

COMPLETE

Collector: BCH website (Website Survey)
Started: Monday, March 31, 2014 7:09:21 AM
Last Modified: Monday, March 31, 2014 7:47:03 AM

Time Spent: 00:37:42

PAGE 1

Q1: Type of submission: Party	
-------------------------------	--

PAGE 2

Q2: Name of the Party:	Hungary
Q3: Person submitting this questionnaire:	
Full Name:	Rita Andorkó
Email Address:	rita.andorko@vm.gov.hu
Q4: Institution(s) or organization(s) that participated in the testing:	Government authority(ies)
Q5: Context in which the testing was conducted	Group event(s) (e.g., workshop, training course, meeting)
-	
Q6: Actual case(s) of risk assessment used in the testing: N Records (e.g. http://bch.cbd.int/database/record.shtml?docun http://bch.cbd.int/database/record.shtml?documentid=104905 technical and scientific data of the actual cases of risk asses	mentid=104904 and 5) or other publicly accessible web pages containing the
Records (e.g. http://bch.cbd.int/database/record.shtml?docu	mentid=104904 and 5) or other publicly accessible web pages containing the
Records (e.g. http://bch.cbd.int/database/record.shtml?documentid=104908 technical and scientific data of the actual cases of risk assess	mentid=104904 and 5) or other publicly accessible web pages containing the sament used in the testing. https://gmo- websnif.jrc.ec.europa.eu/gmp_report_onepag.asp?

Q8: Name of the other Government:	Respondent skipped this question
Q9: Person submitting this questionnaire:	Respondent skipped this question
Q10: Institution(s) or organization(s) that participated in the testing:	Respondent skipped this question
Q11: Context in which the testing was conducted	Respondent skipped this question

Q12: Actual case(s) of risk assessment used in the testing: Note: Please enter the hyperlinks of BCH Risk Assessment Records (e.g. http://bch.cbd.int/database/record.shtml? documentid=104904 and http://bch.cbd.int/database/record.shtml?

documentid=104905) or other publicly accessible web pages containing the technical and scientific data of the actual

Respondent skipped this question

Q13: In what language was the Guidance tested?

cases of risk assessment used in the testing.

Respondent skipped this question

PAGE 4

Q14: Name of the organization:	Respondent skipped this question
Q15: Person submitting this questionnaire:	Respondent skipped this question
Q16: Institution(s) or organization(s) that participated in the testing:	Respondent skipped this question
Q17: Context in which the testing was conducted	Respondent skipped this question
Q18: Actual case(s) of risk assessment used in the testing: Note: Please enter the hyperlinks of BCH Risk Assessment Records (e.g. http://bch.cbd.int/database/record.shtml? documentid=104904 and http://bch.cbd.int/database/record.shtml? documentid=104905) or other publicly accessible web pages containing the technical and scientific data of the actual cases of risk assessment used in the testing.	Respondent skipped this question
Q19: In what language was the Guidance tested?	Respondent skipped this question

PAGE 5

Q20: Would you like to submit an evaluation of the following section of the Guidance: Part I: The Roadmap for Risk Assessment

Yes

PAGE 6

Q21: This section of the Guidance is prac	tical.1
(no label)	Agree
022: Would you like to suggest improve	nents to this section to increase its practicality? If so please indicate the line

Q22: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its practicality? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:

It would be more helpful and more practical if the flow chart for the risk assessment process which is now found in the annex at the end of the repsective section were at the very beginning of the Roadmap section. With this slight change an overview would be given for the user which would assist in the understanding of the risk assessment procedure.

Q23: This section of the Guidance is useful or has utility.2

(no label) Agree

Q24: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its usefulness/utility? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:

The content of footnote number 16 is a very important part of the guidance. Therefore, it should be included in the relevant part of the guidance, line 466.

Q25: This section of the Guidance is consistent with the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety.3

(no label) Strongly Agree

Q26: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its consistency with the Protocol? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:

Respondent skipped this question

Q27: This section of the Guidance takes into account past and present experiences with LMOs.4

(no label) Agree

Q28: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section in order to better take into account past and present experiences with LMOs? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:

How ever this part of the document provides an overall risk assessment guidance, it mainly focuses on GM plants. We are aware that Part II refers to the assessment of the specific types of LMOs and traits, but Part I should reflect more to the different types of LMOs, including GM animals, microorganisms etc.

Q29: Here you may provide further details to explain your answers in evaluating this section of the Guidance:

In our opinion the issue of non-target organisms should be highlighted more in this Part, because a wide-range of adverse effects may appear as regards the various types and levels of non-target organisms.

Regarding the handling of uncertainity the outcome of the overall risk assessment may result in two possibilities: a) the uncertainity may be dealt with risk management and/or monitoring; b) according to the precautionary approach which is the main principle of the Protocol the release of the certain LMO may be refused. This approach is only mentioned in lines 273-274. In our view more focus should be given for the above mentioned option b).

PAGE 7

Q30: Would you like to submit an evaluation of the following section of the Guidance: Part II: Specific types of LMOs or Traits - Risk assessment of LMOs with stacked genes or traits

No

Q31: This section of the Guidance is practical.1	Respondent skipped this question
Q32: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its practicality? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:	Respondent skipped this question
Q33: This section of the Guidance is useful or has utility.2	Respondent skipped this question
Q34: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its usefulness/utility? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:	Respondent skipped this question
Q35: This section of the Guidance is consistent with the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety.3	Respondent skipped this question

Q36: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its consistency with the Protocol? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:	Respondent skipped this question
Q37: This section of the Guidance takes into account past and present experiences with LMOs.4	Respondent skipped this question
Q38: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section in order to better take into account past and present experiences with LMOs? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:	Respondent skipped this question
Q39: Here you may provide further details to explain your answers in evaluating this section of the Guidance:	Respondent skipped this question

PAGE 9

Q40: Would you like to submit an evaluation of the following section of the Guidance: Part II: Specific types of LMOs or Traits - Risk assessment of LM crops with tolerance to abiotic stress

No

Q41: This section of the Guidance is practical.1	Respondent skipped this question
Q42: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its practicality? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:	Respondent skipped this question
Q43: This section of the Guidance is useful or has utility.2	Respondent skipped this question
Q44: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its usefulness/utility? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:	Respondent skipped this question
Q45: This section of the Guidance is consistent with the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety.3	Respondent skipped this question
Q46: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its consistency with the Protocol? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:	Respondent skipped this question
Q47: This section of the Guidance takes into account past and present experiences with LMOs.4	Respondent skipped this question
Q48: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section in order to better take into account past and present experiences with LMOs? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:	Respondent skipped this question
Q49: Here you may provide further details to explain your answers in evaluating this section of the Guidance:	Respondent skipped this question

Q50: Would you like to submit an evaluation of the following section of the Guidance: Part II: Specific types of LMOs or Traits - Risk assessment of LM mosquitoes

No

PAGE 12

Q51: This section of the Guidance is practical.1	Respondent skipped this question
Q52: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its practicality? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:	Respondent skipped this question
Q53: This section of the Guidance is useful or has utility.2	Respondent skipped this question
Q54: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its usefulness/utility? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:	Respondent skipped this question
Q55: This section of the Guidance is consistent with the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety.3	Respondent skipped this question
Q56: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its consistency with the Protocol? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:	Respondent skipped this question
Q57: This section of the Guidance takes into account past and present experiences with LMOs.4	Respondent skipped this question
Q58: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section in order to better take into account past and present experiences with LMOs? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:	Respondent skipped this question
Q59: Here you may provide further details to explain your answers in evaluating this section of the Guidance:	Respondent skipped this question

PAGE 13

Q60: Would you like to submit an evaluation of the following section of the Guidance: Part II: Specific types of LMOs or Traits - Risk assessment of LM trees

No

Q61: This section of the Guidance is practical.1	Respondent skipped this question
Q62: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its practicality? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:	Respondent skipped this question
Q63: This section of the Guidance is useful or has utility.2	Respondent skipped this question

Q64: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its usefulness/utility? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:	Respondent skipped this question
Q65: This section of the Guidance is consistent with the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety.3	Respondent skipped this question
Q66: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its consistency with the Protocol? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:	Respondent skipped this question
Q67: This section of the Guidance takes into account past and present experiences with LMOs.4	Respondent skipped this question
Q68: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section in order to better take into account past and present experiences with LMOs? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:	Respondent skipped this question
Q69: Here you may provide further details to explain your answers in evaluating this section of the Guidance:	Respondent skipped this question

PAGE 15

Q70: Would you like to submit an evaluation of the following
section of the Guidance: Part III: Monitoring of LMOs
Released into the Environment

No

Q71: This section of the Guidance is practical.1	Respondent skipped this question
Q72: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its practicality? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:	Respondent skipped this question
Q73: This section of the Guidance is useful or has utility.2	Respondent skipped this question
Q74: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its usefulness/utility? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:	Respondent skipped this question
Q75: This section of the Guidance is consistent with the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety.3	Respondent skipped this question
Q76: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section to increase its consistency with the Protocol? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:	Respondent skipped this question
Q77: This section of the Guidance takes into account past and present experiences with LMOs.4	Respondent skipped this question

Q78: Would you like to suggest improvements to this section in order to better take into account past and present experiences with LMOs? If so, please indicate the line numbers and explain which improvements could be made:

Q79: Here you may provide further details to explain your answers in evaluating this section of the Guidance:

Respondent skipped this question

PAGE 17

Q80: Would you like to submit an evaluation of the following section of the Guidance: Background Documents

Yes

PAGE 18

Q81: This section of the Guidance is	practical.1	
(no label)	Strongly Agree	
Q82: This section of the Guidance is	useful or has utility.2	
no label)	Strongly Agree	
Q83: This section of the Guidance is	consistent with the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety.3	
(no label)	Strongly Agree	
Q84: This section of the Guidance ta	kes into account past and present experiences with LMOs.4	
(no label)	Agree	

PAGE 19

Q85: Please use the space below if you wish to provide additional feedback regarding the testing of the Guidance on Risk Assessment of Living Modified Organisms:

Respondent skipped this question