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#### Abstract

Cassava vein mosaic virus (CVMV) was found to be widespread throughout the north-eastern region of Brazil. The complete sequence of CVMV was determined, and the genome was 8158 bp in size. A cytosolic initiator methionine tRNA (tRNA ${ }^{\text {met }}{ }_{i}$ )-binding site that probably acts as a primer for minus-strand synthesis was present. The genome contained five open reading frames that potentially encode proteins with predicted molecular masses of $186 \mathrm{kDa}, 9 \mathrm{kDa}, 77 \mathrm{kDa}$, 24 kDa and 26 kDa . The putative 186 kDa protein had regions with similarity to the zinc finger-like RNAbinding domain that is a common element in the capsid proteins and similarity to the intercellular transport


domain of the plant pararetroviruses. The predicted 77 kDa protein had regions with similarity to aspartic proteases, reverse transcriptase and RNase H of pararetroviruses. This gene order was confirmed by the amplification of similar PCR products from total DNA extracted from CVMV-infected cassava plants. The genomic organization of CVMV was different from the organization of either the caulimoviruses or badnaviruses. In comparisons of the regions with the reverse transcriptase motif, CVMV was grouped between the caulimoviruses and badnaviruses. It appears that CVMV is distinct from the other well-characterized plant pararetroviruses.

Cassava vein mosaic virus (CVMV) has isometric particles $50-60 \mathrm{~nm}$ in diameter (Kitajima \& Costa, 1966) and the genome is DNA (Lin \& Kitajima, 1980). The only known host for CVMV is cassava and the virus can be transmitted by mechanical inoculation. There are dense inclusion bodies in the cytoplasm of cells infected with CVMV (Kitajima \& Costa, 1966). Based upon this limited information, CVMV is listed as a tentative member of the caulimovirus group (Hull, 1984).

The two groups of plant viruses that contain dsDNA genomes are the isometric caulimoviruses (reviewed by Guilfoyle et al., 1987; Hull et al., 1987) and the bacilliform badnaviruses (Lockhart, 1990; Medberry et al., 1990). The viruses in both groups share a number of features with other pararetroviruses (Medberry et al., 1990; Temin, 1989; Toh et al., 1983). Some of the common elements of pararetroviruses include a virally encoded replicase (Mason et al., 1987) that has reverse transcriptase and RNase H activity. For the well-
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characterized plant pararetoviruses, the primer for minus-strand replication is cytosolic initiator methionine tRNA ( $\mathrm{tRNA}^{\text {met }}{ }_{\mathrm{i}}$ ).

Cassava plants infected with CVMV display a range of symptoms that includes a chlorosis that follows the veins and can coalesce to form a mosaic pattern. There is often leaf distortion and epinasty of the young leaves. Cassava is a vegetatively propagated crop, and CVMV is transmitted readily through the stem cuttings used as reproductive material. When CVMV-infected stem cuttings germinate the plants are often stunted. This is normally followed by a flush of leaves that have no apparent symptoms.

Since there is little information on the distribution or the characterization of CVMV, these studies were undertaken in order to gain insight into the prevalence of the disease caused by CVMV and to better characterize this virus. We conclude that CVMV is a plant pararetrovirus that is distinct from both the badnaviruses and the caulimoviruses that have been described to date.

Surveys to determine the presence of viruses affecting cassava were made in eight states of Brazil. The states were Paraná, Santa Catarina, Para, Amazonas, Ceará, Pernambuco, Alagoas and Bahia. The distinctive symptoms of CVMV were the primary method of determining the range of the disease. The presence of CVMV was


Fig. 1. For legend see opposite.


Fig. 1. Nucleotide sequence of CVMV genomic DNA and the predicted amino acid sequences of the five ORFs. The numbering of the genome begins with the putative $\mathrm{tRNA}^{\text {met }}{ }_{\mathrm{i}}$-binding site. A TATA-like sequence with similarity to the 35 S promoter of CaMV is underlined.
confirmed by observation of particles using transmission electron microscopy or using a polymerase chain reaction (PCR) specific for CVMV.

Cassava plants infected with CVMV were most prevalent in the semi-arid region of the north-eastern states of Ceará, Pernambuco and Bahia. It was not unusual to find fields with $50 \%$ to $100 \%$ of the plants infected with CVMV. Some cassava plants with symptoms of vein mosaic were found in the coastal littoral region of Ceará, Pernambuco, Bahia and Alagoas, but the incidence of virus was much lower than in the semiarid areas. CVMV-infected cassava was not found in any of the other states.
Plants infected with CVMV were propagated in the greenhouse using stem cuttings. Viral DNA was prepared for cloning by a modification of the mini-preparation procedure for the isolation of the DNA of caulimoviruses (Gardner \& Shepherd, 1980). Infected leaves were homogenized in 0.2 m -citrate, pH 6.0 , containing 1 m urea and $2.5 \%$ 2-mercaptoethanol ( 10 ml of buffer $/ \mathrm{g}$ of tissue). Immediately before use, $4 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ polyvinylpyrrolidone was added to the buffer. After homogenization, Triton X-100 was added to a final concentration of $2 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$, and the homogenate was stirred for 20 min and then centrifuged at 10000 g for 10 min . The supernatant was filtered through two layers of Miracloth and subjected to ultracentrifugation and further treatment as described in the mini-preparation procedure of Gardner \& Shepherd (1980). After some preliminary restriction mapping, the CVMV dsDNA genome was cloned at a unique $B g I I$ restriction enzyme site into a plasmid vector designated pCKIZ (Anza, 1982). This plasmid was found to reproduce poorly in many strains of Escherichia coli. The pUC119 (Vieira \&

Messing, 1988) vector was modified to include a $\mathrm{Bg} / \mathrm{II}$ site in its multiple cloning site and was designated pJAW141. Subsequently, the cloned DNA of the CVMV virus genome was transferred from pCKIZ into the $B g / I I$ site of pJAW141 and the clone was designated pCVMV141.

Whenever possible, subclones of pCVMV141 were produced using appropriate restriction enzymes and used for the sequence reactions. A series of nested deletions were produced using an Exonuclease III digestion technique (Henikoff, 1984). The double-stranded cDNA was sequenced using Sequenase (USB) following the dideoxynucleotide chain-termination method (Sanger et al., 1977). The entire sequence of CVMV was determined on both strands and the sequence data were analysed using Seqaid II (D. D. Rhoads and D. J. Roufa, 1989, Kansas State University, Manhattan, Kan., USA) and DNASIS (Pharmacia).

Total nucleic acids were extracted from dried or fresh plant samples using a nucleic acid extraction method (Dellaporta et al., 1983). Five sets of primers were used to initiate PCR to amplify different regions of the CVMV genome. The PCR was carried out with Taq DNA Polymerase (Promega) using a programmable thermal controller (MJ Research). The products were purified using the Magic PCR DNA Purification System (Promega) and analysed in agarose gels. In selected cases the PCR products were cloned into the plasmid Bluescript KS( + ) (Stratagene) and the cDNA products were sequenced as described above.

The genome of CVMV consisted of 8158 nucleotides of dsDNA with the potential to encode five proteins and a 736 bp intergenic region (Fig. 1). There was a 19 nucleotide region, TGGTATCAGAGCTTAGTTT, that

## RNA-binding domain

| CVMV | ORF | I | 739 | CKCYNCGEEGHISPNC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CaMV | ORF | IV | 410 | -•-WI•NI•••YANE. |
| CERV | ORF | IV | 418 | -..WV•NI...YANE. |
| FMV | ORF | IV | 409 | -..WI•T...CYANE. |
| SoycmV | ORF | IV | 380 | -Q•WL•H. - CYANE. |
| CoYMV | ORF | III | 879 | ....I.•Q...YANQ. |
| ScBV | ORF | III | 736 | -...V.•SPD.LMKD. |
| RTBV | ORF | III | 776 | -I•QD•N•LANR |


| Movement domain |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CVMV | ORF | I | 997 | KIRNIHLAAVEIVVKAYFREGIDTPFEIILCDDRI |
| CaMV | ORF | I | 123 | IMSMV••G••K•LL••Q••N••••IK•A•I•••• |
| CERV | ORF | I | 115 | T•SD••FGAIKVLI••R••..-NS•IKMA•I |
| FMV | ORF | I | 120 | --SM•••G••K•LLT•Q•回•••SVKMA•I.... |
| SoyCMV | ORF | I | 98 | $L \cdot \cdot Y V \cdot I S T L Q V L I \cdot S T \cdot L K \cdot L \cdot \cdots \cdot L \cdot L T \cdot R \cdot N \cdot L$ |
| CoYMv | ORF | III | 136 | G•EF•IGVMLVRKQILH•KFAG•MAL•VFR•T•W |
| ScBV | ORF | III | 132 | GLRY••PGILAVRIQPLHPDWSGKLVF•VFR•I•D |
| RTBV | ORF | III | 109 | GKLYY•IGMMA $\mathrm{G} \cdot \cdot \mathrm{GLH} \cdot \mathrm{RK} \cdot \mathrm{G} \cdot \mathrm{KVM} \cdot \mathrm{MFY} \cdot \mathrm{DSF}$ |

## Protease

| CVMV | ORF | III | 26 | FDTGANICICKKKVIPDE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CaMV | ORF | V | 44 | V...-SL•AS•F•I•E. |
| CERV | ORF | V | 33 | V..-SSL•MAS•Y•I•E. |
| FMV | ORF | V | 53 | V...-SL•ASRYII•E. |
| SoyCMV | ORF | V | 35 | I••••TL•FG•R•ISNNW |
| CoYMV | ORF | III | 1219 | V-..-TA•LIQISAI•EN |
| ScBV | ORF | III | 1082 | L...-TRSCINQVFIEEK |
| RTBV | ORF | III | 985 | I•S.STHN•ICPTLI |


| Reverse transcriptase |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CVMV | ORF | III | 296 |
| CaMV | ORF | V | 336 |
| CERV | ORF | V | 317 |
| FMV | ORF | V | 328 |
| SOYCMV | ORF | V | 291 |
| COYMV | ORF | IIII | 1498 |
| SCBV | ORF | IIII | 1350 |
| RTBV | ORF | III | 1274 |



## Ribonuclease H

| CVMV | ORF | III | 512 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Camv | ORF | V | 547 |
| CERV | ORF | V | 531 |
| FMV | ORF | V | 540 |
| SoyCMV | ORF | V | 508 |
| CoYMV | ORE | III | 1711 |
| ScBV | ORF | III | 1563 |
| RTBV | ORF | III | 486 |

```
IIEVDASNNAYG <12> EYLCRYNSGTFK <73> QLIDGKTNIIADYL
..•T•.•DDYW. <14> •L....A..S.. <72> EH•K•TD•HF.•F.
V..T...EEFW. <1O> ..I...A..S.. <72> EH•A•TK•VF..F.
...T...DSFW. <11> •LI•..S.•S.. <72> EHLE•VK•VL••C.
\bulletV.T...QHSWS <63> LL.•K.V....T <72> E.•KSEN•PFEIR.
\cdots\cdotT\cdotGCMTGW\cdot <15> •RI•A•A.•S•N <72> EH....H.GL.•A.
..•T••CATGW• <15> \cdotQI•.•A.•K•D <72> EH•K••S.GL.•I•
...T...EEGW. <15> •KIAGG•A\cdot•N•G <72> EH•K•NK•FLPNF.
```

Fig. 2. Comparisons of regions of biological significance between CVMV, CaMV, CERV, FMV, SoyCMV, ScBV and RTBV. The regions are the intercellular transport movement domain, the RNA-binding domain, the protease motif, the reverse transcriptase motif and the RNase H activity domain. The name of the virus, the designation of the ORF and the starting position of the amino acid are indicated before each sequence. The symbol ( ) indicates identical amino acids as compared to CVMV. The spacing between amino acid blocks is written in angle brackets.
has 16 bases that were complementary (underlined) to the $3^{\prime}$ terminus of plant cytoplasmic tRNA ${ }^{\text {met }}$ i (Sprinzl et al., 1987). To conform with previous studies on caulimoviruses and badnaviruses, the numbering of the CVMV genome was started at the $5^{\prime}$ end of this putative primerbinding site (Hull et al., 1986; Medberry et al., 1990).

The tRNA-binding site was followed by an open
reading frame (designated ORF I) that potentially encoded a protein that consisted of 1372 amino acids and had a molecular mass of 186 kDa . The putative protein encoded by ORF I shared only limited similarity with the proteins encoded by the ORF II, III and IV of cauliflower mosaic virus (CaMV). The predicted proteins encoded by ORF IV of the caulimoviruses and the ORF III of the


Fig. 3. Comparisons between the genomic organization and relative position of regions of biological importance in CVMV, CaMV and CoYMV. The regions are the intercellular transport movement domain (MD), the RNA-binding domain ( RB ), the protease motif ( PR ), the reverse transcriptase motif ( RT ) and the RN ase H activitiy domain (RH).


Fig. 4. Predicted genomic organization of CVMV based upon the nucleotide sequence of cloned viral DNA. The circle represents the CVMV genome and the thick black lines with arrows represent the ORFs. The regions of the predicted proteins that contain conserved motifs are represented as blocks. The thin black lines bordered by triangles represent the primer and products that were amplified from CVMV-infected plants. These are illustrated to show the areas of the genome that have been confirmed from at least two independent sources of CVMV.
badnaviruses contain consensus regions for zinc fingerlike RNA-binding domains (Covey, 1986). A putative RNA-binding domain was found in ORF I of CVMV at the location aa $739-754$ (Fig. 2). The sequence of CVMV ORF I from aa $520-754$ has $33 \%$ identical and $20 \%$ similar amino acids as compared with CaMV ORF IV. The CaMV ORF IV encodes the coat protein and it is probable that the coat protein of CVMV is part of a polyprotein encoded by ORF I of CVMV. The zinc finger-like RNA-binding domain was followed by a region that has similarity with the intercellular transport protein of the caulimoviruses (Figs 3 and 4). The ORF 1 region aa $972-1105$ has $35 \%$ identical and $32 \%$ similar
amino acids with the figwort mosaic virus (FMV) ORF I aa 96-206. This region contains motifs (Fig. 2) that are typical of intercellular transport proteins (Koonin et al., 1991).

Starting at nucleotide 4132 overlapping 14 nucleotides of ORF I, there was a possible small ORF (designated ORF II) with the potential to encode a protein of 71 amino acids with a molecular mass of 8.8 kDa (Fig. 4).
The CVMV ORF III had the potential to encode a protein of 652 amino acids with a molecular mass of 77 kDa . The putative protein contained protease, reverse transcriptase and RNase H consensus regions (Fig. 4). These features made it probable that the ORF III encodes a viral replicase that is typical of the pararetroviruses. The region of the protein that contains the reverse transcriptase motif was compared with the similar proteins of the badnaviruses and caulimoviruses; CVMV was most similar to the caulimoviruses CaMV, FMV and carnation etched ring virus (CERV), with amino acid identities of $47 \%, 44 \%$ and $43 \%$, respectively. When the reverse transcriptase motif of CVMV was compared to those of the badnaviruses, there was a slightly lower level of amino acid identity ( $39-40 \%$ ).
The beginning of ORF IV overlapped the end of ORF III and potentially encodes a protein of 201 amino acids with a molecular mass of 24 kDa (Fig. 4). The beginning of ORF V overlapped the end of ORF IV and potentially encodes a protein of 220 amino acids with a molecular mass of 26 kDa . These proteins had no detectable similarity with any of the proteins encoded by the caulimoviruses or badnaviruses.

Following ORF V was a 736 bp intergenic region that contained a putative TATA box (underlined in Fig. 1) which shared similarity with the 35 S promoter of CaMV and the putative promoter of rice tungro bacilliform virus (RTBV).

The most unusual feature of CVMV is the arrangement of genes in the genome (Fig. 3). Based on the sequence data from clone $\mathrm{pCVMV141}$, five sets of primers were used to amplify total nucleic acids from CVMV-infected cassava. In all cases, the amplified products from both the clone pCVMV141 and field isolates of CVMV were of the same size (Fig. 5). A part of the genome of a CVMV isolate from Araripina, Pernambuco, was cloned and the sequence was determined. The Araripina isolate shared $96 \%$ nucleic acid and $96 \%$ amino acid identity with the pCVMV141 clone. One set of primers (RBD-up and RBD-dn) amplified a product that included the RNA-binding site and the intercellular transport domain. These experiments helped confirm the unusual gene order by confirming that the original clone was very similar to CVMV field isolates.

Comparisons of regions of the proteins that contain the conserved motif for reverse transcriptase were made

Fig. 5. Comparison of PCR products amplified from the cloned viral DNA (pCVMV141) and from total nucleic acids extracted from CVMVinfected cassava plant extracted from fresh tissue in Brazil (CVMV-Petrolina). The numerical position of the primers corresponds to those reported in Fig. 1 (the complete sequence of CVMV). The approximate positions of these primers are shown on the genomic map in Fig. 2. The markers are the 1 kb ladder (BRL).
for CVMV, three badnaviruses and four caulimoviruses. The analysis was made using the computer program PAUP [Phylogenetic analysis using parsimony, version 3.0; developed by D. L. Swofford (Illinois Natural History Survey, Champaign, Ill., USA)]. Heuristic search, branch swapping and majority-rule consensus options of the program were used for making the phylogenetic tree (Felsenstein, 1985). The regions encompassed CVMV ORF III aa 248-427, CaMV ORF V aa 288-468 (Gardner et al., 1981), FMV ORF V aa 280460 (Richins et al., 1987), CERV ORF V aa 269-451 (Hull et al., 1986), soybean chlorotic mottle virus (SoyCMV) ORF V aa 244-426 (Hasagawa et al., 1989), Commelina yellow mottle virus (CoYMV) ORF III aa 1450-1630 (Medberry et al., 1990), RTBV ORF III aa 1226-1405 (Qu et al., 1991; Hay et al., 1991) and sugarcane bacilliform virus (ScBV) ORF III aa 1302-1482 (Bouhida et al., 1993). Also, the direct amino acid similarity was determined for these regions.
Based upon the amino acid sequences including the consensus sequences of the reverse transcriptase, the


Fig. 6. Diagram illustrating the relationship between a portion of the plant pararetrovirus reverse transcriptases. Amino acid sequences of CVMV, FMV, CaMV, CERV, SoyCMV, RTBV, ScBV and CoYMV were used to construct the tree. The mean assigned branch lengths are written next to each branch.
predicted relationships among eight plant pararetroviruses were determined (Fig. 6). The results of the analysis of the relationship between the plant pararetro-
viruses were similar to those obtained previously (Bouhida et al., 1993). The three badnaviruses and the four caulimoviruses each formed a group. CVMV was consistently placed between the caulimoviruses and badnaviruses. Based on direct amino acid analysis of the intercelluar transport motif and the polymerase gene, CVMV appears most closely related to CaMV and FMV.

Since CVMV has consensus sequences for a tRNA ${ }^{\text {met }}$ ibinding site, a zinc finger-like RNA-binding site, an intercellular transport domain, an aspartic acid protease site, a reverse transcriptase motif and an RNase H activity motif, it appears to be a typical plant pararetrovirus. Although CVMV has the morphology of a caulimovirus with an isometric virion of 50 nm , the predicted genomic organization is quite different. The most unusual feature of CVMV is the order of the zinc finger-like RNA-binding motif (probably part of the capsid protein), the putative intercellular transport domain and replicase gene (Fig. 3). In the caulimoviruses, the capsid protein (containing a RNA-binding motif) is encoded by ORF IV, and the replicase protein is encoded by ORF V (Hull et al., 1987). In the badnaviruses, the putative product of ORF III is apparently a polyprotein that is processed into the capsid protein that contains a zinc finger-like RNA-binding sequence, followed by the consensus motifs for a viral aspartic acid protease, the reverse transcriptase and RNase H (Medberry et al., 1990; Qu et al., 1991; Bouhida et al., 1993). In the caulimoviruses and badnaviruses, these genes for these proteins may be translated and the products processed in different manners, but they maintain their relative positions in the genome. In contrast, the CVMV ORF I contains the consensus sequence for the zinc finger-like RNA-binding domain followed by a consensus motif for the putative intercellular transport protein. The sequences for the putative coat protein and intercellular transport protein, which are apparently translated as a polyprotein, precede the replicase gene on the genome. This genomic organization differs from any other plant pararetrovirus that has been reported to date.

We conclude that CVMV is widespread throughout the semi-arid region of north-east Brazil, and based on the predicted genomic organization that CVMV is a plant pararetrovirus that is an atypical caulimovirus.
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