

**ScienceDirect** 



# Cell-free synthetic biology for environmental sensing and remediation

David K Karig<sup>1,2</sup>



The fields of biosensing and bioremediation leverage the phenomenal array of sensing and metabolic capabilities offered by natural microbes. Synthetic biology provides tools for transforming these fields through complex integration of natural and novel biological components to achieve sophisticated sensing, regulation, and metabolic function. However, the majority of synthetic biology efforts are conducted in living cells, and concerns over releasing genetically modified organisms constitute a key barrier to environmental applications. Cell-free protein expression systems offer a path towards leveraging synthetic biology, while preventing the spread of engineered organisms in nature. Recent efforts in the areas of cell-free approaches for sensing, regulation, and metabolic pathway implementation, as well as for preserving and deploying cell-free expression components, embody key steps towards realizing the potential of cell-free systems for environmental sensing and remediation.

#### Addresses

<sup>1</sup>Research and Exploratory Development Department, Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory, 11100 Johns Hopkins Rd., Laurel, MD, USA

<sup>2</sup> Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, USA

Corresponding author: Karig, David K (David.Karig@jhuapl.edu)

#### Current Opinion in Biotechnology 2017, 45:69-75

This review comes from a themed issue on **Environmental** biotechnology

Edited by Jan Roelof Van Der Meer and Man Bock Gu

For a complete overview see the <u>Issue</u> and the <u>Editorial</u>

Available online 20th February 2017

#### http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2017.01.010

0958-1669/© 2017 The Author. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creative-commons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

## Introduction

Microbes are found in nearly every realm on earth, ranging from thermal vents to Antarctic ice. The spectrum of sensing and metabolic activities that microbes exhibit to thrive in these environments has long inspired efforts to harness microbial biology for sensing and metabolic engineering applications. Sensing, for example, has been achieved with a wide range of different biological components, including enzymes, antibodies, receptor proteins, and nucleic acids [1]. Meanwhile, remediation has been accomplished even using natural microbes, although genetic engineering has also been used to improve metabolic efficiency of contaminant degradation [2].

To date, most biosensors utilize either a small set of purified biological components interfaced with a transducer, or whole cells that are simply modified to express reporter genes inserted downstream of ligand-activated promoters [1]. Most bioremediation efforts are similarly straightforward, focusing on either the use of natural cells or on the optimization of existing metabolic pathways. Synthetic biology offers transformative tools for improving both biosensing and bioremediation performance by expanding the range of sensor and remediation targets, and increasing the sophistication of sensor and regulator implementation. However, practical application of the resulting synthetic systems is hindered by safety concerns associated with the release of genetically modified organisms (GMOs) into the environment.

The emergence of cell-free synthetic biology offers a promising mechanism for circumventing GMO release [3,4], allowing deployment of gene networks and metabolic pathways without the risk of unbridled replication and spread of new microbial strains in the wild. Beyond safety, cell-free systems offer a host of other benefits as well. For instance, cell-free systems can operate in the presence of toxins that would inhibit or kill live cells. This means that key sensing and metabolic components, such as transcription factors and enzymes, can be produced in higher concentrations than in living cells, leading to improved sensitivity and efficiency. It also means that environmental chemicals are better tolerated, including those that are the target for sensing or remediation [5]. In addition, in cell-free platforms, all energy resources can be devoted to the engineered application, as opposed to supporting self-replication. Finally, the potential for evolution, which can undermine or even abolish engineered function, is largely removed in cell-free contexts.

Cell-free protein expression systems typically consist of a cell extract, which contains machinery essential for transcription and translation, as well as a number of components to fuel expression, including buffers, nucleotides, amino acids, and energy sources. Although cell-free protein expression systems have been used for decades to investigate biological phenomena and produce proteins that are difficult to express in living cells, cost, yield and scale have historically prevented their adoption in sensing and bioremediation applications. Fortunately, these barriers have been recently removed thanks to new advances in cell-free preparations [6,7]. This has made possible a range of novel biosensing and bioremediation applications such as spill tracking, source pinpointing, and remediation in situ. The potential application space made possible by new advances in cell-free technology is the focus of the current review. First, we discuss sensing, including sensing modalities and integration of sensors into regulatory networks. We then touch on recent advances that facilitate the implementation of remediation pathways in cell-free systems. Finally, we discuss practical needs for applying cell-free systems, namely the unique challenges of cell-free systems as compared to living cells, as well as extension of shelf-life and the encapsulation of components for robustness in application contexts.

# Sensing

## Sensing modalities

Several different approaches for generating responses to ligands have been demonstrated in cell-free systems. These approaches include the use of receptors and other ligand responsive transcription factors [8], as well as an array of strategies based on leveraging DNA or RNA structures for regulation (e.g., aptamers) [9]. The use of receptors is exemplified by the detection of bacterial quorum sensing signals using engineered genetic constructs in cell-free systems [10,11,12,13]. These gene circuits express a bacterial quorum sensing receptor, which can form a complex with cognate quorum sensing molecules, subsequently enabling activation of a promoter expressing a reporter protein. This ability to detect chemical signatures of bacteria illustrates the potential for leveraging cell-free systems for pathogen detection. Besides quorum sensing receptors, other transcription factors that regulate downstream promoters upon ligand binding include the mercury binding transcription factor MerR [5], and the tetracycline binding transcription factor TetR [14].

While transcriptional regulator proteins offer robust performance, many sensing targets have no known regulator. By contrast, powerful selection procedures are available for identifying aptamers [15,16]. Therefore, a number of different cell-free sensing strategies have employed aptamers. In general, when a ligand binds an aptamer region, the aptamer changes conformation, resulting in a corresponding alteration in enzymatic activity, transcriptional efficiency, or translational efficiency, depending upon the precise implementation. Iyer and Doktycz, for example, demonstrated a DNA aptamer-based approach for engineering ligand responsive promoters in cell-free systems [17]. Specifically, they placed a DNA aptamer sequence near a T7 promoter such that ligand binding to the aptamer regulated transcription. The majority of approaches, however, rely on RNA aptamers (*e.g.*, riboswitches). For instance, Ogawa presented an approach for designing riboswitches that function in eukaryotic cellfree systems and demonstrated responses to theophylline, FMN, tetracycline, and sulforhodamine B [18]. In addition to DNA and RNA aptamer approaches, more recently, a novel RNA regulation approach was designed for sensing specific RNA sequences [19]. Pardee *et al.* utilized this method to detect Ebola [20] and Zika [21] RNA in *Escherichia coli* extracts.

Few direct comparisons have been made to date between cell-free sensors and their counterparts in more traditional sensors (e.g., nano-bio sensors or whole cell sensors) in terms of sensitivity and specificity. A cell-free theophylline riboswitch in a cell-free translation system [18] and an aptamer-based electrochemical biosensor for theophylline [22] exhibited different, yet overlapping dynamic ranges of detection (3-100 µM vs. 0.2-10 µM). Similarly, cell-free and whole cell receptor-based sensors have been compared and exhibited fairly similar response characteristics [13]. As more cell-free biosensors are constructed and characterized in the future, the key determinants of sensitivity and specificity may be elucidated for each sensing modality. Meanwhile, by comparison to whole cell biosensors, the cell-free context may offer several sensitivity advantages. First, it may be possible to produce key receptors in higher concentrations than can be achieved in living cells. Second, it has been shown that cell-free systems can avoid problematic false negatives that arise in whole cell biosensors when ligands reach levels that are toxic to cells [5].

Collectively, the diversity of sensing options that have been demonstrated in cell-free systems suggests that sensors can be developed for a wide variety of targets. Future approaches may additionally leverage the amenability of cell-free protein expression systems for producing other components such as membrane receptors [23] and antibodies [24].

## **Complex regulation**

The above sensing modalities offer basic sensing and response function; however, the deeper potential of synthetic biology lies in leveraging gene circuits to implement complex regulation. This regulation may be used to process multiple inputs and correspondingly regulate one or more outputs (reporters or remediation products). In addition, specificity may be generated through digital logic. For instance, a logical AND gate of multiple sensors with imperfect specificity may generate a response with an overall high specificity. This approach to improving specificity is analogous to the recent use of dual aptamers, whereby two aptamers were used to target different sites of a ligand in order to achieve highly specific detections, in a nanoparticle hybrid sensor [25]. While few dual aptamers have been identified, synthetic gene networks facilitate the combination of sensors without the need for dual aptamer discovery. Indeed, using synthetic biology, multiple sensing modalities (*e.g.*, transcription factors, receptors, aptamers) can even be combined into a single sensor read-out.

A number of developments in cell-free synthetic biology lay the groundwork for implementing sophisticated sensors that rely on logic gates and complex genetic circuit designs. Many of the necessary components, for example, are contained within two cell-free "toolboxes" that have recently been developed. The first toolbox contains sets of E. coli promoters and transcriptional activators that can be cascaded and combined [26] to generate arbitrarily complex regulatory functions for sensor implementation. The second toolbox presents detailed characterization of additional components, including ones for regulating mRNA and protein stability [27<sup>••</sup>]. These components can provide orthogonal mechanisms for orchestrating complex system responses to different signals. In addition to the two toolboxes, various multi-input phage-derived and E. coli-derived promoters have been tested in cellfree systems [26,28,29]. Multi-input promoters are particularly helpful for integrating multiple sensor responses and thus for implementing regulatory functions such as digital logic. Leveraging these and other similar components, several regulatory systems have been demonstrated in cell-free systems. These include transcriptional cascades [26,30], inducible feedback circuits [14,26], digital logic [29], a pulse generator [27<sup>••</sup>], oscillators [31], and a system that emulates Drosophila pattern formation [28].

## Remediation

#### Remediation pathways

Natural microbes have been found to degrade an enormous variety of environmental contaminants, including fuel, chlorinated solvents, pesticides, creosote, benzene, toluene, polychlorinated biphenyls, dioxins, nitro-aromatics, and chlorinated ethenes [32,33]. The potential of genetic engineering for realizing vast improvements in remediation efficiency has long been known [2]. Moreover, through metabolic engineering and synthetic biology, the range of remediation targets can also be extended [34]. As suggested above, however, concerns over GMO release have prevented large scale application of engineered organisms for bioremediation. Fortunately, the extension of synthetic biology efforts to cell-free systems may overcome the barrier of safety concerns. In addition, the growing field of cell-free metabolic engineering capitalizes on a number of other benefits of cell-free protein expression [35]. Cell-free protein expression, for example, both broadens the range of enzymes that can be incorporated into a synthesized metabolic network and extends the range of active enzyme concentrations beyond what can be realized in vivo. For instance, Li *et al.* demonstrated cell-free production of active multicopper oxidases. These are enzymes used for applications such as wastewater decolorization that have proved difficult to produce efficiently *in vivo* [36]. In addition to toxic or difficult to make end products, cell-free systems can also avoid issues of toxic intermediates, energy constraints and loss of function through evolution that are often problematic with living cells.

One of the most important advantages of a cell-free approach, however, is ease of engineering. Just as the cell-free context simplifies prototyping and optimization of genetic regulatory circuits, it also facilitates construction and optimization of metabolic pathways. When engineering metabolic pathways in living cells, time consuming genetic modification and subsequent transformations are required to test different enzyme choices or to tune relative enzyme concentrations to balance flux. By contrast, in cell-free systems, this testing and optimization may be performed by either adding different relative concentrations of the DNA components encoding different pathways [37,38] or, alternatively, by mixing cell extract variants that contain different components [39<sup>••</sup>,40<sup>••</sup>]. While cell-free metabolic engineering efforts to date have primarily focused on industry, energy, and medical applications, the same underlying techniques could easily benefit efforts to implement environmental remediation pathways.

#### **Complex regulation**

As with sensing, bioremediation may also benefit from some of the more complex regulatory mechanisms that have been developed in traditional synthetic biology platforms. This would enable more refined responses to environmental contaminants, potentially providing safer, less invasive and less ecologically damaging intervention. For example, depending on the organisms selected, one problem with bioremediation using natural microbes is their tendency to consume oxygen. In marine environments, for instance during an oil spill, this can result in anoxic conditions that are deadly to marine life. By regulating bioremediation in response to oxygen levels, low oxygen thresholds could be avoided. While this might slow the overall remediation process, the net effect on marine life may be improved. Notably, integrating complex regulation into bioremediation technology requires additional biosensors engineered to work in concert with remediation steps.

#### Practical considerations Scale and standardization

Many field applications in environmental sensing and bioremediation require large scales of operation. In 2011, Zawada *et al.* demonstrated 100L scale cell-free production of a human therapeutic [7]. Impressively, scale-up was linear, which bodes well for scaling up variations of cell-free systems optimized for environmental applications. The emergence of companies such as Sutro and GreenLight that leverage cell-free protein synthesis illustrates the growing practicality of cell-free systems for large scale, commercial applications. To our knowledge, commercial sensing and remediation applications of cell-free protein expression have not yet been developed. However, Thermocyclomics has investigated the use of cell-free extracts of thermophiles to remediate organic pollutants [41]. Together, these examples point towards the growing practicality of cell-free systems for large scale, commercial sensing and remediation applications.

Although there has been significant progress in scaling up cell-free reactions, additional efforts in the realm of standardizing cell-free systems would be helpful, particularly for synthetic biology applications. To date, protein yield has primarily been used to assess the efficiencies of different cell-free systems. However, yield assessments can vary significantly as a result of a number of factors, including the particular protein chosen for yield measurement, the expression construct used, and the method for assessing yield. In addition, yield does not capture dynamics of expression, which may be important for applications that require either fast expression or long duration. A helpful complementary approach to relying on absolute yield information would be to compare genetic component and cell-free reaction mixture performances independently using standardized systems for the other constituent. Component performance, for example, could be evaluated with widely available commercial kits, which undergo more stringent quality control. The PURE system, a minimal cell-free system derived from individually purified proteins as opposed to cell extracts, offers one appealing choice [42]. For reaction mixture performance, a library of expression constructs of GFPtagged proteins of different sizes was recently constructed and made available through Addgene [43]. These constructs were designed to express proteins in essentially any in vitro translation system, thus their use may help to facilitate comparisons of cell-free preparations between labs.

## Challenges of the cell-free context

Many of the genetic components that function in living cells also function in cell-free systems [13,44,45<sup>•</sup>]. However, there are several key differences that can complicate design of biosensing and bioremediation applications. First, unlike living cells, cell-free systems have no mechanism for producing or harvesting energy. Although the goal of sustaining cell-free reactions through inexpensive feed sources remains an active area of research [46], currently, cell-free systems have a limited operation period. While this may be viewed as a safety advantage, it may also cause operational challenges. That said, all energy resources in a cell-free preparation can be devoted to the engineered application, as opposed to supporting self-replication, helping to extend the lifetime of these systems.

Another, more subtle challenge of cell-free systems is that fundamental kinetics of a given gene circuit can differ relative to its kinetics in living cells [47]. As a result, performance of the gene circuit in living cells and cell-free systems is often qualitatively similar, yet quantitatively different [13,14]. For instance, Chappell et al. tested a library of promoters and a library of ribosome binding sites in E. coli and E. coli extracts. Although they showed that relative rates were strongly correlated in the two systems, absolute transcription and translation rates often differed between live cell and cell-free contexts [13]. In addition, for many cell-free preparations, natural E. coli promoters and their derivatives do not yield strong transcription. These differences can complicate biosensor and bioremediation design, where absolute yield, rather than relative read, may be a key system parameter. One strategy for circumventing transcription issues is to use viral promoters, such as T7, T3, and SP6, along with corresponding modifications to these promoters to enable regulation [14,28]. Alternately, it is also possible to optimize cell extract preparation to improve transcription from natural host promoters and their derivatives, facilitating efforts to tap into the wide and growing diversity of genetic constructs developed in living cells [48].

Besides potential differences in transcription and translation rates, degradation rates of mRNA and proteins are often much slower in cell-free contexts. Indeed, many cell-free preparation methods have been specifically optimized to reduce these degradation rates. In addition, the lack of volume expansion caused by cell growth leads to slower reduction of concentrations. These slower degradation rates are ideal when simple production of proteins in high yield is sought. By contrast, the design of dynamic cell-free systems, which would be required for implementing sophisticated logic gates and genetic circuits, would require faster component turnover. Previous cellfree systems with dynamics include a pulse generator and an oscillator. These have relied on degradation machinery such as MazF for mRNA degradation and ClpXP for targeted protein degradation [27\*\*,31], and a similar design may be necessary for sensing applications, particularly if accurate reporting of fluctuating signals is desired.

## Shelf-life obstacles

Applications such as environmental sensing and remediation would greatly benefit from technologies that facilitate the storage and delivery of cell-free protein expression reagents [49]. Cell-free reagents are typically stored at  $-80^{\circ}$ C, but practical use in the field would be much more feasible for cell-free systems that demonstrated significant shelf-life at ambient environmental temperatures. The number and diversity of proteins and reagents needed for cell-free protein expression make this a challenging problem. Nonetheless, a few efforts have focused on drying and preserving cell-free protein expression components.

Pardee et al. lyophilized cell-free reagents and used them to both implement biosensor gene networks capable of detecting Ebola [20] and Zika virus [21] and also to produce a wide array of therapeutics [38]. Importantly, lyophilized pellets of cell-free reagents exhibited expression after a year of room temperature storage, while dry reagents in paper could be reconstituted for biosensor operation. Although testing of the pellet-based approach relied on an inert gas atmosphere  $(N_2)$  and a silicon desiccant package [20], this format of preservation would be amenable to storage and distribution of small biosensors that could be distributed in Mylar pouches and activated on demand. Other efforts have focused on the inclusion of stabilizing reagents to improve preservation without the need for specialized environments [50,51]. Smith et al. separately examined the preservation of E. coli extracts and the preservation of sets of additional components needed to fuel protein expression [52]. Recently, they demonstrated room temperature preservation of cell extract for a year, and also demonstrated the production of a human therapeutic using dried and reconstituted reagents [53]. Extending these efforts to realize long-term resilience, even above room temperature, in a scalable fashion will greatly facilitate applications in environmental remediation [54].

## The system/environment interface

Recently, several platforms have been developed to facilitate protein expression and even purification using cell-free protein expression components. To date most of these efforts have targeted therapeutic applications, such as the production of medicine on demand [55,56]. For environmental sensing and remediation, however, a key requirement is to realize sufficient protection and consolidation of reagents to enable transcription and translation, while still allowing biosensor components to access target ligands and remediation products to access the environment. One option is the use of liposomes. Cellfree protein expression in liposomes has been widely explored, and is of particular interest in the realm of membrane protein purification [57]. Recently, cell-free systems encapsulated in liposomes were used to demonstrate both the synthesis of and the response to a bacterial quorum sensing signal, showing how encapsulated cellfree reagents may be engineered to interact with the environment [12<sup>•</sup>]. However, a key issue to overcome for environmental applications is stability. Another possible encapsulation strategy that might offer better stability is the use of polymer substrates. For instance, cell-free protein expression has been demonstrated in alginate beads coated with silica to enhance environmental resilience [58]. In addition, DNA microgel formats have been developed for cell-free expression [59]. Recent studies have shown that encapsulation techniques do not significantly reduce bioremediation efficacy when using live cells [49]. It remains to be seen whether cell-free systems adapt equally well to different encapsulation strategies.

# Conclusion

Cell-free systems offer a practical and flexible context for leveraging the power of synthetic biology for environmental sensing and remediation. Recent developments in cell-free sensing, regulatory networks, and metabolic pathway implementation pave the way towards sophisticated artificial cells that sense multiple conditions, regulate responses, and efficiently break down contaminants in a highly controllable fashion. Additional progress in shelf-life improvements and robust encapsulation set the stage for practical deployment. Future efforts may leverage features unique to the cell-free environment, for instance facilitating the coupling of DNA computing approaches to more conventional gene regulatory networks. In addition, methods for producing and optimizing efficient cell-free systems from a wider diversity of organisms will capitalize on the diverse array of sensing and metabolic capabilities observed in nature [60]. Collectively, through these advances, promise to bring about an expanded set of sensing and remediation targets, an extended range of operation contexts, and a degree of control over the duration and scale of remediation activity *in situ* that cannot be currently realized with living cells.

## **Declaration of interest**

JHUAPL has filed an application on behalf of D.K.K. with the US Patent and Trademark Office on work related to the preservation of cell-free protein expression reagents.

# Acknowledgement

This research was supported by the Independent Research and Development Program of the Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory.

## **References and recommended reading**

Papers of particular interest, published within the period of review, have been highlighted as:

- of special interest
- •• of outstanding interest
- Vigneshvar S, Sudhakumari C, Senthilkumaran B, Prakash H: Recent advances in biosensor technology for potential applications—an overview. Front Bioeng Biotechnol 2016:4.
- Chakrabarty AM: Genetically-manipulated microorganisms and their products in the oil service industries. *Trends Biotechnol* 1985, 3:32-39.
- Perez JG, Stark JC, Jewett MC: Cell-free synthetic biology: engineering beyond the cell. Cold Spring Harbor Perspect Biol 2016, 2016:a023853.
- Smith MT, Wilding KM, Hunt JM, Bennett AM, Bundy BC: The emerging age of cell-free synthetic biology. FEBS Lett 2014, 588:2755-2761.

- Pellinen T, Huovinen T, Karp M: A cell-free biosensor for the detection of transcriptional inducers using firefly luciferase as a reporter. Anal Biochem 2004, 330:52-57.
- Carlson ED, Gan R, Hodgman CE, Jewett MC: Cell-free protein synthesis: applications come of age. *Biotechnol Adv* 2012, 30:1185-1194.
- Zawada JF, Yin G, Steiner AR, Yang J, Naresh A, Roy SM, Gold DS, Heinsohn HG, Murray CJ: Microscale to manufacturing scale-up of cell-free cytokine production – a new approach for shortening protein production development timelines. *Biotechnol Bioeng* 2011, 108:1570-1578.
- Taylor ND, Garruss AS, Moretti R, Chan S, Arbing MA, Cascio D, Rogers JK, Isaacs FJ, Kosuri S, Baker D: Engineering an allosteric transcription factor to respond to new ligands. *Nat Methods* 2016, 13:177-183.
- 9. Mayer G, Pfeiffer F: Selection and biosensor application of aptamers for small molecules. *Front Chem* 2016, 4:25.
- Karig DK, Siuti P, Dar RD, Retterer ST, Doktycz MJ, Simpson ML: Model for biological communication in a nanofabricated cellmimic driven by stochastic resonance. *Nano Commun Netw* 2011, 2:39-49.
- Kawaguchi T, Chen YP, Norman RS, Decho AW: Rapid screening of quorum-sensing signal N-acyl homoserine lactones by an in vitro cell-free assay. Appl Environ Microbiol 2008, 74:3667-3671.
- Schwarz-Schilling M, Aufinger L, Mückl A, Simmel F: Chemical
  communication between bacteria and cell-free gene expression systems within linear chains of emulsion droplets. Integr Biol 2016, 8:564-570.

Cell-free reagents in water-in-oil emulsions were used to sense and report as well as to produce a bacterial quorum sensing signal. This shows the potential of cell-free synthetic biology for both sensing and interacting with the environment.

- 13. Chappell J, Jensen K, Freemont PS: Validation of an entirely in vitro approach for rapid prototyping of DNA regulatory elements for synthetic biology. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2016, 2013 gkt052.
- Karig DK, Iyer S, Simpson ML, Doktycz MJ: Expression optimization and synthetic gene networks in cell-free systems. Nucleic Acids Res 2012, 40:3763-3774.
- Blind M, Blank M: Aptamer selection technology and recent advances. Mol Ther—Nucleic Acids 2015, 4:e223.
- Darmostuk M, Rimpelova S, Gbelcova H, Ruml T: Current approaches in SELEX: an update to aptamer selection technology. *Biotechnol Adv* 2015, 33:1141-1161.
- 17. Iyer S, Doktycz MJ: Thrombin-mediated transcriptional regulation using DNA aptamers in DNA-based cell-free protein synthesis. ACS Synth Biol 2013, **3**:340-346.
- Ogawa A: Rational design of artificial riboswitches based on ligand-dependent modulation of internal ribosome entry in wheat germ extract and their applications as label-free biosensors. *RNA* 2011, 17:478-488.
- Green AA, Silver PA, Collins JJ, Yin P: Toehold switches: de-novo-designed regulators of gene expression. *Cell* 2014, 159:925-939.
- Pardee K, Green AA, Ferrante T, Cameron DE, DaleyKeyser A, Yin P, Collins JJ: Paper-based synthetic gene networks. *Cell* 2014, 159:940-954.
- Pardee K, Green Alexander A, Takahashi Melissa K, Braff D, Lambert G, Lee Jeong W, Ferrante T, Ma D, Donghia N, Fan M *et al.*: Rapid, low-cost detection of Zika virus using programmable biomolecular components. *Cell* 2016, 165:1255-1266.
- Ferapontova EE, Olsen EM, Gothelf KV: An RNA aptamer-based electrochemical biosensor for detection of theophylline in serum. J Am Chem Soc 2008, 130:4256-4258.
- 23. Zieleniecki JL, Nagarajan Y, Waters S, Rongala J, Thompson V, Hrmova M, Köper I: Cell-free synthesis of a functional membrane transporter into a tethered bilayer lipid membrane. Langmuir 2016, 32:2445-2449.

- 24. Stech M, Kubick S: Cell-free synthesis meets antibody production: a review. Antibodies 2015, 4:12-33.
- 25. Kim YS, Raston NHA, Gu MB: Aptamer-based nanobiosensors. Biosens Bioelectron 2016, 76:2-19.
- 26. Shin J, Noireaux V: An *E. coli* cell-free expression toolbox: application to synthetic gene circuits and artificial cells. *ACS Synth Biol* 2012, 1:29-41.
- 27. Garamella J, Marshall R, Rustad M, Noireaux V: The all *E. coli* TX-TL toolbox 2.0: a platform for cell-free synthetic biology. ACS Synth Biol 2016, 5:344-355.

This study presents several components, concepts, and demonstrations important for developing applications of cell-free synthetic biology. The authors present different transcription factors, characterize components for tuning mRNA and protein dynamics, demonstrate a pulse generator, and assemble the violacene pathway. They also produce whole phages and ultimately show long duration expression in liposomes.

- Isalan M, Lemerle C, Serrano L: Engineering gene networks to emulate Drosophila embryonic pattern formation. PLoS Biol 2005, 3:e64.
- Iyer S, Karig DK, Norred SE, Simpson ML, Doktycz MJ: Multi-input regulation and logic with T7 promoters in cells and cell-free systems. *PLoS One* 2013, 8:e78442.
- Noireaux V, Bar-Ziv R, Libchaber A: Principles of cell-free genetic circuit assembly. Proc Natl Acad Sci 2003, 100:12672-12677.
- Niederholtmeyer H, Sun ZZ, Hori Y, Yeung E, Verpoorte A, Murray RM, Maerkl SJ: Rapid cell-free forward engineering of novel genetic ring oscillators. *Elife* 2015, 4:e09771.
- 32. Chapelle FH: *Bioremediation: Nature's Way to a Cleaner Environment*. US Geological Survey; 1995.
- Ezezika OC, Singer PA: Genetically engineered oil-eating microbes for bioremediation: prospects and regulatory challenges. *Technol Soc* 2010, 32:331-335.
- Hadadi N, Hafner J, Shajkofci A, Zisaki A, Hatzimanikatis V: ATLAS of biochemistry: a repository of all possible biochemical reactions for synthetic biology and metabolic engineering studies. ACS Synth Biol 2016, 5:1155-1166.
- Dudley QM, Karim AS, Jewett MC: Cell-free metabolic engineering: biomanufacturing beyond the cell. *Biotechnol J* 2015, 10:69-82.
- Li J, Lawton TJ, Kostecki JS, Nisthal A, Fang J, Mayo SL, Rosenzweig AC, Jewett MC: Cell-free protein synthesis enables high yielding synthesis of an active multicopper oxidase. *Biotechnol J* 2016, 11:212-218.
- Nguyen PHB, Wu Y, Guo S, Murray RM: Design space exploration of the violacein pathway in *Escherichia coli* based transcription translation cell-free system (TX-TL). *bioRxiv* 2016, 027656:1-10.
- Pardee K, Slomovic S, Nguyen PQ, Lee JW, Donghia N, Burrill D, Ferrante T, McSorley FR, Furuta Y, Vernet A: Portable, on-demand biomolecular manufacturing. *Cell* 2016, 167:248-259 e212.
- Dudley QM, Anderson KC, Jewett MC: Cell-free mixing of
  Escherichia coli crude extracts to prototype and rationally engineer high-titer mevalonate synthesis. ACS Synth Biol 2016, 5:1578-1588.

Different cell-free extracts, each containing different pathway enzymes, were mixed to assemble and optimize a metabolic pathway. This study shows many benefits of the cell-free environment for facilitating pathway composition, pathway tuning (e.g., by using different plasmid rations), and enzyme screening. These techniques can ultimately be extended to implementing remediation pathways.

 40. Karim AS, Jewett MC: A cell-free framework for rapid
 biosynthetic pathway prototyping and enzyme discovery. Metab Eng 2016, 36:116-126.

The authors combine different cell-free extracts to construct a metabolic pathway. They explore different co-factors as well as genetic modifications to the extract source strain. In addition, they test the effect of freeze drying and reconstituting the cell-free metabolic pathway.

- O'Driscoll K, Sambrotto R, DiFilippo R, Piccillo P: Bioremediation of persistent organic pollutants using thermophilic bacteria. *Google Patents*; 2013.
- Matsubayashi H, Ueda T: Purified cell-free systems as standard parts for synthetic biology. Curr Opin Chem Biol 2014, 22:158-162.
- Gagoski D, Polinkovsky ME, Mureev S, Kunert A, Johnston W, Gambin Y, Alexandrov K: Performance benchmarking of four cell-free protein expression systems. *Biotechnol Bioeng* 2016, 113:292-300.
- 44. Siegal-Gaskins D, Tuza ZA, Kim J, Noireaux V, Murray RM: Gene circuit performance characterization and resource usage in a cell-free "breadboard". ACS Synth Biol 2014, 3:416-425.
- 45. Takahashi MK, Hayes CA, Chappell J, Sun ZZ, Murray RM,
- Noireaux V, Lucks JB: Characterizing and prototyping genetic networks with cell-free transcription-translation reactions. Methods 2015, 86:60-72.

The authors discuss approaches for using cell-free systems to prototype genetic networks and present an RNA transcriptional cascade and a feed-forward loop in a cell-free protein expression system. They compare in vitro and *in vivo* performance.

- Caschera F, Noireaux V: A cost-effective polyphosphate-based metabolism fuels an all *E. coli* cell-free expression system. *Metab Eng* 2015, 27:29-37.
- Karzbrun E, Shin J, Bar-Ziv RH, Noireaux V: Coarse-grained dynamics of protein synthesis in a cell-free system. *Phys Rev Lett* 2011, 106:048104.
- Shin J, Noireaux V: Efficient cell-free expression with the endogenous *E. coli* RNA polymerase and sigma factor 70. *J Biol Eng* 2010, 4:1.
- 49. Prasad B, Suresh S: Biodegradation of dimethyl phthalate ester using free cells, entrapped cells of Variovorax sp. BS1 and cell free enzyme extracts: a comparative study. Int Biodeterior Biodegrad 2015, 97:179-187.
- Kuroita T, Kawakami B, Kawamura Y, Nishikawa S, Endo Y: Composition for cell-free protein synthesis. *Google Patents*; 2006.

- 51. Peters LE, Bendzko P: Method for producing complex multienzymatical, storage resistant reaction mixtures and use thereof. *Google Patents*; 2002.
- Smith MT, Berkheimer SD, Werner CJ, Bundy BC: Lyophilized Escherichia coli-based cell-free systems for robust, high-density, long-term storage. *Biotechniques* 2014, 56:186-193.
- Salehi AS, Smith MT, Bennett AM, Williams JB, Pitt WG, Bundy BC: Cell-free protein synthesis of a cytotoxic cancer therapeutic: onconase production and a just-add-water cell-free system. *Biotechnol J* 2016, 11:274-281.
- Karig DK, Bessling S, Thielen P, Zhang S, Wolfe J: Heat-stable preservation of protein expression systems for portable therapeutics production. *bioRxiv* 2016, 089664:1-27.
- Sullivan CJ, Pendleton ED, Sasmor HH, Hicks WL, Farnum JB, Muto M, Amendt EM, Schoborg JA, Martin RW, Clark LG: A cell-free expression and purification process for rapid production of protein biologics. *Biotechnol J* 2016, 11:238-248.
- Timm AC, Shankles PG, Foster CM, Doktycz MJ, Retterer ST: Toward microfluidic reactors for cell-free protein synthesis at the point-of-care. Small 2016, 12:810-817.
- 57. Kuruma Y, Ueda T: The PURE system for the cell-free synthesis of membrane proteins. *Nat Protoc* 2015, **10**:1328-1344.
- Lim SY, Kim K-O, Kim D-M, Park CB: Silica-coated alginate beads for in vitro protein synthesis via transcription/ translation machinery encapsulation. J Biotechnol 2009, 143:183-189.
- Kahn JS, Ruiz RC, Sureka S, Peng S, Derrien TL, An D, Luo D: DNA microgels as a platform for cell-free protein expression and display. *Biomacromolecules* 2016, 17:2019-2026.
- Kelwick R, Webb AJ, MacDonald JT, Freemont PS: Development of a Bacillus subtilis cell-free transcription-translation system for prototyping regulatory elements. *Metab Eng* 2016, 38:370-381.