

COMPLETE

Collector: BCH website (Website Survey)
Started: Monday, March 31, 2014 1:39:05 PM
Last Modified: Monday, March 31, 2014 1:49:14 PM

Time Spent: 00:10:09

PAGE 1

| Q1: Type of submission: Party | |
|-------------------------------|--|
|-------------------------------|--|

PAGE 2

| Q2: Name of the Party: | Costa Rica |
|---|---|
| Q3: Person submitting this questionnaire: | |
| Full Name: | Alex May Montero |
| Email Address: | alexmay@sfe.go.cr |
| Q4: Institution(s) or organization(s) that participated in the | Government authority(ies), Academic institution(s), |
| testing: | Non-governmental organization(s) |
| Q5: Context in which the testing was conducted | Group event(s) (e.g., w orkshop, training course, meeting) |
| | |
| Q6: Actual case(s) of risk assessment used in the testing: N Records (e.g. http://bch.cbd.int/database/record.shtml?docu http://bch.cbd.int/database/record.shtml?documentid=10490 technical and scientific data of the actual cases of risk asses | mentid=104904 and 5) or other publicly accessible web pages containing the |
| Records (e.g. http://bch.cbd.int/database/record.shtml?docu http://bch.cbd.int/database/record.shtml?documentid=10490 | mentid=104904 and 5) or other publicly accessible web pages containing the |
| Records (e.g. http://bch.cbd.int/database/record.shtml?docu http://bch.cbd.int/database/record.shtml?documentid=10490 technical and scientific data of the actual cases of risk asses | mentid=104904 and b) or other publicly accessible web pages containing the sment used in the testing. http://bch.cbd.int/database/record.shtml? |

| Q8: Name of the other Government: | Respondent skipped this question |
|--|----------------------------------|
| Q9: Person submitting this questionnaire: | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q10: Institution(s) or organization(s) that participated in the testing: | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q11: Context in which the testing was conducted | Respondent skipped this question |

Q12: Actual case(s) of risk assessment used in the testing: Note: Please enter the hyperlinks of BCH Risk Assessment Records (e.g. http://bch.cbd.int/database/record.shtml? documentid=104904 and http://bch.cbd.int/database/record.shtml?

documentid=104905) or other publicly accessible web pages containing the technical and scientific data of the actual

Respondent skipped this question

Q13: In what language was the Guidance tested?

cases of risk assessment used in the testing.

Respondent skipped this question

PAGE 4

| Q14: Name of the organization: | Respondent skipped this question |
|---|----------------------------------|
| Q15: Person submitting this questionnaire: | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q16: Institution(s) or organization(s) that participated in the testing: | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q17: Context in which the testing was conducted | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q18: Actual case(s) of risk assessment used in the testing: Note: Please enter the hyperlinks of BCH Risk Assessment Records (e.g. http://bch.cbd.int/database/record.shtml? documentid=104904 and http://bch.cbd.int/database/record.shtml? documentid=104905) or other publicly accessible web pages containing the technical and scientific data of the actual cases of risk assessment used in the testing. | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q19: In what language was the Guidance tested? | Respondent skipped this question |

PAGE 5

Q20: Would you like to submit an evaluation of the following section of the Guidance: Part I: The Roadmap for Risk Assessment

Yes

PAGE 6

| Q21: This section of the Guidance is practical.1 | |
|--|-------|
| (no label) | Agree |

Q22: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its practicality? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:

La herramienta está diseñada para personas que tengan experiencia en el tema de evaluación de riesgos. Países y personas sin experiencia requerirían entrenamiento. La herramienta no aporta un enfoque nuevo, pero ayuda en organizar sistemáticamente la información. Al ser una guía no tan rígida permite adpatarla al análisis.>

 ${\tt Q23:}$ This section of the Guidance is useful or has utility.2

(no label) Agree

| Q24: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its usefulness/utility? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made: |
|---|
| |

Q25: This section of the Guidance is consistent with the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety.3

(no label) Agree

Q26: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its consistency with the Protocol? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:

De acuerdo porque considera los movimientos transfronterizos desde el punto de vista ambiental. Sin embargo, al tener un enfoque ambiental podría ser omiso en el tema de salud humana. Éste último podría ser abordado con más detalle y aplicable con esta herramienta..>

Q27: This section of the Guidance takes into account past and present experiences with LMOs.4

(no label) Agree

Q28: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section in order to better take into account past and present experiences with LMOs? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:

⊟ grupo que validó esta herramienta cuenta en su mayoría con experiencia en análisis de riesgos y considera útil considerando las observaciones destacadas en los ítems anteriores..>

Q29: Here you may provide further details to explain your answers in evaluating this section of the Guidance:

Es necesario abordar la Formulación del Problema para poder entender la mecánica de la guía. Se debería de considerar la existencia de una forma gráfica de la guía que permita su comprensión. El instrumento es un primer acercamiento sobre cómo guiar el análisis de riesgos. Esta guía requiere de cooperación y asistencia técnica financiera en la implementación y continuidad de la misma. La discusión sobre cómo usarlo debería acompañarse de criterio experto con estudios de casos. Es importante que la herramienta sea sujeta a revisiones periódicas y eventuales mejoras. Es importante tomar en consideración otras guías que pueden complementar la misma por ejemplo D.Tree, ILSI, etc. >

PAGE 7

Q30: Would you like to submit an evaluation of the following section of the Guidance: Part II: Specific types of LMOs or Traits - Risk assessment of LMOs with stacked genes or traits

No

| Q31: This section of the Guidance is practical.1 | Respondent skipped this question |
|---|----------------------------------|
| Q32: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its practicality? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made: | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q33: This section of the Guidance is useful or has utility.2 | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q34: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its usefulness/utility? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made: | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q35: This section of the Guidance is consistent with the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety.3 | Respondent skipped this question |

| Q36: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its consistency with the Protocol? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made: | Respondent skipped this question |
|--|----------------------------------|
| Q37: This section of the Guidance takes into account past and present experiences with LMOs.4 | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q38: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section in order to better take into account past and present experiences with LMOs? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made: | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q39: Here you may provide further details to explain your answers in evaluating this section of the Guidance: | Respondent skipped this question |

PAGE 9

Q40: Would you like to submit an evaluation of the following section of the Guidance: Part II: Specific types of LMOs or Traits - Risk assessment of LM crops with tolerance to abiotic stress

No

| Q41: This section of the Guidance is practical.1 | Respondent skipped this question |
|--|----------------------------------|
| Q42: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its practicality? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made: | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q43: This section of the Guidance is useful or has utility.2 | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q44: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its usefulness/utility? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made: | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q45: This section of the Guidance is consistent with the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety.3 | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q46: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its consistency with the Protocol? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made: | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q47: This section of the Guidance takes into account past and present experiences with LMOs.4 | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q48: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section in order to better take into account past and present experiences with LMOs? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made: | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q49: Here you may provide further details to explain your answers in evaluating this section of the Guidance: | Respondent skipped this question |

Q50: Would you like to submit an evaluation of the following section of the Guidance: Part II: Specific types of LMOs or Traits - Risk assessment of LM mosquitoes

No

PAGE 12

| Q51: This section of the Guidance is practical.1 | Respondent skipped this question |
|--|----------------------------------|
| Q52: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its practicality? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made: | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q53: This section of the Guidance is useful or has utility.2 | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q54: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its usefulness/utility? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made: | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q55: This section of the Guidance is consistent with the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety.3 | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q56: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its consistency with the Protocol? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made: | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q57: This section of the Guidance takes into account past and present experiences with LMOs.4 | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q58: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section in order to better take into account past and present experiences with LMOs? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made: | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q59: Here you may provide further details to explain your answers in evaluating this section of the Guidance: | Respondent skipped this question |

PAGE 13

Q60: Would you like to submit an evaluation of the following section of the Guidance: Part II: Specific types of LMOs or Traits - Risk assessment of LM trees

No

| Q61: This section of the Guidance is practical.1 | Respondent skipped this question |
|---|----------------------------------|
| Q62: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its practicality? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made: | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q63: This section of the Guidance is useful or has utility.2 | Respondent skipped this question |

| Q64: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its usefulness/utility? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made: | Respondent skipped this question |
|--|----------------------------------|
| Q65: This section of the Guidance is consistent with the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety.3 | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q66: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its consistency with the Protocol? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made: | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q67: This section of the Guidance takes into account past and present experiences with LMOs.4 | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q68: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section in order to better take into account past and present experiences with LMOs? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made: | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q69: Here you may provide further details to explain your answers in evaluating this section of the Guidance: | Respondent skipped this question |

PAGE 15

| Q70: Would you like to submit an evaluation of the following |
|--|
| section of the Guidance: Part III: Monitoring of LMOs |
| Released into the Environment |

No

| Q71: This section of the Guidance is practical.1 | Respondent skipped this question |
|--|----------------------------------|
| Q72: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its practicality? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made: | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q73: This section of the Guidance is useful or has utility.2 | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q74: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its usefulness/utility? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made: | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q75: This section of the Guidance is consistent with the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety.3 | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q76: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its consistency with the Protocol? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made: | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q77: This section of the Guidance takes into account past and present experiences with LMOs.4 | Respondent skipped this question |

| Q78: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section in order to better take into account past and present experiences with LMOs? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made: | Respondent skipped this question | |
|--|----------------------------------|--|
| Q79: Here you may provide further details to explain your answers in evaluating this section of the Guidance: | Respondent skipped this question | |

PAGE 17

| Q80: Would you like to submit an evaluation of the following | No |
|--|----|
| section of the Guidance: Background Documents | |

PAGE 18

| Q81: This section of the Guidance is practical.1 | Respondent skipped this question |
|---|----------------------------------|
| Q82: This section of the Guidance is useful or has utility.2 | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q83: This section of the Guidance is consistent with the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety.3 | Respondent skipped this question |
| Q84: This section of the Guidance takes into account past and present experiences with LMOs.4 | Respondent skipped this question |

| Q85: Please use the space below if you wish to provide additional feedback regarding the testing of the Guidance on Risk Assessment of Living Modified Organisms: | Respondent skipped this question |
|---|----------------------------------|
|---|----------------------------------|