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Here are some general thoughts that I had when commenting on Section 3.

We can discuss these later.

1. To do quantitative risk assessment, you need to have numerical values both for the magnitude of consequences and the likelihood of these consequences to occur. When you do the assessment without numerical data, it is carried out on qualitative terms. Quantitative risk assessment requires calculations of two components of [risk](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Risk) (R): the magnitude of the potential loss (L), and the probability (p) that the loss will occur.
2. The comments on the existing flowchart, other suggestions (e.g. ID 74, 125, 170).

There are suggestions on more explanations to be included in the existing flowchart, some say that it should be simplified. Some suggest a simplified version in the beginning of the RM.

I think we need to discuss this. As you know, I have suggested some additional flowcharts/diagrams/tables/matrixes to clarify some issues in Section 2. I have also suggested text boxes into the beginning of paragraphs (Rationale/Points to consider).

And as I have said also about Section 2 – I am not worried about more pages. Sometimes simplifying text needs more text/space.

1. The comments on uncertainty (e.g. ID 398, 433).

How to deal with this – I think we need to discuss this together.

There is good text in Section 1.

1. I will get back to problem formulation during my commenting on Section 1.