

COMPLETE

Collector: BCH website (Website Survey)
Started: Monday, March 31, 2014 3:23:59 AM
Last Modified: Monday, March 31, 2014 3:31:44 AM

Time Spent: 00:07:45

PAGE 1

Q1: Type of submission:	Organization

PAGE 2

Q2: Name of the Party:	Respondent skipped this question
Q3: Person submitting this questionnaire:	Respondent skipped this question
Q4: Institution(s) or organization(s) that participated in the testing:	Respondent skipped this question
Q5: Context in which the testing was conducted	Respondent skipped this question
Q6: Actual case(s) of risk assessment used in the testing: Note: Please enter the hyperlinks of BCH Risk Assessment Records (e.g. http://bch.cbd.int/database/record.shtml? documentid=104904 and http://bch.cbd.int/database/record.shtml? documentid=104905) or other publicly accessible web pages containing the technical and scientific data of the actual cases of risk assessment used in the testing.	Respondent skipped this question
Q7: In what language was the Guidance tested?	Respondent skipped this question

Q8: Name of the other Government:	Respondent skipped this question
Q9: Person submitting this questionnaire:	Respondent skipped this question
Q10: Institution(s) or organization(s) that participated in the testing:	Respondent skipped this question
Q11: Context in which the testing was conducted	Respondent skipped this question
Q12: Actual case(s) of risk assessment used in the testing: Note: Please enter the hyperlinks of BCH Risk Assessment Records (e.g. http://bch.cbd.int/database/record.shtml? documentid=104904 and http://bch.cbd.int/database/record.shtml? documentid=104905) or other publicly accessible web pages containing the technical and scientific data of the actual cases of risk assessment used in the testing.	Respondent skipped this question
Q13: In what language was the Guidance tested?	Respondent skipped this question

PAGE 4

Q14: Name of the organization:	GenOk - Centre for Biosafety
Q15: Person submitting this questionnaire:	
Full Name:	Odd-Gunnar Wikmark
Email Address:	odd-gunnar.w ikmark@genok.org
Q16: Institution(s) or organization(s) that participated i	n the Academic institution(s),
testing:	Other (please specify)
	National competence centre for Biosafety Norway.
Q17: Context in which the testing was conducted	Group event(s) (e.g., w orkshop, training course, meeting)
Records (e.g. http://bch.cbd.int/database/record.shtml	104905) or other publicly accessible web pages containing the
Records (e.g. http://bch.cbd.int/database/record.shtml/ http://bch.cbd.int/database/record.shtml?documentid=	?documentid=104904 and :104905) or other publicly accessible web pages containing the

PAGE 5

Q20: Would you like to submit an evaluation of the following section of the Guidance: Part I: The Roadmap for Risk Assessment

Yes

PAGE 6

Q21: This section of the Guidance is practical.1

(no label) Strongly Agree

Q22: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its practicality? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:

The treatment of dealing with uncertainty in the risk assessment would be better served by outlining a framework that elaborates on the three main components for describing of uncertainty, namely its nature, source and level for each identified uncertainty. The guidance could be improved by adopting some common, simplified approaches currently under use.

Q23: This section of the Guidance is useful or has utility.2

(no label) Strongly Agree

Q24: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its usefulness/utility? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:

The issue of defining the problems and issues to address up front is an essential component of the risk assessment process, as discussed in the "context and scoping" and "step 1" in the "conduction the risk assessment" sections. Therefore, term "problem formulation process" on line 403 is perhaps in need of defining

Q25: This section of the Guidance is consistent with the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety.3

(no label) Strongly Agree

Q26: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its consistency with the Protocol? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:

Protection of human health is mentioned in as a core protection goal under the Protocol, yet the consistency between this important goal and its consideration in the Guidance is disproportional. Greater weight and consideration should be given to appropriate and robust strategices for appraising the risks to human health in the document.

Q27: This section of the Guidance takes into account past and present experiences with LMOs.4

(no label) Strongly Agree

Q28: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section in order to better take into account past and present experiences with LMOs? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:

Respondent skipped this question

Q29: Here you may provide further details to explain your answers in evaluating this section of the Guidance:

The guidance makes does not reflect existing experience with environmental risk assessments which strongly considers what is catagorized as "related issues" in the guidance, such as how it is done in practice in Norway. Line 715 should be changed to reflect this experience, to say "Other issues that may be part of the risk assessment or decision-making process, as appropriate, and that are mentioned in other articles of the Protocol, include

PAGE 7

Q30: Would you like to submit an evaluation of the following section of the Guidance: Part II: Specific types of LMOs or Traits - Risk assessment of LMOs with stacked genes or traits

Yes

Q31: This section of the Guidance is practical.1		
(no label)	Strongly Agree	
Q32: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its practicality? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:	Respondent skipped this question	
Q33: This section of the Guidance is useful or has utility.2		
(no label)	Strongly Agree	
Q34: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its usefulness/utility? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:	Respondent skipped this question	
Q35: This section of the Guidance is consistent with the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety.3		
(no label)	Strongly Agree	
Q36: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its consistency with the Protocol? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:	Respondent skipped this question	
Q37: This section of the Guidance takes into account past and p	present experiences with LMOs.4	

Q38: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section in order to better take into account past and present experiences with LMOs? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:

Text line 1041 discusses the use of "-omics" approaches in risk assessment. As these techniques are currently being applied, particularly in relation to iRNA LMOs, its characterization as "as it becomes available" is no longer applicable. The phrasing "as it becomes available" should be removed to reflect current experience

Q39: Here you may provide further details to explain your answers in evaluating this section of the Guidance:

Respondent skipped this question

PAGE 9

Q40: Would you like to submit an evaluation of the following section of the Guidance: Part II: Specific types of LMOs or Traits - Risk assessment of LM crops with tolerance to abiotic stress

No

PAGE 10

Q41: This section of the Guidance is practical.1	Respondent skipped this question
Q42: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its practicality? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:	Respondent skipped this question
Q43: This section of the Guidance is useful or has utility.2	Respondent skipped this question
Q44: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its usefulness/utility? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:	Respondent skipped this question
Q45: This section of the Guidance is consistent with the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety.3	Respondent skipped this question
Q46: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its consistency with the Protocol? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:	Respondent skipped this question
Q47: This section of the Guidance takes into account past and present experiences with LMOs.4	Respondent skipped this question
Q48: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section in order to better take into account past and present experiences with LMOs? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:	Respondent skipped this question
Q49: Here you may provide further details to explain your answers in evaluating this section of the Guidance:	Respondent skipped this question

PAGE 11

Q50: Would you like to submit an evaluation of the following section of the Guidance: Part II: Specific types of LMOs or Traits - Risk assessment of LM mosquitoes

No

PAGE 12

Q51: This section of the Guidance is practical.1	Respondent skipped this question
Q52: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its practicality? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:	Respondent skipped this question
Q53: This section of the Guidance is useful or has utility.2	Respondent skipped this question
Q54: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its usefulness/utility? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:	Respondent skipped this question
Q55: This section of the Guidance is consistent with the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety.3	Respondent skipped this question
Q56: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its consistency with the Protocol? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:	Respondent skipped this question
Q57: This section of the Guidance takes into account past and present experiences with LMOs.4	Respondent skipped this question
Q58: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section in order to better take into account past and present experiences with LMOs? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:	Respondent skipped this question
Q59: Here you may provide further details to explain your answers in evaluating this section of the Guidance:	Respondent skipped this question

PAGE 13

Q60: Would you like to submit an evaluation of the following section of the Guidance: Part II: Specific types of LMOs or Traits - Risk assessment of LM trees

No

Q61: This section of the Guidance is practical.1	Respondent skipped this question
Q62: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its practicality? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:	Respondent skipped this question
Q63: This section of the Guidance is useful or has utility.2	Respondent skipped this question
Q64: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its usefulness/utility? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:	Respondent skipped this question
Q65: This section of the Guidance is consistent with the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety.3	Respondent skipped this question

Q66: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its consistency with the Protocol? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:	Respondent skipped this question
Q67: This section of the Guidance takes into account past and present experiences with LMOs.4	Respondent skipped this question
Q68: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section in order to better take into account past and present experiences with LMOs? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:	Respondent skipped this question
Q69: Here you may provide further details to explain your answers in evaluating this section of the Guidance:	Respondent skipped this question

PAGE 15

Q70: Would you like to submit an evaluation of the following section of the Guidance: Part III: Monitoring of LMOs Released into the Environment

Yes

no label)	Strongly Agree
Q72: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its practicality? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:	Respondent skipped this question
Q73: This section of the Guidance is useful or has utility.2	
(no label)	Strongly Agree
Q74: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its usefulness/utility? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:	Respondent skipped this question
Q75: This section of the Guidance is consistent with the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety.3	
(no label)	Strongly Agree
Q76: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its consistency with the Protocol? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:	Respondent skipped this question
Q77: This section of the Guidance takes into account past and present experiences with LMOs.4	
(no label)	Strongly Agree
Q78: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section in order to better take into account past and present experiences with LMOs? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:	Respondent skipped this question
	Respondent skipped this question

PAGE 17

Q80: Would you like to submit an evaluation of the following section of the Guidance: Background Documents

No

PAGE 18

Q81: This section of the Guidance is practical.1	Respondent skipped this question
Q82: This section of the Guidance is useful or has utility.2	Respondent skipped this question
Q83: This section of the Guidance is consistent with the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety.3	Respondent skipped this question
Q84: This section of the Guidance takes into account past and present experiences with LMOs.4	Respondent skipped this question

PAGE 19

Q85: Please use the space below if you wish to provide additional feedback regarding the testing of the Guidance on Risk Assessment of Living Modified Organisms:

Respondent skipped this question