

EUROPEAN COMMISSION DIRECTORATE GENERAL JRC JOINT RESEARCH CENTRE INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CONSUMER PROTECTION COMMUNITY REFERENCE LABORATORY FOR GM FOOD AND FEED



### Verification of Performances of NK603 and MON 810 Event-specific Methods on the Hybrid NK603 x MON 810 using Real-Time PCR

## **Validation Report**

Biotechnology & GMOs Unit Institute for Health and Consumer Protection DG Joint Research Centre

16 March 2006

#### **Executive Summary**

The JRC as Community Reference Laboratory (CRL) for the GM Food and Feed (see Regulation EC 1829/2003), has carried out an in-house verification study to assess the performance of two quantitative, event-specific methods, previously validated on the parental lines, to detect and quantify the MON 810 and the NK603 transformation events on seeds from the hybrid maize line combining the two thereof traits (unique identifier MON-00603-6 x MON-00810-6). The study was conducted according to internationally accepted guidelines.

Monsanto Company provided the method-specific samples (seeds NK603 x MON 810 and null), whereas the JRC prepared the verification samples (calibration samples and blind samples at unknown GM percentage).

The results of the in-house verification study were evaluated with reference to ENGL method performance requirements (<u>http://gmo-crl.jrc.it/doc/Method%20requirements.pdf</u>) and the validation results for the two parental lines (http://gmo-crl.jrc.it/statusofdoss.htm).

The results of in-house verification are publicly available under http://gmo-crl.jrc.it/.

#### Contents

1.	INTRODUCTION	
2.	MATERIALS	4
3.	EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN	5
4.	METHOD	5
2	4.1 DESCRIPTION OF THE OPERATIONAL STEPS	5
5.	DEVIATIONS REPORTED	6
6.	SUMMARY OF RESULTS	6
6	<ul> <li>6.1. PCR EFFICIENCY AND LINEARITY</li></ul>	8
7.	CONCLUSIONS	10
8.	REFERENCES	11

Document Approval					
Name / Function	Date	Signature			
Marco Mazzara Sector Head	16/03/2006	Signed			
Stephane Cordeil Quality Manager	16/03/2006	Signed			
Guy Van den Eede B&GMOs Unit Head	16/03/2006	Signed			

#### Address of contact laboratory:

European Commission, Joint Research Centre Institute for Health and Consumer Protection (IHCP) Biotechnology and GMOs Unit – Community Reference Laboratory Via Fermi 1, 21020 Ispra (VA) - Italy

#### 1. Introduction

The Joint Research Centre (JRC, Biotechnology and GMOs Unit of the Institute of Health and Consumer Protection) as Community Reference Laboratory for the GM Food and Feed (see Regulation EC 1829/2003) carried out an in-house verification of the event-specific methods for the detection and quantification of MON 810 and NK603 in the hybrid maize line combining the two traits derived through traditional breeding techniques between progeny of MON 810 and NK603 maize. The single methods had been previously validated further to collaborative trial on the single parental lines (http://gmo-crl.jrc.it/statusofdoss.htm).

Upon reception of methods, samples and related data, the JRC carried out the scientific evaluation of documentation and the in-house testing of the methods, according to the requirements of Regulation (EC) 641/2004 and following its operational procedures.

The CRL method verification was carried out between November 2004 and January 2005.

Genomic DNA was extracted from wild type and NK603 x MON 810 maize seeds following the CTAB-based method enclosed in the validated protocol for event NK603 respectively (<u>http://gmo-crl.jrc.it/</u>).

The operational procedure of the in-house verification comprised the following module:

✓ Quantitative real-time PCR (Polymerase Chain Reaction). The methodology consists of two event-specific real-time quantitative TaqMan<sup>®</sup> PCR procedures for the determination of the relative content of event MON 810 and NK603 DNA to total maize DNA from the hybrid line. The MON 810 event was quantified in reference to a maize endogenous system obtained from a *hmg* gene (high mobility group). The NK603 event was quantified in reference to the maize endogenous system from gene *Adh1* (*Alcohol dehydrogenase-1*). The procedure is a simplex system.

The study was carried out in accordance with the following internationally accepted guidelines:

✓ ISO 5725 (1994).

 $\checkmark$  The IUPAC "Protocol for the design, conduct and interpretation of methodperformance studies" (Horwitz, 1995).

#### 2. Materials

For the validation of the quantitative event-specific method, the NK603 x MON 810 genomic DNA was extracted from maize seeds, line DKC53-34 (GLP-0310-14363S), while the wild-type DNA was extracted from non-GM maize seeds, line EXP258B (GLP-0402-14688-S).

Samples containing mixtures of 0% and 100% NK603 x MON 810 maize genomic DNA at different GMO concentrations were prepared by the JRC.

The protocols (reagents, concentrations, primer/probe sequences, amplification profile) used in the in-house verification are those already published as validated methods for the MON 810 and the NK603 event.

Table 1 shows the five levels of unknown samples used in the verification of the MON 810 and NK603 methods on the hybrid DNA, NK603 x MON810.

MON 810 GM % (GM copy number/maize genome copy number *100)	NK603 GM % (GM copy number/maize genome copy number *100)
0.1	0.1
0.5	0.5
1.0	1.0
2.0	2.0
5.0	5.0

Table 1. GM contents in the unknown samples

#### 3. Experimental design

Five runs for each method were carried out. In each run, samples were analyzed in parallel with both the GM-specific system and the reference system. Five GM levels were examined per run (from 5.00% down to 0.10%) in two replicate samples. Each sample was analyzed in triplicate. On the whole, for each method (MON 810 and NK603), quantification of the five GM levels was performed as an average of ten replicate samples/GM level, each resulting from an average of three repetitions.

An internally validated Excel spreadsheet was used for the calculations of the GM% of all the samples.

#### 4. Method

#### 4.1 Description of the operational steps

For specific detection of event MON 810 genomic DNA, a 92-bp fragment of the recombination region of parts of the construct inserted into the plant genome is amplified using two specific primers. PCR products are measured during each cycle (real-time) by means of a target-specific oligonucleotide probe labelled with two fluorescent dyes: FAM as a reporter dye at its 5'-end and TAMRA as a quencher dye at its 3'-end.

For relative quantification of event MON 810 DNA, a maize-specific reference system amplifies a 79-bp fragment of *Hmg* (high mobility group) a maize endogenous gene, using a pair of *hmg* gene-specific primers and an *hmg* gene-specific probe labelled with FAM and TAMRA.

For specific detection of event NK603 genomic DNA, a 108-bp fragment of the recombination region of parts of the construct inserted into the plant genome is amplified using two specific primers. PCR products are measured during each cycle (real-time) by means of a target-specific oligonucleotide probe labelled with two

fluorescent dyes: FAM as a reporter dye at its 5-'end and TAMRA as a quencher dye at its 3'-end.

For relative quantification of event NK603 DNA, a maize-specific reference system amplifies a 70-bp fragment of *Adh1* (alchol dehydrogenase) a maize endogenous gene, using a pair of *Adh1* gene-specific primers and an *Adh1* gene-specific probe labelled with FAM and TAMRA.

The standard curves are generated both for the *hmg* and MON 810 systems as well as for the *Adh1* and the NK603 system respectively, by plotting the Ct-values measured for the calibration points against the logarithm of the DNA copy numbers, and by fitting a linear regression line into these data.

Thereafter, the standard curves are used to estimate the copy numbers in the unknown sample DNA by interpolation from the standard curves.

For the determination of the amount of MON 810 (or NK603) DNA in the unknown sample, the MON810 (or NK603) copy number is divided by the copy number of the maize reference gene *hmg* (or *Adh1*) and multiplied by 100 to obtain the percentage value (GM% = GM-specific system/maize reference system \* 100).

For detailed information on the preparation of standard curve calibration samples refer to the protocols of validated methods at http://gmo-crl.jrc.it/statusofdoss.htm

#### 5. Deviations reported

No deviation from the protocol of the two validated methods was introduced.

#### 6. Summary of results

#### 6.1. PCR efficiency and linearity

The values of the slopes [from which the PCR efficiency is calculated using the formula  $((10^{-1/slope}))^{1}^{100})$  of the standard curves and of the R<sup>2</sup> (expressing

the linearity of the regression) reported for both PCR systems in the five runs, are summarised in Table 2 and 3.

	MON 810			Hmg			
Run	Slope	PCR Efficiency (%)	Linearity (R <sup>2</sup> )	Slope	PCR Efficiency (%)	Linearity (R <sup>2</sup> )	
1	-3.48	93.98	0.99	-3.12	90.67	1.00	
2	-3.20	94.84	1.00	-3.19	94.35	1.00	
3	-3.33	99.49	1.00	-3.26	97.28	1.00	
4	-3.36	98.62	1.00	-3.42	95.94	0.99	
5	-3.38	97.51	1.00	-3.36	98.58	0.99	
Mean	-3.35	96.89	1.00	-3.27	95.37	1.00	

## Table 2. Values of standard curve slope, PCR efficiency and linearity (R<sup>2</sup>) for theMON 810 method on hybrid NK603 x MON 810

## Table 3. Values of standard curve slope, PCR efficiency and linearity (R²) for theNK603 method on hybrid NK603 x MON 810

	NK603			Adh1			
Run	Slope	PCR Efficiency (%)	Linearity (R2)	Slope	PCR Efficiency (%)	Linearity (R2)	
1	-3.80	83.23	0.99	-3.17	93.02	1.00	
2	-3.83	82.46	0.99	-3.09	89.07	1.00	
3	-3.95	79.26	0.99	-3.09	89.18	1.00	
4	-3.81	82.97	0.99	-3.26	97.27	0.99	
5	-3.86	81.65	0.99	-3.31	99.34	1.00	
Mean	-3.85	81.92	0.99	-3.18	93.58	1.00	

Data reported in Table 2 and 3 confirm the good performance characteristics of the method tested.

In fact, the R<sup>2</sup> value of the regression line for the MON 810 and NK603 method is above 0.99.

PCR efficiencies are above 90%, with the exception of the NK603 specific system (81.92%).

#### 6.2. Method performance requirements

The results of the in-house verification for the NK603 and for the MON 810 methods are reported in Table 4. These are evaluated with respect to the method acceptance criteria, as established by ENGL and adopted by CRL.

In table 4 estimates of both accuracy and precision for each GM level and for both methods are reported.

MON 810							
Expected value (GMO %)Unknown0.100.501.002.005sample GM%5							
Mean	0.10	0.42	1.05	1.86	4.99		
SD	0.02	0.05	0.11	0.22	0.71		
RSDr%	16.41	12.89	10.08	11.99	14.30		
Bias%	0.00	-16.72	4.87	-6.82	-0.16		
NK603							
		Expected	d value (	GMO %)			
Unknown sample GM%	0.10	0.50	1.00	2.00	5.00		
Mean	0.14	0.44	1.33	1.85	5.57		
SD	0.02	0.06	0.18	0.24	0.48		
RSDr%	15.83	14.06	13.52	13.08	8.58		
Bias%	38.00	-11.10	33.10	-7.66	11.48		

## Table 4. Estimates of accuracy and precision for the MON 810 and for the NK603systems on maize NK603 x MON 810

According to the ENGL acceptance criteria, the accuracy of the quantification, measured as bias from the accepted value, should be within 25% over the whole dynamic range, and the relative repeatability standard deviation, which measures the intra-laboratory variability, should lie within 25% at each GM-level.

MON8 10 method fully satisfies this requirement over the whole dynamic range.

NK603 method shows acceptable accuracy at concentration greater than 0.50% with a deviation at the 1.0% level (bias=33.10%). At concentration of 0.1%, the method shows a low accuracy (bias= 38.00%).

The relative repeatability standard deviation (RSDr) is well within the limits set by the acceptance criteria in both the MON 810 and in the NK603 method. On the whole, the two methods satisfy the acceptance criteria for CRL verification of GMO detection and quantification methods previously validated through collaborative trial on the parental maize lines.

# 6.3. Comparison of method performance between stack and parental lines

A synoptic comparison of the two method performances in the stacked maize and parental lines respectively, is shown in Table 5 and 6.

The MON 810 method has similar performance characteristics in the stacked product as in the parental line, as evaluated by checking both accuracy and precision of the method in respect of the ENGL minimum acceptance criteria.

	curacy and pr 810 quantitat NK603 x MO	ion in stack	Accuracy and precision of MON810 quantitation in parental line MON 810*		
GM%	Bias (%)	RSDr (%)	GM%	Bias (%)	RSDr (%)
-	-	-	<0.02	>40.00	26.27
0.10	0.00	16.41	0.10	2.30	35.60
0.50	-16.72	12.89	0.50	-7.74	20.82
1.00	4.87	10.08	1.00	-16.73	16.51
2.00	-6.82	11.99	2.00	-10.93	15.93
5.00	-0.16	14.30	5.00	-9.69	28.65

Table 5. Comparison of accuracy and precision of MON 810 method in thehybrid and parental line

\*method validated (http://gmo-crl.jrc.it/statusofdoss.htm)

	icy and precis quantitation i NK603xMON	n stack		acy and precision of NK603 ntitation in parental line NK603*		
GM% Bias (%) RSDr (%)		GM%	Bias (%)	RSDr (%)		
0.10	38.00	15.83	0.10	83.00	24.25	
0.50	-11.10	14.06	0.49	72.86	15.24	
1.00	33.10	13.52	0.98	46.50	17.16	
2.00	-7.66	13.08	1.96	14.03	7.69	
5.00	11.48	8.58	4.91	22.08	21.63	

# Table 6. Comparison of accuracy and precision of NK603 method in thehybrid and parental line

\*method validated (http://gmo-crl.jrc.it/statusofdoss.htm)

The NK603 method shows better performances on the hybrid DNA as compared to the performances displayed on the parental line in terms of accuracy of quantitation. Therefore, the in-house method verification has demonstrated that the MON 810 and the NK603 methods can be equally applied in quantitation of the respective events in the stacked maize product.

#### 7. Conclusions

The overall method performance has been evaluated with respect to the method acceptance criteria and method performance requirements recommended by the ENGL (available under <u>http://gmo-crl.jrc.it</u>). The method acceptance criteria were reported by the applicant and used to evaluate the method prior the in-house verification.

The results obtained during the present study indicate that the methods validated on the parental GM-lines show a comparable performance when applied to the material combining the two traits.

#### 8. References

Arumuganathan, K., Earle, E.D. (1991). Nuclear content of some important plant species. Plant Mol Biol Reporter 9, 208-218.

Horwitz, W. (1995) Protocol for the design, conduct and interpretation of method performance studies, *Pure and Appl. Chem*, **67**, 331-343.

International Standard (ISO) 5725. 1994. Accuracy (trueness and precision) of measurement methods and results. International Organization for Standardization, Genève, Swizerland.