


# Testing of the Guidance on Risk Assessment of Living Modified Organisms

#7



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** BCH website (Website Survey)

**Started:** Monday, March 31, 2014 10:25:49 AM

**Last Modified:** Monday, March 31, 2014 10:27:13 AM

**Time Spent:** 00:01:24

## PAGE 1

**Q1: Type of submission:**

Organization

## PAGE 2

**Q2: Name of the Party:**

*Respondent skipped this question*

**Q3: Person submitting this questionnaire:**

*Respondent skipped this question*

**Q4: Institution(s) or organization(s) that participated in the testing:**

*Respondent skipped this question*

**Q5: Context in which the testing was conducted**

*Respondent skipped this question*

**Q6: Actual case(s) of risk assessment used in the testing:**  
**Note:** Please enter the hyperlinks of BCH Risk Assessment Records (e.g. <http://bch.cbd.int/database/record.shtml?documentid=104904> and <http://bch.cbd.int/database/record.shtml?documentid=104905>) or other publicly accessible web pages containing the technical and scientific data of the actual cases of risk assessment used in the testing.

*Respondent skipped this question*

**Q7: In what language was the Guidance tested?**

*Respondent skipped this question*

## PAGE 3

**Q8: Name of the other Government:**

*Respondent skipped this question*

**Q9: Person submitting this questionnaire:**

*Respondent skipped this question*

**Q10: Institution(s) or organization(s) that participated in the testing:**

*Respondent skipped this question*

**Q11: Context in which the testing was conducted**

*Respondent skipped this question*

**Q12: Actual case(s) of risk assessment used in the testing:**  
**Note:** Please enter the hyperlinks of BCH Risk Assessment Records (e.g. <http://bch.cbd.int/database/record.shtml?documentid=104904> and <http://bch.cbd.int/database/record.shtml?documentid=104905>) or other publicly accessible web pages containing the technical and scientific data of the actual cases of risk assessment used in the testing.

*Respondent skipped this question*

**Q13: In what language was the Guidance tested?**

*Respondent skipped this question*

# Testing of the Guidance on Risk Assessment of Living Modified Organisms

PAGE 4

<b>Q14: Name of the organization:</b>	International Association for Human and Animals Health Improvement & Food Safety and Biotechnology department at Armenian National Agrarian University
<b>Q15: Person submitting this questionnaire:</b>	
Full Name:	Pepoyan Astghik Zavenovna_COPY_ORIGINAL_RU
Email Address:	apepoyan@gmail.com
<b>Q16: Institution(s) or organization(s) that participated in the testing:</b>	Academic institution(s), Non-governmental organization(s)
<b>Q17: Context in which the testing was conducted</b>	Group event(s) (e.g., workshop, training course, meeting)
<b>Q18: Actual case(s) of risk assessment used in the testing: Note: Please enter the hyperlinks of BCH Risk Assessment Records (e.g. <a href="http://bch.cbd.int/database/record.shtml?documentid=104904">http://bch.cbd.int/database/record.shtml?documentid=104904</a> and <a href="http://bch.cbd.int/database/record.shtml?documentid=104905">http://bch.cbd.int/database/record.shtml?documentid=104905</a>) or other publicly accessible web pages containing the technical and scientific data of the actual cases of risk assessment used in the testing.</b>	
Risk Assessment 1:	<a href="http://bch.cbd.int/database/record.shtml?documentid=104904">http://bch.cbd.int/database/record.shtml?documentid=104904</a>
<b>Q19: In what language was the Guidance tested?</b>	Russian

PAGE 5

<b>Q20: Would you like to submit an evaluation of the following section of the Guidance: Part I: The Roadmap for Risk Assessment</b>	Yes
--	-----

PAGE 6

<b>Q21: This section of the Guidance is practical.1</b>	
(no label)	Strongly Agree
<b>Q22: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its practicality? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:</b>	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
<b>Q23: This section of the Guidance is useful or has utility.2</b>	
(no label)	Strongly Agree
<b>Q24: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its usefulness/utility? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:</b>	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
<b>Q25: This section of the Guidance is consistent with the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety.3</b>	
(no label)	Strongly Agree
<b>Q26: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its consistency with the Protocol? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:</b>	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>

# Testing of the Guidance on Risk Assessment of Living Modified Organisms

**Q27: This section of the Guidance takes into account past and present experiences with LMOs.4**

(no label)

Strongly Agree

**Q28: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section in order to better take into account past and present experiences with LMOs? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:**

*Respondent skipped this question*

**Q29: Here you may provide further details to explain your answers in evaluating this section of the Guidance:**

*Respondent skipped this question*

PAGE 7

**Q30: Would you like to submit an evaluation of the following section of the Guidance: Part II: Specific types of LMOs or Traits - Risk assessment of LMOs with stacked genes or traits**

No

PAGE 8

**Q31: This section of the Guidance is practical.1**

*Respondent skipped this question*

**Q32: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its practicality? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:**

*Respondent skipped this question*

**Q33: This section of the Guidance is useful or has utility.2**

*Respondent skipped this question*

**Q34: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its usefulness/utility? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:**

*Respondent skipped this question*

**Q35: This section of the Guidance is consistent with the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety.3**

*Respondent skipped this question*

**Q36: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its consistency with the Protocol? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:**

*Respondent skipped this question*

**Q37: This section of the Guidance takes into account past and present experiences with LMOs.4**

*Respondent skipped this question*

**Q38: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section in order to better take into account past and present experiences with LMOs? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:**

*Respondent skipped this question*

**Q39: Here you may provide further details to explain your answers in evaluating this section of the Guidance:**

*Respondent skipped this question*

PAGE 9

# Testing of the Guidance on Risk Assessment of Living Modified Organisms

**Q40: Would you like to submit an evaluation of the following section of the Guidance: Part II: Specific types of LMOs or Traits - Risk assessment of LM crops with tolerance to abiotic stress** No

PAGE 10

**Q41: This section of the Guidance is practical.1** Respondent skipped this question

**Q42: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its practicality? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:** Respondent skipped this question

**Q43: This section of the Guidance is useful or has utility.2** Respondent skipped this question

**Q44: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its usefulness/utility? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:** Respondent skipped this question

**Q45: This section of the Guidance is consistent with the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety.3** Respondent skipped this question

**Q46: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its consistency with the Protocol? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:** Respondent skipped this question

**Q47: This section of the Guidance takes into account past and present experiences with LMOs.4** Respondent skipped this question

**Q48: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section in order to better take into account past and present experiences with LMOs? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:** Respondent skipped this question

**Q49: Here you may provide further details to explain your answers in evaluating this section of the Guidance:** Respondent skipped this question

PAGE 11

**Q50: Would you like to submit an evaluation of the following section of the Guidance: Part II: Specific types of LMOs or Traits - Risk assessment of LM mosquitoes** No

PAGE 12

**Q51: This section of the Guidance is practical.1** Respondent skipped this question

**Q52: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its practicality? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:** Respondent skipped this question

**Q53: This section of the Guidance is useful or has utility.2** Respondent skipped this question

# Testing of the Guidance on Risk Assessment of Living Modified Organisms

**Q54: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its usefulness/utility? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:**

*Respondent skipped this question*

**Q55: This section of the Guidance is consistent with the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety.3**

*Respondent skipped this question*

**Q56: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its consistency with the Protocol? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:**

*Respondent skipped this question*

**Q57: This section of the Guidance takes into account past and present experiences with LMOs.4**

*Respondent skipped this question*

**Q58: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section in order to better take into account past and present experiences with LMOs? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:**

*Respondent skipped this question*

**Q59: Here you may provide further details to explain your answers in evaluating this section of the Guidance:**

*Respondent skipped this question*

PAGE 13

**Q60: Would you like to submit an evaluation of the following section of the Guidance: Part II: Specific types of LMOs or Traits - Risk assessment of LM trees**

No

PAGE 14

**Q61: This section of the Guidance is practical.1**

*Respondent skipped this question*

**Q62: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its practicality? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:**

*Respondent skipped this question*

**Q63: This section of the Guidance is useful or has utility.2**

*Respondent skipped this question*

**Q64: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its usefulness/utility? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:**

*Respondent skipped this question*

**Q65: This section of the Guidance is consistent with the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety.3**

*Respondent skipped this question*

**Q66: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its consistency with the Protocol? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:**

*Respondent skipped this question*

**Q67: This section of the Guidance takes into account past and present experiences with LMOs.4**

*Respondent skipped this question*

# Testing of the Guidance on Risk Assessment of Living Modified Organisms

**Q68: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section in order to better take into account past and present experiences with LMOs? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:** *Respondent skipped this question*

**Q69: Here you may provide further details to explain your answers in evaluating this section of the Guidance:** *Respondent skipped this question*

PAGE 15

**Q70: Would you like to submit an evaluation of the following section of the Guidance: Part III: Monitoring of LMOs Released into the Environment** No

PAGE 16

**Q71: This section of the Guidance is practical.1** *Respondent skipped this question*

**Q72: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its practicality? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:** *Respondent skipped this question*

**Q73: This section of the Guidance is useful or has utility.2** *Respondent skipped this question*

**Q74: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its usefulness/utility? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:** *Respondent skipped this question*

**Q75: This section of the Guidance is consistent with the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety.3** *Respondent skipped this question*

**Q76: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its consistency with the Protocol? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:** *Respondent skipped this question*

**Q77: This section of the Guidance takes into account past and present experiences with LMOs.4** *Respondent skipped this question*

**Q78: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section in order to better take into account past and present experiences with LMOs? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:** *Respondent skipped this question*

**Q79: Here you may provide further details to explain your answers in evaluating this section of the Guidance:** *Respondent skipped this question*

PAGE 17

**Q80: Would you like to submit an evaluation of the following section of the Guidance: Background Documents** No

PAGE 18

## Testing of the Guidance on Risk Assessment of Living Modified Organisms

**Q81: This section of the Guidance is practical.<sup>1</sup>**

*Respondent skipped this question*

**Q82: This section of the Guidance is useful or has utility.<sup>2</sup>**

*Respondent skipped this question*

**Q83: This section of the Guidance is consistent with the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety.<sup>3</sup>**

*Respondent skipped this question*

**Q84: This section of the Guidance takes into account past and present experiences with LMOs.<sup>4</sup>**

*Respondent skipped this question*

PAGE 19

**Q85: Please use the space below if you wish to provide additional feedback regarding the testing of the Guidance on Risk Assessment of Living Modified Organisms:**

*Respondent skipped this question*