МІНІСТЭРСТВА ПРЫРОДНЫХ РЭСУРСАЎ І АХОВЫ НАВАКОЛЬНАГА АСЯРОДДЗЯ РЭСПУБЛІКІ БЕЛАРУСЬ

вул. Калектарная, 10, 220004, г. Мінск тэл. (37517) 200-66-91; факс (37517) 200-55-83 E-mail: minproos@mail.belpak.by p/p № 3604900000111 ААБ «Беларусбанк» г. Мінск, код 795, УНП 100519825; АКПА 00012782

THE MINISTRY OF NATURAL RESOURCES AND ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION OF THE REPUBLIC OF BELARUS

ул. Коллекторная, 10, 220004, г. Минск тел. (37517) 200-66-91; факс (37517) 200-55-83 E-mail: minproos@mail.belpak.by р/с № 3604900000111 ACБ «Беларусбанк» г. Минск, код 795, УНП 100519825; ОКПО 00012782

| 24.07 | <u>. 2014</u> № | 10-9/39-llace |
|--------|-----------------|---------------|
| На № _ | a | д |

Ms. Cristiana Pasca Palmer Executive Secretary Convention on Biological Diversity

Subject: Information as per Decision VIII / 12 of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety (COP-MOP)

Dear Ms. Cristiana Pasca Palmer,

The Ministry of the Natural Resources and Environmental Protection of the Republic of Belarus has the honor to present to the Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity information requested in accordance with the Decision VIII / 12 of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety (COP-MOP).

Please, accept, Ms. Pasca Palmer, the assurance of my highest consideration.

Enclosure.: 3 pages in one copy.

Deputy Minister

S.S. Khrushchev

Annex

FORM TO SUBMIT INFORMATION DECLARED IN DECISION VIII/12 ON RISK ASSESSMENT AND RISK MANAGEMENT

A. Country profile

| Country name: | the Republic of Belarus |
|---------------|-------------------------|

B. Please specify your country's needs and priorities for further Guidance on specific issues related to risk assessments of Living Modified Organisms (LMOs)

| Needs and priorities for further Gui | dance on Notes |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| 1 Guidance on the risk assessment | of LMO An analysis of the |
| fish and aquatic ecosystems' LMOs | |
| Tish and aquatic ecosystems Eivios | literature and biosafety |
| | databases shows that |
| | LMO fish as well as |
| | mollusks and arthropods |
| | – a new and rapidly |
| | developing direction. |
| | These organisms are at |
| | different stages of trials |
| | or they have been |
| | approved for release |
| | into the environment |
| | and to the market |
| | (Atlantic salmon). Risk |
| | assessment of rapidly |
| | multiplying organisms |
| | being released into |
| | aquatic ecosystems or |
| | those that can |
| | accidentally get into |
| | aquatic ecosystems may |
| | differ significantly from |
| | the previously proposed |
| | in the Guidance. |
| 2 Guidance on the risk assessment | |
| developed on the basis of synthetic | |
| developed on the busis of synthetic | and relevant databases |
| | on LMOs derived using |
| | synthetic biology |

| | | methods and |
|-----|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| 155 | | fundamentally different |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | from the previously |
| | | developed LMOs should |
| | | be carried out. |

C. Please suggest possible criteria that can facilitate the selection of subjects to develop further guidance on specific issues of LMO risk assessment, including the taskning livetification for each of the proposed pritories.

including the technical justification for each of the proposed criteria

| | Subject selection criteria | Notes and technical |
|---|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| | | justification |
| 1 | Relevancy | The new LMO is |
| | | fundamentally different |
| | | in its properties from the |
| | | previously developed |
| | | ones and it cannot be |
| | | assessed using the |
| | | Roadmap or particular |
| | | Guidelines to it. |

D. Please share your opinion about the existing shortcomings in the existing guidelines' materials

Existing shortcomings Opinion Section "Monitoring" The Roadmap and particular 1 Guidelines for individual LMOs, whose risk assessment may differ from general principles applied to LMOs, highlight all important points that the LMO risk assessor should take into account, describe the entire risk assessment process in detail, include many examples that make it easier for authorities involved in risk assessment understand the to procedure. We believe that the Guidance fully complies with all the principles fundamental Cartagena Protocol, takes into account the precautionary principle, as well as the principle of scientific validity and transparency in risk assessment. At the same time, we believe that it is

necessary to thoroughly refine the Section «Monitoring», to introduce the examples of various monitoring types well-established in the scientific literature into it in a manner, which was previously used for relevant examples to be included into the Roadmap and other Guidance Sections (for example, in the form of highlighted boxes).