnostics in Plants, Seed and Feed	Denmark		
Ente Nazionale Sementi Elette/ Laboratorio Analisi Sementi	Italy		
Food and Consumer Product Safety Authority (VWA)	Finland		
General Chemical State Laboratory, Food Division, Ministry of Economy and	Greece		
Finance			
GIP-GEVES	France		
Institute for Agricultural & Fisheries Research (ILVO)	Belgium		
Institut Scientifique de Santé Publique	Belgium		
Istituto Superiore di Sanita' – Laboratorio di Chimica dei Cereali	Italy		
Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale Lazio e Toscana	Italy		
National Institute of Biology	Slovenia		
Scottish Agricultural Science Agency	United Kingdom		

## 3. Materials

For the validation of the quantitative event-specific method, control samples consisting of:

- *i)* a DNA stock solution homozygous for the GM-event MIR604 (inbred NP2644CRW604) and
- *ii)* a non-GM DNA stock solution extracted from a near-isogenic wild-type counterpart (NP2391)

were provided by the applicant in accordance to the provisions of Regulation (EC) No 1829/2003, Art 2.11 ["control sample defined as the GMO or its genetic material (positive sample) and the parental organism or its genetic material that has been used for the purpose of the genetic modification (negative sample)].

Samples containing mixtures of 100% MIR604 and non-GM maize genomic DNA at different GMO concentrations were prepared by the CRL-GMFF, using the control samples provided, in a constant amount of total maize DNA.

Participants received the following materials:

- ✓ Five calibration samples (200  $\mu$ l of DNA solution each) for the preparation of the standard curve, denominated from 0405S1 to 0405S5 (below referred as S1 to S5).
- ✓ Twenty unknown DNA samples (100 µl of DNA solution each), denominated from 0405U1 to 0405U20.
- ✓ Amplification reagent control for use on each PCR plate.
- ✓ Reaction reagents, primers and probes for the *Adh1* reference gene and for the MIR604 specific systems as follows:

50x Zm Adh1 Endogenous Assay Stock, 1 vial	130 μl
50x Event MIR604 Assay Stock, 1 vial	130 μl
Sigma Jumpstart Ready mix 2x, 1 vial	8 ml
Sulforhodamine 1.5 mM, 1 vial	200 μl
Sterile distilled water, 1 vial	5 ml

Sulforhodamine was provided for equipment calibration purposes.

Table 2 shows the GM contents of the unknown samples (denominated from 0405U1 to 0405U20) distributed to the study participants.

MIR604 GM %
(GM copy number/maize genome copy number *100)
0.1
0.4
0.9
2.5
6.0

## 4. Experimental design

Twenty unknown samples (0405U1-0405U20), representing five GM levels, were used in the validation study. On each PCR plate, samples were analysed in parallel with both the MIR604 and *Adh1* specific system. In total, two plates were run per participating laboratory, with two replicates for each GM level analysed on each run. In total, four replicates for each GM level were analysed. PCR analysis was performed in triplicate for all samples. Participating laboratories carried out the determination of the GM% according to the instructions provided in the protocol and using the electronic tool provided (Excel spreadsheet).

## 5. Method

## Description of operational steps followed

For specific detection of event MIR604 genomic DNA, a 76-bp fragment of the recombination region of parts of the construct inserted into the plant genome is amplified using two specific primers. PCR products are measured during each cycle (real-time) by means of a target-specific oligonucleotide probe labelled with two fluorescent dyes: FAM is used as the reporter dye at its 5' end and TAMRA as a quencher dye at its 3' end.

For relative quantification of event MIR604 DNA, a maize-specific reference system amplifies a 136-bp 135-bp fragment of *Adh1* (*Alcohol dehydrogenase*) maize endogenous gene, using two *Adh1* gene-specific primers and an *Adh1* gene-specific probe labelled with VIC and TAMRA.

For relative quantification of event MIR604 DNA in a test sample, the normalised  $\Delta$ Ct values of calibration samples are used to calculate, by linear regression, a standard curve (plotting  $\Delta$ Ct values against the logarithm of the amount of MIR604 event DNA). The normalised  $\Delta$ Ct values of the unknown samples are measured and, by means of the regression formula, the relative amount of MIR604 event DNA is estimated.

Calibration samples denominated from S1 to S5 were prepared by mixing the appropriate amount of MIR604 DNA from the stock solution in control non-GM maize DNA to obtain the following relative contents of MIR604: 10%, 5.0%, 1.0%, 0.5% and 0.1%. Total DNA amount per reaction was 250 ng, when 5  $\mu$ l of a DNA solution at the concentration of 50 ng/ $\mu$ l were loaded.

The GM contents of the calibration samples and total DNA quantity used in PCR are provided in Table 3 (%GM calculated considering the 1C value for maize genome as 2.725 pg)  $^{(3)}$ .

Sample code	S1	S2	S3	S4	S5
Total amount of DNA in reaction (ng/5 µl)	250	250	250	250	250
% GM (DNA/DNA)	10.0	5.0	1.0	0.5	0.1

 Table 3. % GM values of the standard curve samples.

## 6. Deviations reported

Six laboratories reported no deviations from the protocol.

Three laboratories could not calibrate the real-time equipment for sulforhodamine and were allowed to use the ROX calibration of the instrument to perform the readings while using sulforhodamine as passive reference dye. Further to a request from CRL-GMFF, the applicant explained that the fluorescence excitation and emission optimal for both ROX (5-Carboxy-X-rhodamine) and sulforhodamine dyes are determined to be nearly identical. The ROX passive reference dye calibration on the ABI Prism<sup>®</sup> instruments is referred to be sufficient to achieve accurate readings using a sulforhodamine fluorophore and ABI Prism<sup>®</sup> detector set for ROX.

One laboratory inverted the position of the endogenous and event specific assay in one plate. The inversion had no consequence since reporter dyes were correctly assigned.

One laboratory received thawed samples but was allowed to perform the experiments.

One laboratory did not centrifuge the plates before loading them into the instrument.

One laboratory used automatic settings in the run analysis for baseline and threshold.

One laboratory ran the samples in twenty microliters, as only a 384-well plate was available; however, the final concentrations of the supplied primers/probe, buffer and the DNA amount were maintained according to the original protocol.

## 7. Summary of results

## PCR efficiency and linearity

The values of the slopes [from which the PCR efficiency is calculated using the formula  $((10^{-1/slope}))-1)*100$ ] of the standard curve and of the R<sup>2</sup> (expressing the linearity of the regression) reported by participating laboratories are summarised in Table 4.

LAB			PCR Efficiency (%)	Linearity (R <sup>2</sup> )	
1	Α	-3.41	96.40	1.00	
	В	-3.49	93.59	1.00	
2	Α	-3.38	97.48	0.95	
2	В	-3.24	96.42	0.99	
2	Α	-3.26	97.19	1.00	
3	В	-3.30	98.99	1.00	
Л	Α	-3.34	99.36	1.00	
LAB 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	В	-3.32	99.98	1.00	
5	Α	-3.30	99.17	1.00	
5	В	-3.24	96.67	1.00	
6	Α	-3.66	87.59	0.99	
0	В	-3.39	97.23	1.00	
7	Α	-3.35	98.91	1.00	
/	В	-3.42	95.96	1.00	
Q	Α	-3.20	94.63	1.00	
0	В	-3.31	99.36	1.00	
Q	Α	-3.31	99.48	1.00	
,	В	-3.25	96.86	1.00	
10	Α	-3.36	98.61	1.00	
10	В	-3.28	98.01	1.00	
11	Α	-3.30	98.99	1.00	
	В	-3.36	96.67         1.00           87.59         0.99           97.23         1.00           98.91         1.00           95.96         1.00           94.63         1.00           99.36         1.00           99.36         1.00           99.48         1.00           96.86         1.00           98.01         1.00           98.99         1.00           98.99         1.00           98.99         1.00           98.14         1.00           98.72         1.00           94.98         1.00           98.40         1.00		
12	Α	-3.45	95.09	1.00	
12	В	-3.37	98.14	1.00	
12	Α	-3.29	98.72	1.00	
13	В	-3.21	94.98	1.00	
14	Α	-3.22	95.38	1.00	
14	В	-3.36	98.40	1.00	
	Mean	-3.33	97.14	1.00	

Table 4. Values of standard curve slope, PCR efficiency and linearity (R<sup>2</sup>)

The mean PCR efficiency was above 97% and the linearity of the method was 1.00. Data reported confirm the appropriate performance characteristics of the method tested.

### GMO quantification

Table 5 shows the mean values of the four replicates for each GM level as calculated and provided by all laboratories. Each mean value is the average of three PCR repetitions.

	Sample GMO content (GM% = GM copy number/maize genome copy number *100)																			
LAB	0.1					0.4			0.9			2.5				6.0				
	Rep 1	REP 2	REP 3	REP 4	Rep 1	REP 2	REP 3	REP 4	Rep 1	REP 2	REP 3	REP 4	Rep 1	REP 2	REP 3	REP 4	Rep 1	REP 2	REP 3	REP 4
1	0.11	0.08	0.09	0.08	0.40	0.40	0.46	0.45	0.93	0.87	0.91	0.91	2.47	2.30	2.59	2.28	5.71	5.68	5.59	5.98
2	13.96	0.14	0.07	0.11	0.39	0.45	0.42	0.44	0.67	0.52	0.73	0.62	1.93	1.51	1.69	1.91	4.96	6.10	3.25	3.21
3	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.38	0.34	0.41	0.39	0.84	0.81	0.85	0.84	2.30	2.47	2.43	2.50	6.22	5.87	5.17	5.65
4	0.12	0.15	0.29	0.15	1.07	0.43	0.50	0.47	1.05	1.12	0.91	1.25	3.40	2.44	2.98	4.22	6.80	8.17	7.86	8.37
5	0.09	0.13	0.10	0.11	0.37	0.38	0.32	0.39	1.02	1.00	1.01	0.96	2.58	2.90	2.79	2.80	6.50	6.20	6.43	5.08
6	0.09	0.07	0.11	0.08	0.49	0.33	0.33	0.39	0.79	0.74	1.12	0.59	2.77	2.88	2.25	4.06	5.93	4.42	6.37	3.61
7	0.10	0.09	0.09	0.11	0.32	0.47	0.43	0.41	0.77	0.93	0.78	0.87	2.37	2.06	1.74	2.11	5.00	6.06	5.10	4.79
8	0.16	0.24	0.09	0.09	0.38	0.72	0.48	0.35	1.41	1.29	0.81	0.79	2.43	2.94	4.45	2.76	7.18	5.71	8.55	5.20
9	0.13	0.14	0.11	0.11	0.45	0.45	0.66	0.48	0.95	1.05	0.87	1.06	2.50	2.50	3.23	2.46	5.29	6.33	10.16	5.94
10	0.09	0.09	0.11	0.11	0.46	0.40	0.41	0.44	0.89	0.93	0.93	0.94	2.43	2.28	2.30	2.41	6.34	6.11	6.25	6.21
11	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.36	0.37	0.43	0.35	0.90	0.87	0.79	0.78	2.26	2.38	2.23	2.14	4.95	5.46	5.34	5.72
12	0.07	0.07	0.12	0.08	0.32	0.36	0.27	0.45	0.77	0.78	0.77	0.72	2.45	2.30	1.99	1.85	5.50	5.28	4.32	3.79
13	0.08	0.13	0.14	0.12	0.45	0.37	0.50	0.35	0.82	1.04	1.39	1.23	2.37	2.46	2.54	2.69	5.55	5.25	6.53	7.02
14	0.11	0.11	0.10	0.10	0.41	0.41	0.43	0.40	0.82	0.90	0.87	0.86	2.50	2.51	2.33	2.54	5.81	6.25	5.87	6.35

Table 5. GM% mean values determined by laboratories for all unknown samples

In Figure 1 the relative deviation from the true value for each GM level tested is shown for each laboratory. The coloured bars represent the relative GM quantification obtained by the participating laboratories; green bars represent the overall mean.





As observed in Figure 1, two laboratories overestimated the true value at 0.1% and 0.4% with a relative deviation over 20%; the GM level 0.9% was underestimated by 30% by one laboratory (which also overestimated of 30% the GM level 2.5% and of more than 25% the GM level 6%) and overestimated over 20% by two laboratories. The true GM% value of 2.5% was overestimated of 20% or more by three laboratories. Finally, the GM level 6% was more generally underestimated, at different degree, by seven laboratories.

Overall, the average relative deviation (green bar) was definitely low at all the GM levels, indicating an optimal accuracy of the method.

## 8. Method performance requirements

Among the performance criteria established by ENGL and adopted by the CRL-GMFF (<u>http://gmo-crl.jrc.ec.europa.eu/guidancedocs.htm</u>, see also Annex 1), repeatability and reproducibility are assessed through an international collaborative trial, carried out with the support of ENGL laboratories (see Table 1). Table 6 illustrates the estimation of repeatability and reproducibility at various GM levels, according to the range of GM percentages tested during the collaborative trial.

The *relative reproducibility standard deviation (RSD<sub>R</sub>),* that describes the inter-laboratory variation, should be below 33% at the target concentration and over the majority of the dynamic range, while it should be below 50% at the lower end of the dynamic range.

As it can be observed in Table 6, the method fully satisfies this requirement at all GM levels tested. In fact, the highest value of  $RSD_R$  (%) is 27% at the 0.1% level, well within the acceptance criterion.

	Expected value (GMO %)									
Unknown sample GM%	0.1	0.4	0.9	2.5	6.0					
Laboratories having returned results	14	14	14	14	14					
Samples per laboratory	4	4	4	4	4					
Number of outliers	1	1	1	-	1					
Reason for exclusion	!C	1C	1C	-	1C					
Mean value	0.10	0.41	0.89	2,52	5.78					
Relative repeatability standard deviation, RSD, (%)	24	17	12	16	14					
Repeatability standard deviation	0.025	0.070	0.111	0.411	0.828					
Relative reproducibility standard deviation. RSD <sub>0</sub> (%)	27	18	18	22	20					
Reproducibility standard deviation	0.027	0.075	0.163	0.550	1.137					
Bias (absolute value)	0.00	0.01	-0.01	0.02	-0.22					
Bias (%)	3.6	3.1	-1.0	0.7	-3.6					

Table 6. Maize MIR604: summary of validation results.

C = Cochran's test; identification and removal of outliers through Cochran and Grubbs tests, according to ISO 5725-2. Bias is estimated according to ISO 5725 data analysis protocol.

Table 6 further documents the *relative repeatability standard deviation (RSD<sub>r</sub>)*, as estimated for each GM level. In order to accept methods for collaborative study evaluation, the CRL requires that RSD<sub>r</sub> values be below 25%, as indicated by ENGL (Definition of Minimum Performance Requirements for Analytical Methods of GMO Testing" [http://gmo-crl.jrc.ec.europa.eu/guidancedocs.htm]).

As it can be observed from the values reported in Table 6, the method satisfies this requirement throughout the whole dynamic range tested.

The *trueness* of the method is estimated using the measures of the method bias for each GM level. According to ENGL method performance requirements, trueness should be  $\pm$  25% across the entire dynamic range. In this case the method satisfies this requirement across the entire dynamic range tested; in fact, the highest value of bias (%) is -3.6 at the 6.0% level, well within the acceptance criterion.

## 9. Conclusions

The overall method performance has been evaluated with respect to the method acceptance criteria and method performance requirements recommended by the ENGL (as detailed under <a href="http://gmo-crl.jrc.ec.europa.eu/guidancedocs.htm">http://gmo-crl.jrc.ec.europa.eu/guidancedocs.htm</a>). The method acceptance criteria were reported by the applicant and used to evaluate the method prior to the international collaborative study (see Annex 1 for a summary of method acceptance criteria and method performance requirements).

The results obtained during the collaborative study indicate that the analytical module of the method submitted by the applicant complies with ENGL performance criteria. The method is therefore applicable to the control samples provided (see paragraph 3 "Materials"), in accordance with the requirements of Annex I-2.C.2 to Commission Regulation (EC) No 641/2004.

## 10. Quality assurance

The CRL-GMFF carries out all operations according to ISO 9001:2000 (certificate number: CH-32232) and ISO 17025:2005 (certificate number: DAC-PL-0459-06-00) [DNA extraction, qualitative and quantitative PCR in the area of Biology (DNA extraction and PCR method validation for the detection and identification of GMOs in food and feed materials)].

## 11. References

- 1. Horwitz, W. (1995) Protocol for the design, conduct and interpretation of method performance studies, *Pure and Appl. Chem*, 67, 331-343.
- International Standard (ISO) 5725. 1994. Accuracy (trueness and precision) of measurement methods and results. International Organization for Standardization, Genève, Swizerland.
- 3. Arumuganathan K, Earle ED. 1991. Nuclear DNA content of some important plant species. *Plant Molecular Biology Reporter* 9: 208-218

# 12. Annex 1: method acceptance criteria and method performance requirements as set by the European Network of GMO Laboratories (ENGL)

<u>Method Acceptance Criteria</u> should be fulfilled at the moment of submission of a method (Phase 1: acceptance for the collaborative study).

<u>Method Performance Requirements</u> should be fulfilled in a collaborative study in order to consider the method as fit for its purpose (Phase 2: evaluation of the collaborative study results).

#### **Method Acceptance Criteria**

#### Applicability

Definition: The description of analytes, matrices, and concentrations to which a method can be applied.

Acceptance Criterion: The applicability statement should provide information on the scope of the method and include data for the indices listed below for the product/s for which the application is submitted. The description should also include warnings to known interferences by other analytes, or inapplicability to certain matrices and situations.

#### Practicability

Definition: The ease of operations, the feasibility and efficiency of implementation, the associated unitary costs (e.g. Euro/sample) of the method.

Acceptance Criterion: The practicability statement should provide indication on the required equipment for the application of the method with regards to the analysis *per se* and the sample preparation. An indication of costs, timing, practical difficulties and any other factor that could be of importance for the operators should be indicated.

#### Specificity

Definition: Property of a method to respond exclusively to the characteristic or analyte of interest.

Acceptance Criterion: The method should be event-specific and be functional only with the GMO or GM based product for which it was developed. This should be demonstrated by empirical results from testing the method with non-target transgenic events and non-transgenic material. This testing should include closely related events and cases where the limit of the detection is tested.

#### Dynamic Range

Definition: The range of concentrations over which the method performs in a linear manner with an acceptable level of accuracy and precision.

Acceptance Criterion: The dynamic range of the method should include the 1/10 and at least 5 times the target concentration. Target concentration is intended as the threshold relevant for legislative requirements. The acceptable level of accuracy and precision are described below. The range of the standard curve(s) should allow testing of blind samples throughout the entire dynamic range, including the lower (10%) and upper (500%) end.

#### Accuracy

Definition: The closeness of agreement between a test result and the accepted reference value.

Acceptance Criterion: The accuracy should be within  $\pm$  25% of the accepted reference value over the whole dynamic range.

#### Amplification Efficiency

Definition: The rate of amplification that leads to a theoretical slope of -3.32 with an efficiency of 100% in each cycle. The efficiency of the reaction can be calculated by the following equation: Efficiency =  $[10^{(-1)/(1-1)}] - 1$ 

Acceptance Criterion: The average value of the slope of the standard curve should be in the range of (- $3.1 \ge \text{slope} \ge -3.6$ )

#### R<sup>2</sup> Coefficient

Definition: The  $R^2$  coefficient is the correlation coefficient of a standard curve obtained by linear regression analysis.

Acceptance Criterion: The average value of  $R^2$  should be  $\geq 0.98$ .

#### Repeatability Standard Deviation (RSD<sub>r</sub>)

Definition: The standard deviation of test results obtained under repeatability conditions. Repeatability conditions are conditions where test results are obtained with the same method, on identical test items, in the same laboratory, by the same operator, using the same equipment within short intervals of time.

Acceptance Criterion: The relative repeatability standard deviation should be below 25% over the whole dynamic range of the method.

*Note*: Estimates of repeatability submitted by the applicant should be obtained on a sufficient number of test results, at least 15, as indicated in ISO 5725-3 (1994).

#### Limit of Quantitation (LOQ)

Definition: The limit of quantitation is the lowest amount or concentration of analyte in a sample that can be reliably quantified with an acceptable level of precision and accuracy.

Acceptance Criterion: LOQ should be less than  $1/10^{th}$  of the value of the target concentration with an  $RSD_r \leq 25\%$ . Target concentration should be intended as the threshold relevant for legislative requirements. The acceptable level of accuracy and precision are described below.

#### Limit of Detection (LOD)

Definition: The limit of detection is the lowest amount or concentration of analyte in a sample, which can be reliably detected, but not necessarily quantified, as demonstrated by single laboratory validation.

Acceptance Criterion: LOD should be less than  $1/20^{th}$  of the target concentration. Experimentally, quantitative methods should detect the presence of the analyte at least 95% of the time at the LOD, ensuring  $\leq$  5% false negative results. Target concentration should be intended as the threshold relevant for legislative requirements.

#### Robustness

Definition: The robustness of a method is a measure of its capacity to remain unaffected by small, but deliberate deviations from the experimental conditions described in the procedure.

Acceptance Criterion: The response of an assay with respect to these small variations should not deviate more than  $\pm$  30%. Examples of factors that a robustness test could address are: use of different instrument type, operator, brand of reagents, concentration of reagents, and temperature of reaction.

#### Method Performance Requirements

#### Dynamic Range

Definition: In the collaborative trial the dynamic range is the range of concentrations over which the reproducibility and the trueness of the method are evaluated with respect to the requirements specified below.

Acceptance Criterion: The dynamic range of the method should include the 1/10 and at least five times the target concentration. Target concentration should be intended as the threshold relevant for legislative requirements.

#### Reproducibility Standard Deviation (RSD<sub>R</sub>)

Definition: The standard deviation of test results obtained under reproducibility conditions. Reproducibility conditions are conditions where test results are obtained with the same method, on identical test items, in different laboratories, with different operators, using different equipment. Reproducibility standard deviation describes the inter-laboratory variation.

Acceptance Criterion: The relative reproducibility standard deviation should be below 35% at the target concentration and over the entire dynamic range. An  $RSD_R < 50$  % is acceptable for concentrations below 0.2%.

#### Trueness

Definition: The closeness of agreement between the average value obtained from a large series of test results and an accepted reference value. The measure of trueness is usually expressed in terms of bias.

Acceptance Criterion: The trueness should be within  $\pm$  25% of the accepted reference value over the whole dynamic range.