

Testing of the Guidance on Risk Assessment of Living Modified Organisms

#2



COMPLETE

Collector: BCH website (Website Survey)

Started: Tuesday, October 15, 2013 1:41:34 AM

Last Modified: Monday, December 09, 2013 2:36:11 AM

Time Spent: Over a month

PAGE 1

Q1: Type of submission:

Party

PAGE 2

Q2: Name of the Party:

Viet Nam

Q3: Person submitting this questionnaire:

Full Name:

Ta Thi Kieu Anh

Email Address:

takieuanh@gmail.com

Q4: Institution(s) or organization(s) that participated in the testing:

Government authority(ies)

Q5: Context in which the testing was conducted

Individual exercise(s)

Q6: Actual case(s) of risk assessment used in the testing: Note: Please enter the hyperlinks of BCH Risk Assessment Records (e.g. <http://bch.cbd.int/database/record.shtml?documentid=104904> and <http://bch.cbd.int/database/record.shtml?documentid=104905>) or other publicly accessible web pages containing the technical and scientific data of the actual cases of risk assessment used in the testing.

Risk Assessment 1:

<http://bch.cbd.int/database/record.shtml?documentid=104904>

Q7: In what language was the Guidance tested?

English

PAGE 3

Q8: Name of the other Government:

Respondent skipped this question

Q9: Person submitting this questionnaire:

Respondent skipped this question

Q10: Institution(s) or organization(s) that participated in the testing:

Respondent skipped this question

Q11: Context in which the testing was conducted

Respondent skipped this question

Q12: Actual case(s) of risk assessment used in the testing: Note: Please enter the hyperlinks of BCH Risk Assessment Records (e.g. <http://bch.cbd.int/database/record.shtml?documentid=104904> and <http://bch.cbd.int/database/record.shtml?documentid=104905>) or other publicly accessible web pages containing the technical and scientific data of the actual cases of risk assessment used in the testing.

Respondent skipped this question

Q13: In what language was the Guidance tested?

Respondent skipped this question

PAGE 4

Q14: Name of the organization:	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
Q15: Person submitting this questionnaire:	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
Q16: Institution(s) or organization(s) that participated in the testing:	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
Q17: Context in which the testing was conducted	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
Q18: Actual case(s) of risk assessment used in the testing: Note: Please enter the hyperlinks of BCH Risk Assessment Records (e.g. http://bch.cbd.int/database/record.shtml?documentid=104904 and http://bch.cbd.int/database/record.shtml?documentid=104905) or other publicly accessible web pages containing the technical and scientific data of the actual cases of risk assessment used in the testing.	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
Q19: In what language was the Guidance tested?	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>

PAGE 5

Q20: Would you like to submit an evaluation of the following section of the Guidance: Part I: The Roadmap for Risk Assessment	Yes
--	-----

PAGE 6

Q21: This section of the Guidance is practical.1 (no label)	Neutral
Q22: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its practicality? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made: It need to re-consider follow ing items: - its taxonomic relationships; - its origin, centres of origin and centres of genetic diversity; - ecological function Because, the above categories don't reflect any adverse affect of the LMOs and make the assessor difficult to imagine w hat kind of adverse affect relating to these categories. Therefore, it should clarified specific adverse effects in each categories	
Q23: This section of the Guidance is useful or has utility.2 (no label)	Neutral
Q24: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its usefulness/utility? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
Q25: This section of the Guidance is consistent with the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety.3 (no label)	Agree

Testing of the Guidance on Risk Assessment of Living Modified Organisms

Q26: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its consistency with the Protocol? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made: *Respondent skipped this question*

Q27: This section of the Guidance takes into account past and present experiences with LMOs.4

(no label)

Agree

Q28: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section in order to better take into account past and present experiences with LMOs? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made: *Respondent skipped this question*

Q29: Here you may provide further details to explain your answers in evaluating this section of the Guidance:

Respondent skipped this question

PAGE 7

Q30: Would you like to submit an evaluation of the following section of the Guidance: Part II: Specific types of LMOs or Traits - Risk assessment of LMOs with stacked genes or traits

No

PAGE 8

Q31: This section of the Guidance is practical.1

Respondent skipped this question

Q32: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its practicality? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:

Respondent skipped this question

Q33: This section of the Guidance is useful or has utility.2

Respondent skipped this question

Q34: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its usefulness/utility? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:

Respondent skipped this question

Q35: This section of the Guidance is consistent with the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety.3

Respondent skipped this question

Q36: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its consistency with the Protocol? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:

Respondent skipped this question

Q37: This section of the Guidance takes into account past and present experiences with LMOs.4

Respondent skipped this question

Q38: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section in order to better take into account past and present experiences with LMOs? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:

Respondent skipped this question

Q39: Here you may provide further details to explain your answers in evaluating this section of the Guidance:

Respondent skipped this question

PAGE 9

Testing of the Guidance on Risk Assessment of Living Modified Organisms

Q40: Would you like to submit an evaluation of the following section of the Guidance: Part II: Specific types of LMOs or Traits - Risk assessment of LM crops with tolerance to abiotic stress

No

PAGE 10

Q41: This section of the Guidance is practical.1

Respondent skipped this question

Q42: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its practicality? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:

Respondent skipped this question

Q43: This section of the Guidance is useful or has utility.2

Respondent skipped this question

Q44: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its usefulness/utility? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:

Respondent skipped this question

Q45: This section of the Guidance is consistent with the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety.3

Respondent skipped this question

Q46: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its consistency with the Protocol? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:

Respondent skipped this question

Q47: This section of the Guidance takes into account past and present experiences with LMOs.4

Respondent skipped this question

Q48: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section in order to better take into account past and present experiences with LMOs? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:

Respondent skipped this question

Q49: Here you may provide further details to explain your answers in evaluating this section of the Guidance:

Respondent skipped this question

PAGE 11

Q50: Would you like to submit an evaluation of the following section of the Guidance: Part II: Specific types of LMOs or Traits - Risk assessment of LM mosquitoes

No

PAGE 12

Q51: This section of the Guidance is practical.1

Respondent skipped this question

Q52: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its practicality? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:

Respondent skipped this question

Q53: This section of the Guidance is useful or has utility.2

Respondent skipped this question

Testing of the Guidance on Risk Assessment of Living Modified Organisms

Q54: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its usefulness/utility? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:

Respondent skipped this question

Q55: This section of the Guidance is consistent with the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety.3

Respondent skipped this question

Q56: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its consistency with the Protocol? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:

Respondent skipped this question

Q57: This section of the Guidance takes into account past and present experiences with LMOs.4

Respondent skipped this question

Q58: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section in order to better take into account past and present experiences with LMOs? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:

Respondent skipped this question

Q59: Here you may provide further details to explain your answers in evaluating this section of the Guidance:

Respondent skipped this question

PAGE 13

Q60: Would you like to submit an evaluation of the following section of the Guidance: Part II: Specific types of LMOs or Traits - Risk assessment of LM trees

No

PAGE 14

Q61: This section of the Guidance is practical.1

Respondent skipped this question

Q62: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its practicality? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:

Respondent skipped this question

Q63: This section of the Guidance is useful or has utility.2

Respondent skipped this question

Q64: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its usefulness/utility? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:

Respondent skipped this question

Q65: This section of the Guidance is consistent with the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety.3

Respondent skipped this question

Q66: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its consistency with the Protocol? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:

Respondent skipped this question

Q67: This section of the Guidance takes into account past and present experiences with LMOs.4

Respondent skipped this question

Testing of the Guidance on Risk Assessment of Living Modified Organisms

Q68: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section in order to better take into account past and present experiences with LMOs? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made: *Respondent skipped this question*

Q69: Here you may provide further details to explain your answers in evaluating this section of the Guidance: *Respondent skipped this question*

PAGE 15

Q70: Would you like to submit an evaluation of the following section of the Guidance: Part III: Monitoring of LMOs Released into the Environment Yes

PAGE 16

Q71: This section of the Guidance is practical.1

(no label)

Neutral

Q72: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its practicality? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:

Post-monitoring is a important tool to recognize any adverse effects, if any, occurs when releasing LMOs into environment. However, monitoring also cost a lot of money. So it should have some specific recommendations for developing countries who have limited resources, to carry out post-monitoring.

Q73: This section of the Guidance is useful or has utility.2

(no label)

Neutral

Q74: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its usefulness/utility? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:

As recommended in the question No. 25.

Q75: This section of the Guidance is consistent with the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety.3

(no label)

Agree

Q76: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its consistency with the Protocol? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made: *Respondent skipped this question*

Q77: This section of the Guidance takes into account past and present experiences with LMOs.4

(no label)

Agree

Q78: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section in order to better take into account past and present experiences with LMOs? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made: *Respondent skipped this question*

Q79: Here you may provide further details to explain your answers in evaluating this section of the Guidance: *Respondent skipped this question*

PAGE 17

Testing of the Guidance on Risk Assessment of Living Modified Organisms

Q80: Would you like to submit an evaluation of the following section of the Guidance: Background Documents No

PAGE 18

Q81: This section of the Guidance is practical.¹ *Respondent skipped this question*

Q82: This section of the Guidance is useful or has utility.² *Respondent skipped this question*

Q83: This section of the Guidance is consistent with the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety.³ *Respondent skipped this question*

Q84: This section of the Guidance takes into account past and present experiences with LMOs.⁴ *Respondent skipped this question*

PAGE 19

Q85: Please use the space below if you wish to provide additional feedback regarding the testing of the Guidance on Risk Assessment of Living Modified Organisms:

Some of the information in the part I of the Roadmap are specific for plants only such as "Agricultural practices " or "Pest management " practice while the scope of this part is for LMOs in general. Also, some of the information is quite general as recommended in previous part of this survey. Therefore, it's highly recommended to have a more useful guidance on environment risk assessment