# **COMMON FORMAT FOR Risk Assessment**

(In accordance with Annex III of the Cartagena Protocol ion Biosafety)

# Risk assessment details

- 1. Country Taking Decision: South Africa
- 2. Title: Mr. Wally Green. Biotechnology Regulatory Manager.

Contact details: Monsanto Company, represented by Monsanto S.A.(Pty) Ltd

Monsanto Company 800 N. Lindbergh Boulevard St. Louis, Missouri 63167 U.S.A. 3. Monsanto House, Building No. 4,

Fourways Office Park.

corn. Fourways Boulevard and Roos streets.

Randburg. South Africa.

LMO information

4. Name and identity of the living modified organism:

MON 863 × MON 810 x NK603 maize.

The Monsanto development code for this product is MON 863 × MON 810. In countries where MON 863 × MON 810 is being cultivated, seed is marketed under the name of the hybrid, in association with the trademark YieldGard<sup>®1</sup> Plus, indicating clearly to growers that the maize is protected from specific coleopteran and lepidopteran insect pests.

5. Unique identification of the living modified organism:

MON- $\emptyset$ 0863-5 × MON- $\emptyset$ 081 $\emptyset$ -6 x MON- $\emptyset$ 06 $\emptyset$ 3-6. MON 863 × MON 810 x NK603 is uniquely identified using the combination of the OECD unique identifiers for MON 863 (MON- $\emptyset$ 0863-5) MON 810 (MON- $\emptyset$ 081 $\emptyset$ -6) and NK603 (MON- $\emptyset$ 06 $\emptyset$ 3-6)

6. Transformation events:

MON 863 × MON 810 x NK603 is produced by conventional breeding techniques

7. Introduced or Modified Traits:

Both introduced traits provide resistance to certain insects belonging to two different Orders, the Coleoptera and the Lepidoptera as well as herbicide tolerance.

8. Techniques used for modification:

No novel method of genetic modification is utilised in the production of MON  $863 \times MON 810 \times NK603$ . Instead, conventional maize breeding techniques are used to cross parental inbred maize plants of MON 863, MON 810 and NK 603. MON  $863 \times MON 810 \times NK603$  are, therefore, considered an extension of the use of the parental single trait lines, combining three events in one maize plant.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> YieldGard® is a registered trademark of Monsanto Technology LLC

While the MON  $863 \times MON 810 \times NK603$  hybrid results from traditional breeding, genetic modification was used in the development of the single-trait parents, MON 863, MON 810 and NK603:

Transformation event MON 863, inherited from YieldGard Rootworm maize (MON 863)

MON 863 maize was produced by particle acceleration. The inserted DNA fragment contains two expression cassettes: 1) the *cry3Bb1* coding region regulated by the 4-AS1 plant promoter, and the wheat major chlorophyll a/b-binding protein (wtCAB) mRNA leader sequence, rice actin intron (ract1), and the wheat heat shock protein 17.3 (tahsp17) 3' polyadenylation sequence; and 2) the *nptII* coding region regulated by the 35S promoter, and the NOS 3' polyadenylation sequence. The enzyme neomycin phosphotransferase II, NPTII, was used as a selectable marker during development of the genetically modified maize plant.

MON 863 contains a single DNA insertion of the stably integrated DNA cassettes, which are inherited as a single dominant gene in a Mendelian fashion.

Transformation event MON 810, inherited from YieldGard $^{\$}$  Corn Borer maize (MON 810)

MON 810 maize was produced by particle acceleration. MON 810 contains a single functional copy of the *cry1Ab* coding sequence in the maize genome, which expresses the insecticidally active Cry1Ab protein. The *cry1Ab* coding sequence from *Bacillus thuringiensis* subsp. HD-1 was modified to increase the levels of the Cry1Ab protein in plants. The enhanced cauliflower mosaic virus (*CaMV*) 35S promoter and *hsp70* maize intron regulate the expression of the *cry1Ab* coding sequence.

MON 810 contains a single insertion of the stably integrated DNA cassette, which is inherited as a single dominant gene in a Mendelian fashion.

Transformation event NK 603, inherited from Roundup Ready® 2 Corn (NK603)

Roundup Ready 2 Corn, NK603 was produced by means of a particle acceleration method by introduction of a DNA construct consisting of two adjacent functional plant gene expression cassettes, each one containing one copy of a glyphosate-tolerant 5-enolpyruvylshikimate-3-phosphate synthase gene (cp4 epsps). The cp4 epsps coding region was derived from the common soil bacterium Agrobacterium sp. strain CP4. Each cp4 epsps coding region is fused to a chloroplast transit peptide sequence (ctp2), derived from Arabidopsis thaliana. Expression of the first ctp2-cp4 epsps cassette is regulated by the rice actin promoter and a rice intron sequence introduced beyond the 5' end of the ctp2 sequence. Expression of the second ctp2-cp4 epsps cassette is regulated by the e35S promoter from the Cauliflower Mosaic Virus (CaMV) with a double enhancer region and a maize intron derived from an hsp70 gene encoding a heat shock protein. Each cassette is linked to the transcription stop sequence, the non-translated DNA sequence nopaline synthase (nos 3') sequence from Agrobacterium tumefaciens.

NK603 contains a single insertion of the stably integrated DNA cassette, which is inherited as a single dominant gene in a Mendelian fashion.

*Inherited traits in MON 863* × *MON 810 x NK603* 

The F1 MON 863 × MON 810 x NK603 seed inherits the introduced coleopteran insect-protection trait from MON 863, the lepidopteran insect-protection trait from MON 810 as well as herbicide tolerance from NK 603.

The expression of the Cry3Bb1 protein protects the maize plant from certain coleopteran insect pests, including *Diabrotica* spp. The insecticidal activity of the Cry3Bb1 protein is specific to predation by the larvae of the targeted coleopteran insects.

The expression of the CrylAb protein protects the maize plant from certain lepidopteran insect pests, including the European Corn Borer (Ostrinia nubilalis) and pink borers (Sesamia spp.). The insecticidal activity of the CrylAb protein is specific to predation by the larvae of the targeted lepidopteran insects.

The expression of glyphosate-tolerant CP4 EPSPS enzymes in maize plants imparts tolerance to glyphosate (N-phosphonomethyl-glycine) to the plant. Glyphosate is the active ingredient in the non-selective, foliar-applied, broad-spectrum, post-emergent Roundup family of agricultural herbicides. The use of NK603 × MON 810 x MON 863 will, therefore, enable the grower to utilise Roundup agricultural herbicides for effective control of weeds during the growing season and to take advantage of the favourable environmental and safety characteristics of Roundup herbicide.

The use of MON 863 × MON 810 x NK603 will, therefore, also enable the grower to effectively control these maize pests, ensuring maximum realization of yield potential, while removing the environmental burden of the production, packaging and transport of chemical insecticides previously used to control *Diabrotica* spp., *Ostrinia nubilalis* and *Sesamia* spp. The addition of the herbicide tolerant gene further gives the grower the opportunity to increase yield through effective control of weeds in the crop.

MON  $863 \times MON 810 \times NK603$  was demonstrated to be substantially equivalent in composition and agronomics to traditional maize, with the exception of the introduced insect-protection traits.

Choose the trait from the following list:

B. Altered growth, development and product quality

#### Chemical tolerance

- Herbicide tolerance

# Pest resistance

- Insect resistance
- Insect resistance (both traits)

## 9. Description of gene modification:

A Gene derived from *Bacillus thuringiensis* subsp. *kurstaki* was used to develop MON810, commercialised as Yieldgard®. Another gene derived from *Bacillus thuringiensis* subsp. *kumamotoensis* was used to develop MON 863 commercialised as Yieldgard Rootworm. Both genes express Cry proteins. These proteins act as endo toxins in certain families of lepidopteron and coleopteran larvae. These larvae are both pests of maize – stalk borers and corn root worms Roundup Ready® 2 Corn was developed using NK 603 derived from *Agrobacterium species* strain CP4.

#### Characteristics of modification

#### 10. Vector characteristics

Plasmid vector PV-ZMIR13 derived from a pUC based plasmid. Plasmid vector PV- ZMBK07 derived from a pUC based plasmid. Plasmids PV-ZMGT32L derived from Agrobacterium species CP4 Vector characteristics are not relevant as this application concerns a product derived from traditional breeding.

#### 11. Insert or inserts

The following inserts: MON 863 plasmid vector PV-ZMIR13L (transformation fragment of PV-ZMIR13) contains a *cry 3ABb1* gene expression cassette that produces the Cry3Bb1 protein. MON810 plasmid vector PV-ZMBK07 contains a *cry1Ab* gene expression cassette that produces the Cry 1Ab protein. These proteins must be ingested and processed by enzymes in the midguts of specific Lepidopteron and Coleopteran larvae to produce an active endotoxin.

NK603 plasmid vector PV- ZMGT32L contains CP4 epsps gene that expresses the CP4 EPSPS protein enzyme that provides tolerance to Roundup Ready herbicide formulations.

## Recipient organism or parental organisms

12. Taxonomic name/status of recipient organism or parental organisms:

Common name:

Maize

Family name:

Gramineae

Genus:

Zea

Species:

mays(2n+20)

13. Common name of recipient organism or parental organisms: Maize, corn

14. Point of collection or acquisition of recipient or parental organisms:

The original transformations that produced MON 863, MON 810 and NK603 used privately owned germplasm acquired for this purpose.

15. Characteristics of recipient organism or parental organisms related to biosafety:

Maize is the world's third leading cereal, following rice and wheat, in terms of production and area harvested. It has a long history of safe use as a raw material for processed products, and direct uses as a human food or animal feed. Today, maize is produced on every continent except Antarctica, and is exported and imported as viable grain for use as foods or feeds, or directly in processing, without risk to the environment.

According to OECD [Consensus Document on the Biology of Zea mays subsp. mays (Maize), 2003], "Maize has lost the ability to survive in the wild due to its long process of domestication, and needs human intervention to disseminate its seed." In addition, "maize is incapable of sustained reproduction outside of domestic cultivation", and "maize plants are non-invasive in natural habitats." Despite the fact that maize frequently appears as a volunteer plant in a subsequent rotation, is has no inherent ability to persist or propagate. In all regions of the world, volunteer plants are managed with herbicides, tillage, or manual removal of plants. As such, maize is not considered a pest anywhere in the world. When it occurs outside of cultivation, it has no impact on the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity.

Gene flow from maize occurs through dispersal of seed and pollen mediated exchange of genes to sexually compatible plants. Since maize has no biological mechanism to scatter seed, low-level, incidental dispersal of viable grain occurs as a result of human-based activities such as transport and harvesting operations. As was noted by OECD, the few plants that might result from incidental release will not persist or meaningfully reproduce without human intervention. Gene flow via pollen is only possible to other maize plants throughout the world except in Mexico and Guatemala where wild relatives occur (See Section g). Maize reproduces sexually, is a wind-pollinated, monoecious species with separate staminate (tassels) and pistillate (silk) flowers, which encourages natural cross-pollination between maize plants. The distance that viable pollen can travel depends on prevailing wind patterns, humidity, and temperature. Generally, the pollen dissemination period lasts three to seven days. Because incidental release of maize during importation occurs at very low levels, and because maize in not competitive, pollen mediated gene flow between local maize and rare volunteers has had no effect on the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity.

# 16. Centre(s) of origin of recipient organism or parental organisms:

Maize is thought to have its origin in Mexico, from where it spread northward to Canada and southward to Argentina. Although secondary centres of origin in South America are possible, the oldest archaeological evidence of domesticated maize (5000 B.C.) was discovered in the valley of Tehuacan in Mexico (Benson and Pearce, 1987). Several theories on the origin of maize have been proposed; the two theories most adhered to being that either teosinte (a wild relative of maize that is endemic to parts of Mexico and Guatemala) or a wild pod maize that is now extinct was the wild ancestor of maize (Benson and Pearce, 1987; Brown et al., 1984).

Maize is a member of the genus Zea, which is broken into 2 sections: ZEA and LUXURIENTES. The section ZEA includes one species (mays), which includes three subspecies: ssp. mays, ssp. mexicana (formerly Euchlaena mexicana), and ssp. parviglumis. The former subspecies is known as maize while the latter comprise a portion of the complex known as teosinte. Furthermore, ssp. mexicana and ssp. parviglumis are further separated into several races (OECD, 2003). Section LUXURIENTES encompasses 3 species: an annual Z. luxurians, and perennials Z. diploperennis and Z. perennis. While the classification of Zea continues to be modified, teosintes are the only know wild relatives of maize capable of forming hybrids in nature. Outcrossing and gene exchange between teosinte and maize has been reported with annual teosinte (Zea mays ssp. mexicana) (2n = 20) and maize (Zea mays L.) (2n = 20). A frequency of one F1 hybrid (maize × teosinte) for every 500 maize plants or 20 to 50 teosinte plants in the Chalco region of the Valley of Mexico was reported. However, newer information shows that annual teosintes may be a separate species because of the level of genetic isolation and that hybrids that do form are highly unsuccessful in introgressing genetic material (OECD, 2003). Regardless, Mexico and parts of Central America are regarded as the center of genetic diversity for maize. The natural distribution of teosinte is limited to the seasonally dry, subtropical zone with summer rain along the western escarpment of Mexico and Guatemala and the Central Plateau of Mexico.

The belief that Central America and southern Mexico are both the center of origin and a center of diversity for maize was supported by (Vavilov, 1992).

- 17. Centres of genetic diversity, if known, of recipient organism or parental organisms: See question 16 above.
- 18. Habitats where the recipient organism or parental organisms may persist or proliferate:

As noted by OECD (2003), maize is not invasive of natural habitats, does not persist or disperse anywhere in the world without the human intervention. Early domestication and diversification through selection occurred in Meso-America. Maize is grown across a wide range of ecological conditions including soil types, altitude and rainfall. Currently, maize is grown over a wide range of conditions because of its many divergent types that have been bred for this purpose.

The bulk of the maize is produced between latitudes 30° and 55°, with relatively little grown at latitudes higher than 47° latitude anywhere in the world. The greatest maize production occurs where the warmest month isotherms range between 21 and 27° C and the frost-free season lasts 120 to 180 days. A summer rainfall of 15 cm is approximately the lower limit for maize production without irrigation.

Experience with maize imported for use as foods or feeds, or directly in processing, has demonstrated that stable populations do not establish, persist or proliferate as a result of this practice.

Donor organism or organisms (Annex III.9(b)):

19. Taxonomic name/status of donor organism(s):

Bacillus thuringiensis subsp. kurstaki Bacillus thuringiensis subsp. kumamotoensisi Agrobacterium species strain CP4

20. Common name of donor organism(s):

MON  $863 \times MON 810 \times NK603$  results from traditional breeding of the inbred parental lines MON 863, MON 810 and NK603, which are made homozygous in their respective inserted sequences. By crossing MON 863, MON 810 and NK603 MON  $863 \times MON 810 \times NK603$  inherits the inserted DNA from the parental lines.

There is no evidence of any human or animal pathogenicity for any of the donor organisms of the DNA sequences that have been used in the DNA constructs that were inserted in MON 863, MON 810 and NK 603:

Transformation event MON 863, inherited from YieldGard $^{\otimes}$  Rootworm maize (MON 863)

The cry3Bb1 coding region of interest was derived from the soil bacterium Bacillus thuringiensis subsp. Kumamotoensis. Although Cry3Bb1 is a newly expressed protein in maize, the protein has a history of safe consumption. Microbial pesticides, including Raven<sup>®2</sup> which contains Cry3Bb1, are commercially available and are used as environmentally acceptable insecticides because they are specific for the target insect pest and are typically harmless to plants and other non-target organisms. Furthermore, an extensive characterisation and safety evaluation has confirmed the human, animal and environmental safety of the introduced Cry3Bb1 protein.

The 4-AS1 promoter derived from the cauliflower mosaic virus 35S promoter is associated with high level of protein expression in roots (Lam and Chua, 1990; Odell et al., 1985). The promoter sequences are followed by a CAB leader sequence from wheat chlorophyll a/b binding protein, which facilitates mRNA translation (Lamppa et al., 1985), and the first intron of the rice actin 1 sequence, which enhances DNA transcription (McElroy et al., 1990). The final element in the Cry3Bb1 cassette is a polyadenylation sequence from the wheat heat shock protein 17.3 (McElwain and Spiker, 1989). There is no scientific evidence for the cauliflower mosaic virus to lead to adverse environmental effects or health effects in animals or humans, and wheat and rice have a long history of safe use.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Registered trademark of Ecogen Inc.

The *nptII* coding sequence present in MON 863 maize has been isolated from the transposon Tn5 present in the enterobacteria *E.coli*. This bacterium is ubiquitous in the environment and is present in the digestive tracts of vertebrates species, including humans (Jefferson *et al.*, 1986). Furthermore, the transposon Tn5 has a wide phylogenetic distribution among eubacteria (Beck *et al.*, 1982).

The safety of NPTII protein was addressed by: Flavell et al. (1992); Fuchs et al. (1993); Nap et al. (1992). The safety of NPTII for both human and animal consumption has also been established by the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA), the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the Food and Drug Administration (FDA). A key scientific opinion on NPTII safety was provided by the EFSA which concluded NPTII presented no safety concerns (EFSA, 2004). The EPA has also exempted the NPTII protein and the genetic material necessary for the production of the protein from the requirement of a tolerance in or on all agricultural commodities when used as a plant-pesticide inert ingredient (EPA, 1994). The FDA has amended the food additive regulation to provide for the use of NPTII as a processing aid in the development of new varieties of tomato, oilseed rape and cotton (FDA, 1994).

Transformation event MON 810, inherited from YieldGard $^{\otimes}$  Corn Borer maize (MON 810)

The cry1Ab coding sequence was derived from the common soil bacterium Bacillus thuringiensis subsp. kurstaki and encodes the insecticidally active Cry1Ab protein. Although Cry1Ab is a newly expressed protein in maize (and other genetically modified crops), the protein has a long history of safety from its use in microbial formulations for nearly 40 years. Cry1Ab binds to specific receptors in the midgut of sensitive insects, but does not affect other organisms that lack those receptors. Therefore, the Cry1Ab protein has selective toxicity to specific lepidopteran insects but is harmless to humans, fish, and wildlife, as well as beneficial insects that can help control other pests.

The enhanced CaMV 35S promoter (e35S) derived from the cauliflower mosaic virus directs the expression of the Cry1Ab protein in the target tissues (Odell et al., 1985). The heat shock protein 70 (hsp70) intron derived from maize (Zea mays L.) regulates the expression of the Cry1Ab protein (Rochester et al., 1986). Maize has a long history of safe use, and there is no scientific evidence for the CaMV 35S promoter to lead to adverse environmental effects or health effects in animals or humans.

Transformation event NK603, inherited from Roundup Ready®2 Corn (NK603)

The cp4 epsps coding region of interest was derived from the common soil-borne bacterium Agrobacterium sp. (strain CP4).

The plasmid vector PV-ZMGT32 contains two plant gene expression cassettes each containing a single copy of the CP4 EPSPS gene.

The vector also contains an *nptII* bacterial selectable marker gene encoding kanamycin resistance allowing selection of bacteria containing the plasmid, and an origin of replication (*ori*) necessary for replicating the plasmid in *E. coli* The agarose gel-isolated *MluI* restriction fragment of plasmid vector, PV-ZMGT32L, utilized for transformation of Roundup Ready corn line NK603 contains only the CP4EPSPS plant gene expression cassettes and does <u>NOT</u> contain the *nptII* selectable marker gene or origin of replication. The rice actin 1 promoter and first intron (McElroy et al., 1990) from the rice actin gene and the NOS 3' sequence for termination of transcription and direction of polyadenylation. This also applies to the enhanced 35S promoter from CaMV with an enhanced duplicator region, corn *hsp70* intron, chloroplast transit peptide from *Arabidopsis thaliana* and the CP4 EPSPS gene sequence.

## MON 863 × MON 810 x NK603

In conclusion, all components of the inherited MON 863, MON 810 and NK 603 DNA in MON 863  $\times$  MON 810 x NK603 maize are well understood and there is no evidence of any biosafety issues for any of the DNA sequences that have been used, nor for any of the donor organisms of these sequences.

- 21. Point of collection or acquisition of donor organism(s): See question 20 above.
- 22. Characteristics of donor organism(s) related to biosafety:

Not applicable seeing that the donor organisms are ubiquitous in nature and that the same organisms and their protein products have been used as bio-pesticides around the world for the last 40 years. Similarly crops such as cotton and soybeans with the herbicide tolerant gene CP4 epsps have been commercially planted for the last ten years are also derived from a donor organism that is ubiquitous in nature.

Intended use and receiving environment

#### 23. Intended use of the LMO:

Possible importation in the form of grain for Feed and Food production.

# 24. Receiving environment:

Should South Africa need to import maize grain from the USA and should this grain contain a % of kernels derived from hybrids that contain these events, then the receiving environment would be feed and food processor' facilities, who would crush the grain for Feed/Food manufacturing purposes. (No longer LMO's)

### Risk assessment summary

#### Detection/Identification method of the LMO.

Event specific PCR, ELISA and diagnostic strip technology can be used. These are specific for DNA sequence, identification and quantitative protein and protein presence, respectively.

# 26. Evaluation of the likelihood of adverse effects (Annex III.8(b)):

See question 24 above. The receiving environment (Feed and Food processors) will change the LMO status of the grain through crushing prior to the production of feed or food products.

# 27. Evaluation of the consequences (Annex III.8(c)):

The consequences are that the grain kernels that might contain these events are no longer viable as seed and therefore will have no environmental impact.

## 28. Overall risk (Annex III.8(d)):

This section presents a risk assessment report consistent with Annex III of the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety as required by Annex II.j. The information was collected following the general principles and methodology described in Annex III. Specifically, the country(ies) that has (have) approved intentional introduction of the GM crop into the environment have conducted risk assessments that meet the objectives outlined in Annex III, which was "to identify and evaluate the potential adverse effects of living modified organisms on the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity in the likely potential receiving environment, taking into account risks to human health." General principles outlined in Annex III paragraphs (3), (4), (5), and (6) were utilized in the risk assessment including: scientific soundness, transparency, consistency with international guidance and expert advice, and comparison of the non-modified recipient or parental organism within the likely receiving environment. The assessment was carried out considering the intended use and likely receiving environment. The framework underlying the evaluation of MON 863 × MON 810 is consistent with guidance established by the United Nations World Health Organization (WHO) and the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) (OECD, 1993; WHO, 1995; WHO, 1996), the U.S.A., Canada, Japan, the EU and other countries.

The conclusion of the risk assessment conducted herein demonstrate the MON 863 x MON 810 x NK603 poses no increased risk to the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity in the likely potential receiving environment, taking into account risks to human health under the intended direct use as food or feed, or for processing.

29. Recommendation (Annex III.8(e)):

See Question 28 above.

30. Actions to address uncertainty regarding the level of risk (Annex III.8(f)):

There is no uncertainty regarding the risk profile based on the fact that the application only concerns the importation of grain and is not for production purposes.

# Additional information

31. Availability of detailed risk assessment information:

Further information is available in the dossier in which we are making application for a commodity clearance permit number

- 32. Any other relevant information: None.
- 33. Attach document: Not applicable to applicant
- 34. Notes:

<Text entry>