



BCH
training



A User's Guide to the Central Portal of the Biosafety Clearing House

“Information-sharing Obligations On Becoming a Party to the Protocol”

January 2012

Reproduction

The content of this publication may be reproduced in whole or in part and in any form for educational or non-profit purposes without special permission from the copyright holder, provided acknowledgment of the source is made. UNEP would appreciate receiving a copy of any publication that uses this publication as a source. No use of this publication may be made for resale or any other commercial purpose whatsoever without prior permission in writing from UNEP. The use of information from this website concerning proprietary products for publicity or advertising is not permitted.

Disclaimers

The content and views expressed on this website do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the contributory organizations or the United Nations Environment Program (UNEP) and neither do they imply any endorsement. The designations employed and the presentation of material on this website do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of UNEP concerning the legal status of any country, territory or city or its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers and boundaries. Mention of a commercial company or product in this publication does not imply the endorsement of UNEP.

Manual Outline

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	4
1 INTRODUCTION TO THE MANUAL	5
2 BECOMING A PARTY TO THE PROTOCOL	6
2.1 BECOMING A PARTY	6
2.1.1 <i>Ratification and Accession</i>	6
2.1.2 <i>Acceptance and Approval</i>	6
2.1.3 <i>Succession</i>	7
2.2 BENEFITS OF BECOMING A PARTY TO THE PROTOCOL	7
2.3 OBLIGATIONS ON BECOMING A PARTY TO THE PROTOCOL	7
3 ROLE OF THE BCH FOCAL POINTS	8
3.1 FUNCTION OF THE BCH FOCAL POINTS	8
3.1.1 <i>Active clearance for publishing information ('validation')</i>	8
3.1.2 <i>Liaison with the CBD Secretariat regarding the BCH</i>	9
3.1.3 <i>Facilitating a network of partners</i>	9
3.2 RESPONSIBILITIES OF INFORMATION PARTNERS	10
4 ON ENTRY INTO FORCE OF THE PROTOCOL	10
4.1 DESIGNATION OF NATIONAL FOCAL POINTS	10
4.2 DESIGNATION OF COMPETENT NATIONAL AUTHORITIES	11
4.3 IDENTIFICATION OF A CONTACT POINT FOR UNINTENTIONAL TRANSBOUNDARY MOVEMENTS AND EMERGENCY MEASURES	12
5 INFORMATION TO BE SHARED FOLLOWING ENTRY INTO FORCE OF THE PROTOCOL	13
5.1 INFORMATION-SHARING UNDER ARTICLE 20	13
5.2 INFORMATION REQUIRED FOR THE ADVANCE INFORMED AGREEMENT (AIA) PROCEDURE	14
5.3 LMOs INTENDED FOR DIRECT USE AS FOOD OR FEED, OR FOR PROCESSING (ARTICLE 11)	15
5.4 PROTECTING COMMERCIAL INFORMATION (ARTICLE 21)	17
5.5 PROMOTING PUBLIC AWARENESS AND PARTICIPATION (ARTICLE 23)	17
5.6 TRANSBOUNDARY MOVEMENTS OF LMOs BETWEEN PARTIES AND NON-PARTIES (ARTICLE 24)	18
5.7 OTHER REQUIREMENTS	18
5.7.1 <i>Assessing capacity needs</i>	18
5.7.2 <i>Building and maintaining capacity to use the BCH</i>	18
5.7.3 <i>Preparing for meetings of the COP-MOP</i>	18
6 INFORMATION-SHARING FOR NON-PARTIES	19

List of Abbreviations

AIA	Advanced Information Agreement
BCH	Biosafety Clearing-House
BCH-NFP	BCH National Focal Point
CBD	Convention on Biological Diversity
CBD-NFP	CBD National Focal Point
CNA	Competent National Authority
COP-MOP	Conference of the Parties Serving as the Meeting of the Parties
CPB	Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety
CPB-NFP	CPB National Focal Point
GEF	Global Environment Facility
LMO	Living Modified Organism
MC	Management Centre
nBCH	National node of BCH
NBF	National Biosafety Framework
NFP	National Focal Point
SCBD	Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity
UNEP	United Nations Environment Programme

1 Introduction to the Manual

What you will learn in this manual:

This manual addresses the information-sharing obligations faced by a Party on entry into force of the Protocol. It seeks to explain:

- **the information-sharing responsibilities of the BCH National Focal Point;**
- **the information that must be made available by a Party through the BCH immediately on entry into force of the Protocol;**
- **an overview of ongoing information-sharing obligations.**

Context

The UNEP-GEF Project for Capacity Building for Effective Participation in the Biosafety Clearing-House (BCH-I), in collaboration with the Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity (SCBD), prepared a modular training package aimed at providing a practical “how-to” guide for countries to assist them in learning, understanding, using, and setting up national access to the BCH. The training package was later updated within the UNEP-GEF Project for Continued Enhancement of Capacity Building for Effective Participation in the BCH (BCH-II). It was designed to be flexible and is tailored to meet the diverse needs of different countries, allowing them to select those tools that are most useful to their situation, needs and priorities. The training package is divided into several manuals, each addressing one element of the BCH.

Audience

This manual was designed to provide guidance to users of the Biosafety Clearing House. It was developed particularly for government representatives, including National Focal Points (NFPs).

Purpose

This manual examines the information-sharing obligations outlined by the Protocol and relevant decisions by the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol (COP-MOP).

It aims also to be an incentive for a non-Party to consider making information available to the BCH even before a country completes its ratification process.

Disclaimer

Please note that this manual has been prepared to assist understanding of the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety. It is not intended to provide legal interpretation of the Protocol or decisions made by the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol. Please refer to the original text of the Protocol and COP-MOP decisions for any further information.

2 Becoming a Party to the Protocol



OVERVIEW

To become a Party to the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety, States may ratify, accede to, approve of or accept it. At this point they take on certain obligations.

2.1 Becoming a Party

Various terms are used to indicate that a State or regional economic integration organization (e.g. the European Union (EU)) has consented to be bound by an international treaty, including "ratification", "accession", "approval" and "acceptance". The legal implications of each of these forms of consent are the same to the extent that the treaty becomes legally binding.

All States that have ratified, acceded to, approved or accepted the Protocol are therefore, legally, Parties to the Protocol.

2.1.1 Ratification and Accession

The primary distinction in becoming a Party is usually made between ratification and accession. Only those States that *signed* the Protocol when it was open for signature (i.e. between its adoption and the closing date for signature 4 June 2001) can proceed to ratify it. In signing the Protocol, States only indicated general support for its objective and provisions as well as their intention to become Parties in the future and be legally bound by it. However, the act of signing, in itself, did not establish consent to be bound by the Protocol. Therefore, the further act of *ratification* is required before the State becomes a Party.

The instrument of ratification is signed by the Head of State, Government or Minister for Foreign Affairs and deposited with the Depository – the Secretary-General of the United Nations. Once a State has deposited this instrument, the Protocol then enters into force for that State ninety days later. At this point, the State is bound by the provisions of the Protocol and must comply with its obligations.

States that *did not sign* the Protocol during the time when it was open for signature cannot ratify it – they may only accede to it. These States therefore deposit an instrument of *accession* in order to become a Party. (Note: These States have the same rights and obligations as those States that ratified the Protocol.)

2.1.2 Acceptance and Approval

The terms "acceptance" and "approval" are of more recent origin and apply under the same conditions as those that apply to ratify. Certain countries use the terms "acceptance" or "approval" for purposes of participation in treaties. The terms are also used in cases where organizations (e.g. the EU), rather than States, become Parties to an international

treaty. While the uses of these terms relate to the diversity of legal systems, the legal effect is the same as ratification.

2.1.3 Succession

Succession occurs when one State replaces another for responsibility over international relations of the State's territory. Generally, a newly independent State which makes a notification of succession is considered a Party to the Protocol from the date of the succession of the State, or from the date of entry into force of the treaty, whichever is the later date.

2.2 *Benefits of becoming a Party to the Protocol*

There are a number of benefits in becoming a Party to the Protocol, including the following:

- Ability to influence the implementation of the Protocol and shape its further development through participation in the decision-making processes of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol;
- Developing country Parties and Parties with economies in transition are eligible for financial support from the Global Environment Facility (the financial mechanism for the Protocol) for capacity-building as well as other support for implementation of the Protocol and participation in its processes;
- Enhanced visibility and credibility of national systems for regulating biosafety within the global community;
- Contribution to harmonized rules, procedures and practices in managing the transboundary movement of LMOs;
- Facilitation of mechanisms and opportunities for governments to collaborate with other governments, the private sector and civil society on strengthening biosafety;
- Improved access to relevant technologies and data and benefiting from a regular exchange of information and expertise; and
- Demonstration of commitment to conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity through the implementation of biosafety measures.

2.3 *Obligations on becoming a Party to the Protocol*

Becoming a Party to the Protocol also confers a number of obligations that are in place as soon as the Protocol enters into force for that Party. This manual elaborates the primary information-sharing obligations, including the role of the BCH focal point, information that must be made available no later than entry into force of the Protocol for a Party and ongoing information reporting requirements.

Given the central role of the BCH in the operation of the Protocol, the availability, accuracy and accessibility of relevant information through the BCH is crucial.

Early administrative tasks (i.e. during preparation before entry into force) typically include putting into place the necessary infrastructure and personnel at the domestic level for the purpose of collecting, classifying, making available, using, accessing and disseminating relevant information to and from the BCH. The BCH Focal Point is responsible for ensuring that information flow to and from the BCH is done in a timely manner.

3 Role of the BCH Focal Points



OVERVIEW

BCH National Focal Points are responsible for validating information, liaising with the CBD Secretariat, and developing a network of information partners to assist in implementing the BCH.

3.1 Function of the BCH focal Points

BCH National focal points are nominated by each Party or government to liaise with the Secretariat regarding issues of relevance to the development and implementation of the BCH.

Their role and responsibilities are set out in the Modalities of Operation of the BCH (COP-MOP decision BS-1/3), including:

- Active clearance for publishing information registered on the Biosafety Clearing-House, including validation at a national level of records to make them publicly available through the central portal;
- Liaison with the Secretariat regarding the technical aspects of national participation in the Biosafety Clearing-House, as well as provision of advice on further technical development including, *inter alia*, suggestions for improvements to the layout and system specifications of the central portal and central databases; and
- Facilitation of the development of a network of multi-sectoral and interdisciplinary partners, as appropriate in the implementation process of the Biosafety Clearing-House.

3.1.1 Active clearance for publishing information ('validation')

BCH National Focal Points are authorized by their countries to use the BCH Management Centre to create, delete, or modify information pertaining to competent national authorities, national biosafety websites or databases, laws and regulations, decisions on living modified organisms (LMOs), risk assessments, biosafety experts, capacity-building needs and priorities, capacity-building activities and news items for their country. Only the BCH National Focal Point has the authority to "validate" information for that country (i.e. verify the accuracy of a record and make it public), and to register authorized users for the country.

This means that the role of the BCH National Focal Point is to ensure the quality, accuracy and completeness of the information that is being made available through the BCH. To assist in this process, most countries will put in place local management procedures to ensure that information providers have verified that their information is correct and complete before it is provided to the BCH focal point.

Records registered or validated by BCH National Focal Points are published immediately.

BCH National Focal Points may also register additional National Authorized Users who are permitted to submit information in all of the information categories. However, prior to publication, the BCH National Focal Point is required to verify the accuracy of any record and validate it before it is made public. This means that it is important for BCH Focal Points to monitor pending records in the BCH because they will not be made available through the BCH until the Focal Point has validated the information for publishing.

Registered Biosafety Experts can be given permission by their BCH National Focal Point to modify any information pertaining to their own Expert Record in the Roster of Experts. As with other Authorized Users that modify information under national jurisdiction, these changes will have to be validated by the BCH National Focal Point before they are published.

3.1.2 Liaison with the CBD Secretariat regarding the BCH

BCH Focal Points are responsible for interacting with the CBD Secretariat regarding the technical aspects of national participation in the BCH. This includes providing the Secretariat with feedback on their experiences with the operation of the BCH in order to improve its future development and ability to meet the needs of Parties. The Modalities of Operation of the BCH specifically request focal points to provide advice on further technical development, including suggestions for improvements to the layout and system specifications of the Central Portal and its databases.

BCH Focal Points may provide such information directly to the Secretariat at any time or the Secretariat may request feedback through means of, for example, a Notification or questionnaire. In many cases, it will be necessary for the BCH Focal Point to coordinate responses from a variety of national users in order to provide comprehensive and representative feedback.

3.1.3 Facilitating a network of partners

BCH Focal Points play an important role in facilitating the development of a network of BCH users at a national (and/or regional) level. In order for this network to aid in the implementation process of the BCH, they typically come from a variety of institutions, sectors and disciplines, to ensure the needs of all relevant players at national level.

One of the activities that may be carried out by the BCH Focal Point in this regard is to advise the relevant national authorities when relevant information is made available through the BCH (e.g. when another Party registers a decision to place on the market an LMO intended for direct use as a food). Accordingly, all BCH Focal Points are automatically subscribed to the BCH Current Awareness Service. This service sends out regular updates summarizing new information that has been added to the BCH. It is sent directly to users by email or to a specified fax number (See Manual 6 “Registering Information in the BCH Central Portal”, section “My Subscriptions” for further details.)

There are also several resources available in the BCH to assist focal points to network and share information more effectively. For example, the Directory of Biosafety Organizations provides assistance in developing relationships with foreign organizations with similar goals. Also, the Biosafety Information Resource Centre (BIRC), Capacity-Building databases and online conferences provide greater access to information, training, experience and fundraising opportunities.

3.2 Responsibilities of information partners

Some countries choose to make their information available through the BCH using an interoperable mechanism. In such instances, information partners are required to follow specific interoperability guidelines for information-sharing prepared by the Secretariat. Where partner institutions are hosting information that is required under the Protocol to be made available to the BCH, the following minimum standards apply:

- Nomination of an institutional focal point in the partner organization responsible for liaison with the Secretariat;
- Written confirmation by the relevant Party or Government that responsibility for provision of this information has been conveyed to the institution in question; and
- Guaranteed maintenance of their information-exchange system, as well as provision of 24 hour/7 day a week availability and open access to the required information.

If these standards cannot be maintained, or if a partner does not wish to continue providing information to the BCH, all data or information subject to the partnership must be transferred to the BCH Central Portal.

4 On Entry Into Force of the Protocol



OVERVIEW

Information that must be provided no later than the date of entry into force of the Protocol for a Party includes designation of National Focal Points, contact points in case of emergency, and Competent National Authorities.

The Protocol contains several provisions that require each Party to take certain administrative measures at a national level as soon as the Protocol enters into force for them.

4.1 Designation of National Focal Points

Article 19 of the Protocol requires each Party to designate one National Focal Point for the Protocol (CPB-NFP).

The CPB-NFP National Focal Point is the primary contact point between a Party and the Secretariat. This is the person or national institution that receives, for example:

- Notifications from the Secretariat, regarding, for example, newly available resources or requests to designate delegates for meetings;
- Invitations to submit views on matters relating to the Protocol; and
- Documentation for Biosafety meetings.

The name and address of the CPB National Focal Point must be provided to the Secretariat no later than the date of entry into force of the Protocol for that Party. The registration of National focal points in the BCH can only be done by the Secretariat **upon receipt of a written communications** addressed to the Executive Secretary and **endorsed by the following categories:**

- The designation of a **National Focal Point for the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety (CPB-NFP)** must be endorsed by the *National Focal Point for the*

Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD-NFP) or by a direct expression of the Government (i.e. a Minister of State).

- The designation of a **National Focal Point for the Biosafety Clearing-House (BCH-NFP)** must be endorsed by the *National Focal Point for the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety (CPB-NFP)*.
- The details of the **Point of contact for receiving notifications under Article 17 (Emergency Measures)** must be communicated to the Secretariat by the *National Focal Point for the Biosafety Clearing-House (BCH-NFP)*.

Note: The common format for National Focal Point nominations is available for download at <https://bch.cbd.int/resources/common-formats/>

In accordance with standard procedure for nomination of all focal points, **written confirmation** of the nomination of a person or institution to the post of any category of **National Focal Point** must be sent to the CBD Secretariat before such records can be created (i.e. they are the only type of national record that cannot be created by a country through the Management Centre of the BCH).

Written confirmation may either be sent by fax or postal mail to the Secretariat on an institutional letterhead such as that of appropriate ministry, agency, etc.

4.2 Designation of Competent National Authorities

Article 19 of the Protocol requires each Party to designate one or more Competent National Authorities (CNAs) for the Protocol. CNAs are responsible for exercising the administrative functions required by the Protocol. The functions of the CNA suggest that the designated institution(s) should have the authority to make decisions about imports of LMOs at the domestic level.

The functions of the CNA are addressed in Article 19 of the Protocol and may include, for example:

- Receiving notification of a proposed transboundary movement of an LMO that falls within the scope of the Advance Informed Agreement (AIA) procedure (Article 8);
- Acknowledging receipt of the notification (Article 9);
- Requesting further information from the notifier, if necessary (Articles 9 and 10);
- Communicating the decision of the Party of import to the notifier and the BCH, with reasons, when required (Article 10(3));
- Responding to requests by the Party of export or notifier to review decisions (Article 12); and
- Consulting with the notifier, where necessary, on the treatment of confidential information (Article 21).

The same entity may be designated to act as both a National Focal Point and a CNA. The names and addresses of the NFP and the CNA or authorities have to be provided to the BCH, no later than the date of entry into force of the Protocol for that Party.

In some countries, a single CNA is designated (e.g. the Ministry of Environment) to address all functions pursuant to the Protocol. Others are designated to address, for example, a specific LMO or its intended use. For example, the Agriculture Ministry may be responsible for imports of genetically modified crops or seeds; the Fisheries Ministry may be responsible for imports of transgenic fish and a specialised agency may be responsible for all other LMO imports.

If a Party chooses to designate more than one CNA, it must inform the Secretariat in order for the information to be made available to Parties through the BCH. This allows a notifier to find out which CNA in the Party of import it should contact regarding a proposed transboundary movement of an LMO.

Although the CNA is responsible for carrying out administrative functions under the Protocol, the decision-making process under a Party's national biosafety framework may involve a wider range of national organizations and/or other authorities. The procedure for making decisions at the domestic level (including any necessary consultations) should be set out in the national biosafety framework.

The information that should be provided to register a CNA is contained in the **Competent National Authority Common Format**, which can be downloaded from the BCH common formats page at <http://bch.cbd.int/resources/common-formats/>.

4.3 Identification of a contact point for unintentional transboundary movements and emergency measures

Article 17 of the Protocol requires each Party to make available to the BCH, no later than the date of entry into force of the Protocol for the Party, details of its point of contact for the purpose of receiving notifications concerning any occurrence that leads or may lead to unintentional transboundary movement of an LMO that is likely to have significant adverse effects on the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity, taking also into account risks to human health in such States.

Because an incident of this nature may happen at any time, countries need to be prepared for emergency measures and make their contact point's details available through the BCH. The information that should be provided to register the contact point for unintentional transboundary movements and emergency measures is contained in the **National Focal Point Common Format** [available for download](http://bch.cbd.int/resources/common-formats/) from the BCH common formats page at [http://bch.cbd.int/resources/common-formats/..](http://bch.cbd.int/resources/common-formats/)



Checklist 1: No later than entry into force of the Protocol

- Designate a National Focal Point for the Protocol (Article 19.1)
- Provide the name and address of the CPB National Focal Point to the CBD Secretariat (Article 19.2)
- Designate one or more Competent National Authorities (Article 19.1)
- Provide the name and address of the Competent National Authorities to the CBD Secretariat (Article 19.2)
- In cases where more than one competent national authority has been designated, also convey to the Secretariat relevant information on the responsibilities of each authority (including at a minimum, the type of LMOs that each authority is responsible for).
- Identify an Article 17 point of contact to receive notification concerning any occurrence that may lead to unintentional transboundary movement of an LMO.
- Provide the name and address of the Article 17 focal point to the CBD Secretariat (Article 17.2).
- Designate a BCH Focal Point in order to be able to fulfill information-sharing obligations (COP-MOP decision BS-I/3)

5 Information to be shared following entry into force of the Protocol



OVERVIEW

Information that must be shared following entry into force of the Protocol includes changes of Competent National Authorities functions or contact details, laws and regulations, decisions on LMOs, risk assessment reports, any other decision, declaration, notification or report relevant to the Protocol, appointment and contact details of biosafety experts, capacity-building needs and priorities and capacity-building activities.

The Protocol relies heavily on the sharing of appropriate and timely information for its effective operation and implementation. The BCH is the Protocol's primary information-sharing system and an essential tool for implementation. Therefore many of the initial tasks that must be taken after entry into force are related to making information available to the BCH. Because the BCH plays such an important role in the operation of the Protocol for all Parties, it is essential to make any relevant information available and accessible as soon as possible.

5.1 Information-sharing under Article 20

Each Party is required to make available to the BCH information specified under paragraph 3 of Article 20 as follows:

- (a) Any existing laws, regulations and guidelines for implementation of the Protocol, as well as information required for the Advance Informed Agreement (AIA) procedure under the Protocol;
- (b) Any bilateral, regional and multilateral agreements and arrangements;
- (c) Summaries of risk assessments or environmental reviews of LMOs, including relevant information regarding processed products of LMO origin;
- (d) Final decisions regarding the importation or release of LMOs;
- (e) Reports submitted by it pursuant to Article 33, including those on the implementation of the AIA procedure.

In addition to Article 20, various other articles in the Protocol make direct or indirect reference to information that must be shared through the BCH.

5.2 Information required for the Advance Informed Agreement (AIA) procedure

Article 20(3)(a) refers to information required by Parties for the AIA procedure, some of which must be made available through the BCH as follows:

- Any Decision by the Party of import on whether to approve, prohibit or restrict the import (Article 10(3)), and the reasons on which it is based (Article 10(4));
- Where relevant, information on the domestic regulatory framework governing the import of LMOs from the Party of import (Articles 9 and 10);
- Information on risk assessment (Articles 10(1) and 15 and Annex III);
- Information on review of decisions (Article 12); and
- Information on simplified procedures (Article 13)

Not all information generated by the AIA procedure is reported to the BCH; for example, the notification of intended export from the Party of export or exporter (Article 8) and acknowledgement of receipt (Article 9) is materially a bilateral process.

Essentially, under Article 10 the Party of import has to communicate to the BCH (and to the notifier) its decision, **within 270 days of receiving the notification**, on whether or not to allow the proposed transboundary movement. The 270-day period specified in the Protocol is a maximum – i.e. Parties may inform the notifier and the BCH of their decision in a shorter time-frame if they have the capacity to do so.

In certain circumstances, the 270-day period may be extended. These circumstances include:

- Cases where additional information has been requested from the notifier. In such instances, the time during which the Party of import is waiting for the additional relevant information is effectively “added” to the 270-day period. (Article 10(3)(c)); and
- Cases where the Party of import informs the notifier that an additional defined period of time is required (Article 10(3)(d)).

The decision on whether or not to allow the import of LMOs is communicated to the BCH to allow Parties, governments, exporters, importers and other stakeholders, to find out which LMOs have been approved or prohibited for import for intentional introduction into the environment by a Party to the Protocol, and under what conditions (if any).

A Party of import may review and change a decision regarding an intentional transboundary movement in light of new scientific information on potential adverse effects on the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity, taking also into account the

risks to human health. In such a case, the Party must inform the BCH (and the notifier) **within 30 days of taking the decision**, including setting out the reasons for it (Article 12). Article 13 provides that Parties have the discretion to address certain LMO imports differently. Under Article 13.1(a), a Party may indicate that certain transboundary movements of LMOs may take place at the same time as the movement is notified to it i.e. without waiting for further decision procedures. A Party may also exempt (Article 13.1(b)) certain LMO imports from the AIA procedure. In either case, Parties which intend to make use of these provisions make available through the BCH the list of LMOs or information on the specific circumstances to which such procedures will apply. Article 14.4 allows for a general application of domestic regulations to specific imports rather than using the AIA procedure and also requires advance notification through the BCH.

5.3 LMOs intended for direct use as food or feed, or for processing (Article 11)

Under Article 11(1), a Party making a final decision regarding the release (domestic use and placing on the market) of an LMO at the domestic level, which may be exported for direct use as food or feed, or for processing (LMO-FFP), must make it available through the BCH **within 15 days of reaching the decision**.

Parties to the Protocol that usually import agricultural commodities for food, feed or for processing may respond to such information regarding the commercialization of LMO-FFP by taking a decision under their domestic regulatory frameworks, which have to be consistent with the objective of the Protocol. Each Party is required to make available through the BCH, copies of any laws, regulations and guidelines, if any, applicable to the import of LMOs-FFP (Article 11.5). This requirement is intended to promote transparency and predictability by allowing a person who intends to export a LMO-FFP to a Party to the Protocol to find out through the BCH what national regulations of the importing Party will apply to the proposed export.

This 15-day reporting window applies when, for example, a Party decides to permit the commercial marketing of a genetically modified maize plant within its territory that could subsequently be exported for animal feed or for processing for food or other use. By way of another example, it would also apply to a decision permitting the growing of domestically developed genetically modified cassava which may be exported for direct use as food, or for processing. (Note that if this cassava were then exported to another Party to be planted, it would fall under their AIA procedure since it would then be intended for introduction into the environment of the importing Party.)

Article 11 also applies to LMOs for direct use for processing. Examples of such LMOs may include those used in industrial processes for the production of plastics or oils.

The requirement to inform other Parties through the BCH does not apply where the decision made is concerning local field trials. However, as field trials are essentially intentional introduction of an LMO into the environment, Parties are encouraged to provide to the BCH risk assessment summaries of all cases of field trials whether the LMO in question is going to be subject to transboundary movements or commercialization (Decision BS-V/2).

In contrast to the AIA procedure, Article 11 of the Protocol does not require a Party exporting an LMO-FFP, or an exporter of a LMO-FFP, to provide any notification or information directly to the importing Party. Any such obligation will only be triggered by the domestic regulations of the importing Party. In practice, however, the domestic requirements of the importing Party often result in first imports of an LMO-FFP being subject to procedures similar to AIA. For example, the importing country's biosafety framework may require prior notification of a first import of an LMO-FFP, as well as a risk assessment, and explicit approval.

In the absence of a domestic regulatory framework, a developing country Party or a Party with an economy in transition may declare that it will take a decision with regard to a first import of an LMO-FFP following the procedures specified under paragraph 6 of Article 11. This is to ensure that any such Parties which do not yet have in place a domestic regulatory framework to address imports of LMO-FFPs may nonetheless subject LMO-FFP imports to prior notification and approval procedures in a manner consistent with the Protocol's objective. Any such Party which does not have a domestic regulatory framework for LMO-FFP imports in place, but which wishes to subject such imports to prior assessment and approval, should indicate this by making a declaration to this effect through the BCH.

Article 14 addresses the situation where Parties to the Protocol have concluded, or intend to conclude, a separate agreement or arrangement on intentional transboundary movement of LMOs. For example, it is possible that two neighbouring countries, which trade actively in LMOs, may decide to conclude an agreement that is more specific than the Protocol and is tailored to the particular situation and needs of those countries. An example of such an agreement could be the case of the European Union and its member States. As Parties to the Protocol, the European Community and its members may wish to apply, in precedence over the provisions of the Protocol, relevant EU legislation both within the internal market of the EU and to imports of LMOs from third-party States into the EU.



Checklist 2: Initial information required to be submitted to the BCH in order to implement the AIA procedure, imports of LMO-FFPs and other releases

- Existing national legislation, regulations and guidelines for implementing the Protocol, as well as information required by Parties for the AIA procedure (Article 20.3(a))
- Any bilateral, regional and multilateral agreements and arrangements regarding biosafety (Article 20.3(b))
- Notification on the application of domestic regulations to specific imports of LMOs (Article 14.4)
- Imports of LMOs exempted from the AIA procedure (Article 13.1(b))
- Cases in which intentional transboundary movement may take place at the same time as the movement is notified to the Party of import (Article 13.1(a))
- In the absence of a national regulatory framework , a declaration regarding the framework to be used for the first import of LMO-FFPs (Article 11.6)
- Final decisions regarding the importation or release of LMOs (Article 20.3(d)) *within 15 days of taking the decision for LMO-FFPs and within 270 days of receiving the notification for the AIA procedure.*
- Summaries of risk assessments generated by national regulatory process (Article 20.3(c))
- Information on review and change of a decision (Article 12.1) *within 30 days of taking the decision*
- Notification of a release that leads, or may lead, to an unintentional transboundary movement of a LMO that is likely to have significant adverse effects on the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity, taking also into account risks to human health (Article 17.1)
- Information concerning cases of illegal transboundary movements of LMOs (Article 25.3)

5.4 Protecting commercial information (Article 21)

Each Party is required to protect confidential information received under the Protocol and as identified by the notifier. It must put in place procedures to protect and treat such information in no less favourable manner than it treats confidential information in connection with domestically produced LMOs. Confidentiality must also be respected if the notifier withdraws the notification. Confidential information should not be made available through the BCH.

However, the following information shall not be considered confidential: (a) the name and address of the notifier; (b) general description of LMO; (c) summary of risk assessment and (d) methods and plans for emergency response.

5.5 Promoting public awareness and participation (Article 23)

The Biosafety Protocol requires and encourages Parties to inform and involve their public in matters relating to LMOs. More specifically, Parties shall:

- (1) Promote and facilitate public awareness, education and participation concerning the safe transfer, handling and use of LMOs.
- (2) Ensure access to information on LMOs, which may be imported.
- (3) Consult the public in the decision-making process regarding LMOs and make the results of decisions available to the public.
- (4) Endeavour to inform the public about the means of public access to the BCH.

5.6 *Transboundary movements of LMOs between Parties and non-Parties (Article 24)*

The Protocol does not prohibit transboundary movements of LMOs between Parties and non-Parties. Parties may enter into bilateral, regional and multilateral agreements and arrangements regarding intentional transboundary movements of LMOs. They can enter into such agreements and arrangements among themselves or with non-Parties.

However, the Protocol imposes an obligation on Parties to be consistent with the objective of the Protocol and it encourages non-Parties to adhere to the Protocol and make appropriate information available through the BCH.

5.7 *Other requirements*

There are some measures that are not directly required by the Protocol but are necessary for its effective implementation from the date of entry into force. These measures are sometimes prerequisites to achieve full compliance with the Protocol. The following are some of these practical requirements that are not directly provided for or authorized by the Protocol.

5.7.1 *Assessing capacity needs*

Most developing countries lack the necessary capacity (e.g. institutional infrastructure, skills and competence in a variety of fields such as risk assessment, risk management, information management etc.) to be able to implement the Protocol effectively. Accordingly, a necessary first strategic step is to assess and communicate through the BCH their capacity-building needs in order to facilitate needs-driven international cooperation in capacity-building activities (as provided for in Article 22 of the Protocol).

5.7.2 *Building and maintaining capacity to use the BCH*

The implementation of several provisions of the Protocol requires countries to make information available through the BCH. Accordingly, Parties require capacity to access the BCH.

5.7.3 *Preparing for meetings of the COP-MOP*

One of the advantages of becoming a Party to the Protocol is the right to participate in taking decisions of the COP-MOP. Although not a direct requirement under the Protocol, convening successful COP-MOP meetings is considered a primary and standing requirement of each Party. This is because the COP-MOP, by making appropriate

decisions, is the principal vehicle to promote and monitor the implementation of the Protocol.

Preparation in advance by Parties to the Protocol contributes enormously towards achieving efficiency in the decision-taking process and ensuring the decisions adequately reflect each Party's needs.

Looking at the nature of the various provisions of the Protocol, implementation takes place at different levels: national, individual or at international by COP-MOP. The focus of this manual has, however, been on information-sharing requirements that need to be fulfilled by each Party.

Like many other international agreements, implementation of the information-sharing requirements of the Biosafety Protocol is a continuous process. The practical implementation of some of the requirements may in fact be relevant only when and where the situation which gives rise to the requirements exists. However, the translation of the requirements of the Protocol into a specific and comprehensive domestic framework will greatly aid in implementing the provisions of the Protocol.

6 Information-sharing for non-Parties



OVERVIEW

All governments are encouraged to both share and access information through the BCH, including non-Parties

The BCH contains information that must be provided by Parties to the Protocol, such as decisions on release or importation of LMOs, risk assessments, competent national authorities, and national laws. However, Governments that are not Parties to the Protocol are also encouraged to contribute information to the BCH. In fact, a large number of the decisions currently in the BCH have been registered by non-Parties. The information registered in the BCH is freely available to both Parties and non-Parties in order to assist them in achieving the objectives of the Protocol.

Non-Parties are eligible for BCH user accounts with the same registration privileges as Parties. They should also advise the Secretariat of their BCH National Focal Point. In fact, since several of the information-sharing requirements must be notified through the BCH, *no later than* entry into force of the Protocol, it is prudent to ensure all of these requirements have been met some time before the Protocol enters into force.