

## **COMPLETE**

Collector: BCH website (Website Survey)
Started: Monday, March 31, 2014 9:53:15 AM
Last Modified: Monday, March 31, 2014 9:54:46 AM

Time Spent: 00:01:31

#### PAGE 1

Q1: Type of submission:	Party	

#### PAGE 2

Q2: Name of the Party:	Georgia
Q3: Person submitting this questionnaire:	
Full Name:	Sophio Devdariani
Email Address:	s.devdariani@moe.gov.ge
Q4: Institution(s) or organization(s) that participated in the	Government authority(ies), Academic institution(s),
testing:	Non-governmental organization(s)
Q5: Context in which the testing was conducted	Group event(s) (e.g., w orkshop, training course, meeting)
Actual case(s) of risk assessment used in the testing: Note: Please enter the hyperlinks of BCH Risk Assessment cords (e.g. http://bch.cbd.int/database/record.shtml?documentid=104904 and o://bch.cbd.int/database/record.shtml?documentid=104905) or other publicly accessible web pages containing the hnical and scientific data of the actual cases of risk assessment used in the testing.	
te chilled and 3 cientine data of the detaal cases of fish asses	
Risk Assessment 1:	http://bch.cbd.int/database/record.shtml? documentid=104904

8: Name of the other Government:	Respondent skipped this question
Q9: Person submitting this questionnaire:	Respondent skipped this question
Q10: Institution(s) or organization(s) that participated in the testing:	Respondent skipped this question
Q11: Context in which the testing was conducted	Respondent skipped this question
Q12: Actual case(s) of risk assessment used in the testing: Note: Please enter the hyperlinks of BCH Risk Assessment Records (e.g. http://bch.cbd.int/database/record.shtml? documentid=104904 and http://bch.cbd.int/database/record.shtml? documentid=104905) or other publicly accessible web pages containing the technical and scientific data of the actual cases of risk assessment used in the testing.	Respondent skipped this question

Q13: In what language was the Guidance tested?

Respondent skipped this question

#### PAGE 4

Q14: Name of the organization:	Respondent skipped this question
Q15: Person submitting this questionnaire:	Respondent skipped this question
Q16: Institution(s) or organization(s) that participated in the testing:	Respondent skipped this question
Q17: Context in which the testing was conducted	Respondent skipped this question
Q18: Actual case(s) of risk assessment used in the testing: Note: Please enter the hyperlinks of BCH Risk Assessment Records (e.g. http://bch.cbd.int/database/record.shtml? documentid=104904 and http://bch.cbd.int/database/record.shtml? documentid=104905) or other publicly accessible web pages containing the technical and scientific data of the actual cases of risk assessment used in the testing.	Respondent skipped this question
Q19: In what language was the Guidance tested?	Respondent skipped this question

#### PAGE 5

Q20: Would you like to submit an evaluation of the following section of the Guidance: Part I: The Roadmap for Risk Assessment

Yes

Q21: This section of the Guidance is practical.1	
(no label)	Agree
Q22: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its practicality? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:	Respondent skipped this question
Q23: This section of the Guidance is useful or has utility.2	
(no label)	Agree
Q24: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its usefulness/utility? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:	Respondent skipped this question
Q25: This section of the Guidance is consistent with the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety.3	
(no label)	Agree
Q26: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its consistency with the Protocol? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:	Respondent skipped this question

Q27: This section of the Guidance takes into account past and present experiences with LMOs.4	
(no label)	Agree
Q28: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section in order to better take into account past and present experiences with LMOs? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:	Respondent skipped this question
Q29: Here you may provide further details to explain your answers in evaluating this section of the Guidance:	Respondent skipped this question

# PAGE 7

## PAGE 8

Respondent skipped this question
n Respondent skipped this question
Respondent skipped this question
n Respondent skipped this question
Respondent skipped this question
n Respondent skipped this question
d Respondent skipped this question
n Respondent skipped this question
Respondent skipped this question

Q40: Would you like to submit an evaluation of the following section of the Guidance: Part II: Specific types of LMOs or Traits - Risk assessment of LM crops with tolerance to abiotic stress

No

## PAGE 10

Q41: This section of the Guidance is practical.1	Respondent skipped this question
Q42: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its practicality? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:	Respondent skipped this question
Q43: This section of the Guidance is useful or has utility.2	Respondent skipped this question
Q44: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its usefulness/utility? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:	Respondent skipped this question
Q45: This section of the Guidance is consistent with the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety.3	Respondent skipped this question
Q46: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its consistency with the Protocol? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:	Respondent skipped this question
Q47: This section of the Guidance takes into account past and present experiences with LMOs.4	Respondent skipped this question
Q48: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section in order to better take into account past and present experiences with LMOs? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:	Respondent skipped this question
Q49: Here you may provide further details to explain your answers in evaluating this section of the Guidance:	Respondent skipped this question

### PAGE 11

Q50: Would you like to submit an evaluation of the following
section of the Guidance: Part II: Specific types of LMOs or
Traits - Risk assessment of LM mosquitoes

No

Q51: This section of the Guidance is practical.1	Respondent skipped this question
Q52: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its practicality? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:	Respondent skipped this question
Q53: This section of the Guidance is useful or has utility.2	Respondent skipped this question

Q54: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its usefulness/utility? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:	Respondent skipped this question
Q55: This section of the Guidance is consistent with the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety.3	Respondent skipped this question
Q56: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its consistency with the Protocol? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:	Respondent skipped this question
Q57: This section of the Guidance takes into account past and present experiences with LMOs.4	Respondent skipped this question
Q58: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section in order to better take into account past and present experiences with LMOs? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:	Respondent skipped this question
Q59: Here you may provide further details to explain your answers in evaluating this section of the Guidance:	Respondent skipped this question

# PAGE 13

Q60: Would you like to submit an evaluation of the following
section of the Guidance: Part II: Specific types of LMOs or
Traits - Risk assessment of LM trees

No

Q61: This section of the Guidance is practical.1	Respondent skipped this question
Q62: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its practicality? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:	Respondent skipped this question
Q63: This section of the Guidance is useful or has utility.2	Respondent skipped this question
Q64: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its usefulness/utility? If so, please indicate the ine numbers and explain which improvements could be made:	Respondent skipped this question
Q65: This section of the Guidance is consistent with the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety.3	Respondent skipped this question
Q66: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its consistency with the Protocol? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:	Respondent skipped this question
Q67: This section of the Guidance takes into account past and present experiences with LMOs.4	Respondent skipped this question

Q68: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section in order to better take into account past and present experiences with LMOs? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:	Respondent skipped this question
Q69: Here you may provide further details to explain your answers in evaluating this section of the Guidance:	Respondent skipped this question

#### PAGE 15

 ${\tt Q70:}$  Would you like to submit an evaluation of the following section of the Guidance: Part III: Monitoring of LMOs Released into the Environment

No

#### PAGE 16

Q71: This section of the Guidance is practical.1	Respondent skipped this question
Q72: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its practicality? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:	Respondent skipped this question
Q73: This section of the Guidance is useful or has utility.2	Respondent skipped this question
Q74: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its usefulness/utility? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:	Respondent skipped this question
Q75: This section of the Guidance is consistent with the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety.3	Respondent skipped this question
Q76: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its consistency with the Protocol? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:	Respondent skipped this question
Q77: This section of the Guidance takes into account past and present experiences with LMOs.4	Respondent skipped this question
Q78: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section in order to better take into account past and present experiences with LMOs? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:	Respondent skipped this question
Q79: Here you may provide further details to explain your answers in evaluating this section of the Guidance:	Respondent skipped this question

#### PAGE 17

Q80: Would you like to submit an evaluation of the following No section of the Guidance: Background Documents

Q81: This section of the Guidance is practical.1	Respondent skipped this question
Q82: This section of the Guidance is useful or has utility.2	Respondent skipped this question
Q83: This section of the Guidance is consistent with the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety.3	Respondent skipped this question
Q84: This section of the Guidance takes into account past and present experiences with LMOs.4	Respondent skipped this question

Q85: Please use the space below if you wish to provide additional feedback regarding the testing of the Guidance on Risk Assessment of Living Modified Organisms:	cipped this question
---	----------------------