Comments on draft Implementation Plan for the Cartagena Protocol and Capacity-Building Action Plan (2021-2030)

Draft – 17 January 2020

Contact information			
Surname:		Argueta García	
Given Name:		Marvin	
Government (if applicable):		Costa Rica	
Government (if applicable).		Costa rica	
Organization/IPLC:		Servicio Fitosanitario del Estado	
T			
E-mail:		margueta@sfe.go.cr	
Comment	ts on the text and App	endix	
Page #	Line in text	Comment	
	or		
	Element in		
5	Appendix Goal A.1	When providing training it should be taken into account that countries have	
3	Capacity	When providing training, it should be taken into account that countries have different experiences in the implementation of the Protocol.	
	Building activity	different experiences in the implementation of the Protocol.	
	(i) and (ii)		
6	A.2 Capacity	To agree that some countries, such as Costa Rica, have already developed	
	Building activity	their national biosafety websites, however, these sites are not used or	
	(iii)	remain outdated. Capacity must be created to bring them online, and	
		disseminate or disclose its existence.	
7	Goal A.5	We propose to use the wording of the Protocol "that may have adverse	
		effects on the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity,	
		taking also into account risks to human health."	
7	Objective A.5.2	We propose to delete these items it should be remembered that the use of	
/	and Indicator (c)	We propose to delete these items; it should be remembered that the use of guidance is not mandatory.	
	und maieutor (c)	guidance is not mandatory.	
7	Goal A.5	We propose using the wording of the Protocol and avoid the inclusion of	
	Indicator (d)	non-mandatory considerations. In this case, it would be "scientifically sound	
		risk assessments in accordance with Annex III and Article 15."	
7	Goal A.5	There are many guidance documents; however, experience sharing could be	
	Capacity	an important point. We propose that it be added: "Update, if necessary,	
	Building (i)	based on experience sharing".	
7	Goal A.5	We propose to add the use real risk assessments made by experienced	
	Capacity	countries. "and real examples of risk assessments made by experienced	
	Building (ii)	countries.".	

7	Goal A.5 Capacity Building Activity (iv)	We propose to adjust the wording since it may not be biosafety research but a comparison of data. "Compare, compile, review, relevant data to perform risk assessment and risk management to address specific protection goals".
8	Goal A.5 Capacity Building Activity (vi)	Each country has its own regulations and they have developed their monitoring frameworks, monitoring is not mandatory.
8	Goal A.6	LMO can be detected but sometimes not specifically identified, we propose to edit "detect <i>and/or</i> identify".
8	Outcome A.6	Since the LMO can be detected but sometimes not specifically identified, we propose to edit "detecting <i>and/or</i> identifying".
9	Objective A.7.1	We propose to use the wording of the Protocol "that may have adverse effects on the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity, taking also into account risks to human health."
10	Objective A.9.2	It should be remembered that the use of guidance is not mandatory.
11	Goal A.10 Capacity Building Activities (iv), (v), (vi) and (vii)	These items should not be included since it is not mandatory, nor an implementation objective.

Please submit your comments to secretariat@cbd.int by 17 January 2020.