**SYNTHESIS OF VIEWS IN RESPONSE TO PARAGRAPH 10 of   
DECISION XIII/17 ON SYNTHETIC BIOLOGY**

1. In response to paragraph 10 of decision XIII/17, the Executive Secretary issued a notification on 16 March 2017 inviting Parties, other Governments, relevant organizations and indigenous peoples and local communities to submit information and supporting documentation on the six topics referred to in the decision.
2. A total of 29 submissions were received by the Secretariat. Among the submissions, 15 were from Parties, 1 from a non-Party, and 13 from organizations.[[1]](#footnote-1)
3. Furthermore, several submissions cited or included electronic copies of documents which have already been published elsewhere. A list of such documents is annexed hereto.
4. **Research, cooperation and activities**
5. In describing their involvement in research, cooperation and activities most Parties indicated that they support the undertaking of research on synthetic biology in their countries and in some cases do so through the provision of funds to the relevant research groups. One Party also indicated that it has a database on synthetic biology projects that are being carried out in its country[[2]](#footnote-2).
6. Several described the existence of specialised scientific committees, panels and advisory boards that focus on multidisciplinary research on various aspects of synthetic biology. The submissions indicated that these panels are not only involved in the assessing the scientific and technical impacts of synthetic biology but are also mandated to research social, ethical, regulatory, and legal issues related to synthetic biology.
7. In addition, Parties are also involved, through the aforementioned committees, in holding awareness-raising activities, such as publishing reports, information notes and hosting and participating in seminars in order to encourage public and multi-stakeholder dialogues on the potential benefits and potential adverse effects of organisms, components and products of synthetic biology on biodiversity.
8. In contrast, other Parties indicated that they have limited or no capacity to carry out synthetic biology research. However, some indicated that they continue to make an effort to stay abreast of developments that occur in the field of synthetic biology through regional cooperation and participation in trainings and workshops focusing on synthetic biology.
9. **Evidence of benefits and adverse effects of synthetic biology**
10. Some submissions acknowledged that synthetic biology is a further development and new dimension of modern biotechnology. They also noted that many of the applications of synthetic biology aim at developing efficient and effective ways to respond to challenges associated with bioenergy, agriculture, health and chemical production, amongst other applications. They also listed some of the corresponding potential adverse effects that may come with the use of synthetic biology such as invasiveness, persistence, unintentional introduction into the environment and the potential of altering natural populations.
11. However, some submissions also indicated that they do not have specific evidence of benefits and adverse effects of synthetic biology vis-à-vis the three objectives of the Convention, noting that the benefits and adverse effects of synthetic biology are to be considered on a case-by-case basis using robust risk assessment methodology and implementing sound risk management procedures.
12. **Experiences in conducting risk assessments of organisms, components and products of synthetic biology**
13. In describing their experiences in conducting risk assessments of organisms, components and products of synthetic biology, including any challenges encountered, lessons learned and implications for risk assessment frameworks, several Parties pointed to their existing risk assessment methodologies that have been in place and successfully applied in conducting risk assessments of living modified organisms for several years.
14. They also indicated that as organisms become more complex with advances in modern biotechnology, adaptations have been made to their exiting risk assessment frameworks in order to perform an adequate risk assessment. They also highlighted that in the event a risk assessment of an organism developed through synthetic biology is conducted that similar adaptations can be applied in order to obtain a methodology that satisfies the requirements posed by the organism at hand given the case-by-case nature of risk assessments.
15. Other submissions, however, highlighted that given that there are specific challenges posed by synthetic biology, existing risk assessment methodologies are inadequate when applied to the risk assessment of organisms developed through synthetic biology. Some of the gaps identified include the absence of suitable comparators, the presence of multiple modifications which may interact in unknown ways resulting in unexpected outcomes and that the accelerated rate of developing organisms using synthetic biology all of which pose challenges to regulatory systems in implementing current risk assessment methodologies. As such, there may be a need for additional research and the development of revised risk assessment frameworks to address these gaps.
16. **Examples of risk management and other measures**
17. With regards to examples of risk management and other measures that have been put in place to avoid or minimize the potential adverse effects of organisms, components and products of synthetic biology, including experiences of safe use and best practices for the safe handling of organisms developed through synthetic biology, some Parties listed several avenues through which this is being achieved, as follows.
18. With respect to LMOs that that are intended for release into the environment some countries indicated that their existing biosafety legislations, which also apply to organisms developed through synthetic biology, establish specific conditions which must be met and approved by the competent national authorities prior to the release of any such organisms. In addition, a monitoring plan should be put in place prior to the release. Furthermore, monitoring plans may include inspection measures and the use of testing methods to detect unauthorized LMOs that have been released into the environment.
19. With regards to organisms developed through synthetic biology that are intended for contained use, some countries indicated that their existing biosafety legislations set out specific containment guidelines for LMOs. These guidelines outline the varying levels of containment that are required when handling different types of LMOs and what measures are to be put in place when doing so.
20. **Regulations, policies and guidelines**
21. When describing regulations, policies and guidelines in place or under development which are directly relevant to synthetic biology, several submissions noted that their existing biosafety laws for regulating LMOs have been in place and successfully applied for several years. They also explained that within their national frameworks, the biosafety laws also extend to organisms developed through synthetic biology and that there is no specific legislation for synthetic biology.
22. Parties also reiterated the importance, under such regulations, of conducting risk assessments of any LMO or organism developed through synthetic biology on a case-by-case basis.
23. Regarding products of synthetic biology, in their submissions some Parties indicated that other regulations and instruments are already in place that provide the necessary risk assessment frameworks.
24. No guidelines focusing specifically on synthetic biology, either in place or under development, were mentioned in the submissions.
25. **Knowledge, experience and perspectives of indigenous peoples and local communities**
26. In discussing the knowledge, experience and perspectives of indigenous peoples and local communities in the context of living in harmony with nature for comparison and better understanding of the potential benefits and adverse effects of synthetic biology, Parties stressed importance of indigenous peoples and local communities being actively involved in the different deliberations on synthetic biology under the Convention and its Protocols.
27. In their submissions, Parties indicated that their existing biosafety frameworks, which they also apply to organisms developed through synthetic biology, have provisions for public participation, including specific provisions for consulting indigenous peoples and local communities. Such consultations take place through various means including having a specific liaison to work closely with them where activities may have applications or implications for the natural environment.
28. One Party also noted the importance of having the Ad Hoc Technical Expert Group on Socioeconomic Considerations under the Cartagena Protocol analyse the impact of synthetic biology on “productive chains of socio-biodiversity”.
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| Haut Conseil des Biotechnologies. **Avis du Comité Scientifique en réponse à la saisine du 12 octobre 2015 concernant l’utilisation de moustiques génétiquement modifiés dans le cadre de la lutte antivectorielle.** HCB <http://www.hautconseildesbiotechnologies.fr/sites/www.hautconseildesbiotechnologies.fr/files/file_fields/2017/06/06/aviscshcbmoustiques170607.pdf> | Imperial College London |
| Haut Conseil des Biotechnologies.**Recommandation du CEES relative à la Saisine du 12 octobre 2015 sur l’utilisation de moustiques modifiés par les biotechnologies pour la lutte antivectorielle***.* HCB <http://www.hautconseildesbiotechnologies.fr/sites/www.hautconseildesbiotechnologies.fr/files/file_fields/2017/06/06/hcbceesmoustiquesrecommandation2juin2017.pdf> | Imperial College London |
| Herman RA, Price WD (2013) **Unintended compositional changes in genetically modified (GM) crops: 20 years of research.** Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 61:11695-11701. doi: 10.1021/jf400135r. | Global Industry Coalition |
| Hochkirch, A., Beninde, J., Fischer, M., Krahner, A., Lindemann, C., Matenaar, D., Rohde, K., Wagner, N., Wesch, C., Wirtz, S., Zink, A., Lötters, S., Schmitt, T., Proelss, A. and Veith, M. (2017), **License to Kill?—Disease Eradication Programs May Not be in Line with the Convention on Biological Diversity.** Conservation Letters. doi:10.1111/conl.12370 <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/conl.12370/full> | European Network Of Scientists For Social And Environmental Responsibility |
| House of Lords, Science and Technology Select Committee.**Genetically modified insects.** House of Lords <http://www.parliament.uk/business/committees/committees-a-z/lords-select/science-and-technology-committee/news-parliament-2015/gm-insects-report-published/> | Imperial College London |
| Huang, J., J. Mi, H. Lin, Z. Wang, R. Chen, R. Hu, S. Rozelle and C. Pray. 2010. **A decade of Bt cotton in Chinese fields: Assessing the direct effects and indirect externalities of Bt cotton adoption in China.** Science China: Life Sciences 53: 8: 981-991. | Center for the Study of Science and Innovation Policy |
| Hutchison, W. D., E. C. Burkness, P. D. Mitchell, et al. 2010. **Area wide suppression of European corn borer with Bt maize reaps savings to non-Bt maize growers.** Science 330: 6001: 222-225. | Center for the Study of Science and Innovation Policy |
| James, C. 2017. **Global status of commercialized biotech/GM crops: 2016.** ISAAA Brief 52. Available online at: http://www.isaaa.org/resources/publications/briefs/52/download/isaaa-brief-52-2016.pdf. | Center for the Study of Science and Innovation Policy |
| James, C. and A. F. Krattiger. 1996. **Global review of the field testing and commercialization of transgenic plants, 1986-1995: The first decade of crop biotechnology**. ISAAA Briefs 1. Ithaca, N.Y: ISAAA. | Center for the Study of Science and Innovation Policy |
| Jennifer Kuzma & Lindsey Rawls, **Engineering the Wild**: **Gene Drives and Intergenerational Equity**, 56 Jurimetrics J. 279–296 (2016) | North Carolina State University |
| Juma C (2012) **Technological abundance for global agriculture: the role of biotechnology** (March 1, 2012). HKS Working Paper No. RWP12-008. Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=2020447> or <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2020447>. | Global Industry Coalition |
| Karthikeyan A, Valarmathi R, Nandini S, Nandhakumar MR (2012) **Genetically modified crops: insect resistance,** Biotechnology 11:119-126. doi: 10.3293/biotech.2012.119.126 | Global Industry Coalition |
| Keese PK, Robold AV, Myers RC, Weisman S, Smith J (2013) **Applying a weed risk assessment approach to GM crops, Transgenic Research September.** doi:10.1007/s11248-013-9745-0. | Global Industry Coalition |
| Kljumper W, Qaim M (2014) **A meta-analysis of the impacts of genetically modified crops,** PloS ONE 9:e111629. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0111629. | Global Industry Coalition |
| Klümper, W. and M. Qaim. 2014. **A meta-analysis of the impacts of genetically modified crops.** PLOS One 9: 11: 1-7. | Center for the Study of Science and Innovation Policy |
| Koch MS, Ward JM, Levine SL, Baum JA, Vicini JL, Hammond BG (2015) **The food and environmental safety of Bt crops,** Frontiers in Plant Science 6:283. doi:10.3389/fpls.2015.00283. | Global Industry Coalition |
| Kouser, S. and M. Qaim. 2011. **Impact of Bt cotton on pesticide poisoning in smallholder agriculture: A panel data analysis.** Ecological Economics 70: 2105-2113. | Center for the Study of Science and Innovation Policy |
| Kuiken, T. (2016) **Governance: Learn from DIY biologists.** <http://www.nature.com/news/governance-learn-from-diy-biologists-1.19507> | North Carolina State University |
| Kuiken, T., Dana, G., Oye, K. et al. **Shaping ecological risk research for synthetic biology** J Environ Stud Sci (2014) 4: 191. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13412-014-0171-2> | North Carolina State University |
| Kuzma, J. (2016) **Policy: Reboot the debate on genetic engineering** <http://www.nature.com/news/policy-reboot-the-debate-on-genetic-engineering-1.19506> | North Carolina State University |
| Lapola, D. et al. 2010. **Indirect land use changes can overcome carbon savings from biofuels in Brazil.** PNAS. 107, no. 8. <http://www.pnas.org/content/107/8/3388.full> | Biofuelwatch |
| Lim L.C. (2017) **Synthetic biology and relevant international law.** TWN Biotechnology and Biosafety Series No. 18. Third World Network, Penang. | TWN |
| Ludlow, K. S. J. Smyth and J. Falck-Zepeda. 2014. **Socio-Economic Considerations in Biotechnology Regulations.** New York: Springer Publishers. | Center for the Study of Science and Innovation Policy |
| Mabubu JI, Nawaz M, Hongxia H (2016) **Advances of transgenic Bt-crops in insect pest management: An overview,** Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies 4:48-52. | Global Industry Coalition |
| Mannion AM, Morse S (2012) **Biotechnology in agriculture: agronomic and environmental considerations and reflections based on 15 years of GM crops**, Progress in Physical Geography 36:747-763. | Global Industry Coalition |
| Morrow, J. F., S. N. Cohen, A. C. Y. Chang, H. W. Boyer, H. M. Goodman and R. B. Helling. 1974. **Replication and transcription of eukaryotic DNA in *Escherichia coli.*** Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 71: 5: 1743-1747. | Center for the Study of Science and Innovation Policy |
| National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. 2016. **Genetically Engineered Crops: Experiences and Prospects.** Washington, DC: The National Academies Press. <https://doi.org/10.17226/23395>. | North Carolina State University |
| National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. 2016. **Gene Drives on the Horizon: Advancing Science, Navigating Uncertainty, and Aligning Research with Public Values.** Washington, DC: The National Academies Press. doi: 10.17226/23405 | Island Conservation |
| National Academy of Sciences Engineering and Medicine, **Gene drives on the horizon, Advancing Science, Navigating Uncertainty, and Aligning Research with Public Values***.* NASEM <https://www.science.org.au/support/analysis/reports/synthetic-gene-drives-australia-implications-emerging-technologies> | Imperial College London |
| Nicolia A, Manzo A, Veronesi F, Rosellini D (2013) **An overview of the last 10 years of crop safety research,** Critical Reviews in Biotechnology doi: 10.3109/07388551.2013.823595. | Global Industry Coalition |
| North Carolina State University (2015) **Synthetic Biology Governance: Delphi Study Workshop Report.** <https://research.ncsu.edu/ges/files/2014/04/Sloan-Workshop-Report-final-ss-081315-1.pdf> | North Carolina State University |
| Odd-Gunnar Wikmark, Trygve Brautaset, Sarah Z. Agapito-Tenfen, Arinze Stanley Okoli, Anne Ingeborg Myhr, Rosa Binimelis, Lim Li Ching (2016). **Synthetic biology – biosafety and contribution to addressing societal challenges.** Biosafety Report 2016/02 GenØk - Centre for Biosafety, Tromsø, Norway. | Democratic Republic of the Congo |
| OECD (2014), **Emerging Policy Issues in Synthetic Biology,** OECD Publishing. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/9789264208421-en>. | Democratic Republic of the Congo |
| Paarlberg R (2010) **GMO foods and crops: Africa’s choice**, New Biotechnology 27:609-613. | Global Industry Coalition |
| Park JR, Mcfarlane E, Phipps RH, Graziano Ceddia M (2010) **The role of transgenic crops in sustainable development,** Plant Biotechnology Journal 9:2-21. doi: 10.1111/j.1467- 7652.2010.00565. | Global Industry Coalition |
| Paul H, Buecking E and Steinbrecher RA. ‘**New Breeding Techniques’ and synthetic biology - genetic engineering by another name,”** The Ecologist, 4 April 2017, [http://www.theecologist.org/News/news\_analysis/2988799/new\_breeding\_techniques\_and\_ synthetic\_biology\_genetic\_engineering\_by\_another\_name.html](http://www.theecologist.org/News/news_analysis/2988799/new_breeding_techniques_and_%20synthetic_biology_genetic_engineering_by_another_name.html) | Federation of German Scientists |
| Pauwels K., Willemarck N., Breyer D., Herman P. **Synthetic Biology (2012). Latest developments, Biosafety considerations and regulatory challenges**. ISP, Brussels. [www.wiv-isp.be](http://www.wiv-isp.be) | Democratic Republic of the Congo |
| Petrenko, C. L. and Friedland, A. J. (2015), **Mineral soil carbon pool responses to forest clearing in Northeastern hardwood forests.** GCB Bioenergy, 7: 1283–1293. doi:10.1111/gcbb.12221 <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/gcbb.12221/abstract> | Biofuelwatch |
| Petrick JS, Brower-Toland B, Jackson AL, Kier LD (2013) **Safety assessment of food and feed from biotechnology-derived crops employing RNA-mediated gene regulation to achieve desired traits: a scientific review,** Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology 66:167-176. doi: 10.1016/j.yrtph.2013.03.008. | Global Industry Coalition |
| Pray, C., D. Ma, J. Huang and F. Qiao. 2001**. Impact of Bt cotton in China.** World Development 30: 6: 931-948. | Center for the Study of Science and Innovation Policy |
| Puchta H and Fauser F. **Synthetic nucleases for genome engineering in plants: prospects for a bright future.** The Plant Journal (2014) 78, 727–741, doi: 10.1111/tpj.12338 | Federation of German Scientists |
| Puchta H. **Using CRISPR/Cas in three dimensions: towards synthetic plant genomes, transcriptomes and epigenomes**. The Plant Journal (2016), doi: 10.1111/tpj.13100 | Federation of German Scientists |
| Qaim M (2010) **Benefits of genetically modified crops for the poor: household income, nutrition, and health**, New Biotechnology 27:552-557. | Global Industry Coalition |
| Reeves, R.G.; Phillipson, M. **Mass Releases of Genetically Modified Insects in Area-Wide Pest Control Programs and Their Impact on Organic Farmers**. Sustainability 2017, 9, 59. <http://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/9/1/59> | European Network Of Scientists For Social And Environmental Responsibility |
| Roberts A, Devos Y, Raybould A, Bigelow P, Gray A (2014) **Environmental risk assessment of GE plants under low-exposure conditions,** Transgenic Research 23:971–83. doi:10.1007/s11248- 013-9762-z. | Global Industry Coalition |
| Roberts A, Finardi-Filho F, Hegde S, Kiekebusch J, Klimpel G, Krieger M, Lema MA, Macdonald P, Nari C, Rubinstein C, Slutsky B, Vicien Cl (2015) **Proposed criteria for identifying GE crop plants that pose a low or negligible risk to the environment under conditions of low-level presence in seed,** Transgenic Research 24(5), Springer International Publishing: 783–90. doi:10.1007/s11248-015-9899-z. | Global Industry Coalition |
| Ronald P, Rine J (2011) **Plant genetics, sustainable agriculture and global food security,** Genetics 188:11-20. | Global Industry Coalition |
| Sanahuja G, Banakar R, Twyman RM, Capell T, Christou P (2011) **Bacillus thuringiensis: a century of research, development and commercial applications,** Plant Biotechnology Journal 9:283-300. | Global Industry Coalition |
| Sanvido O, Romeis J, Gathmann A, Gielkens M, Raybould A, Bigler F (2012) **Evaluating environmental risks of genetically modified crops: ecological harm criteria for regulatory decision-making,** Environmental Science and Policy, 15:82-91. | Global Industry Coalition |
| Schaefer KA et al. **Unexpected mutations after CRISPR–Cas9 editing in vivo.** Nature Methods (2017) May 30;14(6):547-548. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.4293 | Federation of German Scientists |
| Schaefer KA, Wu WH, Colgan DF, Tsang SH, Bassuk AG, Mahajan VB. **Unexpected mutations after CRISPR– Cas9 editing in vivo**. [Nat Methods.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28557981) 2017 May 30;14(6):547-548. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.4293. | Biofuelwatch |
| Schell, J., M. Van Montagu, M. Holsters, P. Zambryski, H. Joos, D. Inze, L. Herrera-Estrella, A. Depicker, M. De Block, A. Caplan, P. Dhaese, E. Van Haute, J. P. Hernalsteens, H. De Greve, J. Leemans, R. Deblaere, L. Willmitzer, J. Schroder and L. Otten. 1983. **Ti plasmids as experimental gene vectors for plants. In Advances in Gene Technology:** Molecular Genetics of Plants and Animals, K. Downey, R. W. Voellmy, F. Ahmad and J. Schultz (eds.). New York: Academic Press, pp. 191-220. | Center for the Study of Science and Innovation Policy |
| Schwander, T., L. Schada von Borzyskowski, S. Burgener, N. Socorro Cortina and T. J. Erb. 2016. **A synthetic pathway for the fixation of carbon dioxide in vitro.** Science 354: 6314: 900-904. | Center for the Study of Science and Innovation Policy |
| Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity (2015). **Synthetic biology,** Montreal, Technical Series No. 82, 118 pages | Democratic Republic of the Congo |
| Shin HY et al. **CRISPR/Cas9 targeting events cause complex deletions and insertions at 17 sites in the mouse genome.** Nature Communications, 31 May 2017, DOI: 10.1038/ncomms15464 | Federation of German Scientists |
| Singer, M. F. 1976. **Summary of the proposed guidelines.** American Society for Microbiology News 42: 5: 277-287. | Center for the Study of Science and Innovation Policy |
| Singh D and Mathew IL (2015) **The effect of Bacillus thuringiensis and Bt transgenics on parasitoids during biological control,** International Journal of Pure and App. Bioscience 3:123- 131. | Global Industry Coalition |
| Smolker, R. 2015. **EPA Workshop for Public Input on Considerations for Risk Assessment of Genetically Engineered Algae.** <http://www.biofuelwatch.org.uk/2015/gealgae-epa-comment/> | Biofuelwatch |
| Smolker, R. In Press. **Microalgae Biofuels: Myths and Risks.** Biofuelwatch | Biofuelwatch |
| Smyth SJ, Kerr WA, Phillips PWB (2015) **Global economic, environmental and health benefits from GM crop adoption,** Global Food Security 7:24-29. doi: 10.1016/ j.gfs.2015.10.002. | Global Industry Coalition |
| Smyth, S. J. 2017. **Genetically modified crops, regulatory delays and international trade**. Food and Energy Security 6: 2: 78-86. | Center for the Study of Science and Innovation Policy |
| Smyth, S. J. and P. W. B. Phillips. 2014**. Risk, regulation and biotechnology: The case of GM crops.** GM Crops and Food: Biotechnology in Agriculture and the Food Chain 5: 3: 170-177. | Center for the Study of Science and Innovation Policy |
| Smyth, S. J., M. Gusta, K. Belcher, P. W. B. Phillips and D. Castle. 2011b**. Environmental impacts from herbicide tolerant canola production in Western Canada.** Agricultural Systems 104: 5: 403-410. | Center for the Study of Science and Innovation Policy |
| Smyth, S. J., M. Gusta, K. Belcher, P. W. B. Phillips and D. Castle. 2011a. **Changes in herbicide use following the adoption of HR canola in Western Canada.** Weed Technology 25: 3: 492-500. | Center for the Study of Science and Innovation Policy |
| Smyth, S. J., P. W. B. Phillips and D. Castle. 2014. (Eds.) **Handbook on Agriculture, Biotechnology and Development.** Cheltenham, UK: Edward Elgar Publishing Ltd. | Center for the Study of Science and Innovation Policy |
| Snell C, Bernheim A, Berge J-B, Kuntz M, Pascal G, Paris A, Ricroch AE (2012) **Assessment of the health impact of GM plant diets in long-term and multigenerational animal feeding trials: A literature review**, Food and Chemical Toxicology 50:1134-1148. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2011.11.048>. | Global Industry Coalition |
| Steiner H-Y, Halpin C, Jez, JM, Kough J, Parrott W, Underhill L, Weber N, Hannah C (2013) **Evaluating the potential for adverse interactions within genetically engineered breeding stacks,** Plant Physiology pp.112.209817. doi:10.1104/pp.112.209817. | Global Industry Coalition |
| Subramanian, A. and M. Qaim. 2010. **The impact of Bt cotton on poor households in rural India.** Journal of Development Studies 46: 2: 295-311. | Center for the Study of Science and Innovation Policy |
| Target Malaria. **Target Malaria’s response to the NASEM recommendations on Gene drives on the horizon, Advancing Science, Navigating Uncertainty, and Aligning Research with Public Values**<http://targetmalaria.org/wp-content/uploads/pdf/target-malaria-response-to-NAS-recommendations.pdf> | Imperial College London |
| The National Academies of Sciences (USA), **Gene Drives on the Horizon: Advancing Science, Navigating Uncertainty, and Aligning Research with Public Values**, report (Washington DC, USA, 2016) <http://www.nap.edu/23405> | Federation of German Scientists |
| **The Sustainability Council of New Zealand Trust v The Environmental Protection Authority** [2014] NZHC 1067 [20 May 2014] | Sustainability Council of New Zealand |
| Towns, D.R., Atkinson, I.A.E., Daugherty, C.H., 2006. **Have the harmful effects of introduced rats on islands been exaggerated?** Biol. Invasions 8, 863–891. | Island Conservation |
| Trump, B., Cummings, C., Kuzma, J., and Linkov, I. (2017) **A decision analytic model to guide early-stage government regulatory action: Applications for synthetic biology.** Regulation & Governance, doi: [10.1111/rego.12142](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/rego.12142). | North Carolina State University |
| UK Council for Science and Technology (2014) **GM Science Update.** Available at: [https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\_data/file/292174/cst- 14-634a-gm-science-update.pdf](https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/292174/cst-%2014-634a-gm-science-update.pdf). | Global Industry Coalition |
| UNEP-WCMC (2015). **Global distribution of islands. Global Island Database (version 2.1, November 2015). Based on Open Street Map data** (© OpenStreetMap contributors). Cambridge (UK): UNEP World Conservation Monitoring Centre | Island Conservation |
| University of Bristol. 2017. **Report of the Synthetic Biology, Politics, and Philosophy Workshop.** | University of Bristol |
| US NASEM (2016) **Genetically Engineered Crops: Experiences and Prospects (2016)** National Academy Press, Washington DC. Available at: <https://www.nap.edu/catalog/23395/genetically-engineered-crops-experiences-andprospects>. | Global Industry Coalition |
| Vella, M., Gunning, C., Lloyd, A., Gould, F. **Evaluating Strategies For Reversing CRISPR-Cas9 Gene Drives** bioRxiv 144097; doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/144097> | North Carolina State University |
| Vitale, J., G. Vognan and M. Ouattarra. 2014. Cotton. Chapter 38 in S. J. Smyth, P. W. B. Phillips and D. Castle (eds.) **Handbook on Agriculture, Biotechnology and Development**, 604-620. Cheltenham, UK: Edward Elgar Publishing Ltd. | Center for the Study of Science and Innovation Policy |
| Watson, J. D. and F. H. C. Crick. 1953. **A structure for deoxyribose nucleic acid.** Nature 171: 4356: 737-738. | Center for the Study of Science and Innovation Policy |
| Wikmark, O.-G. et. al. (2016) **Synthetic biology – biosafety and contribution to addressing societal challenges,** Biosafety Report 02/16, GenØk-Centre for Biosafety, Tromsø, Norway | GenØk - Centre for Biosafety |
| Wilson AK, Latham JR and Steinbrecher RA. **Transformation-induced mutations in transgenic plants: analysis and biosafety implications,** Biotechnology and Genetic Engineering Reviews 23 (2006): 209–237 http://econexus.info/publication/transformation-induced-mutationstransgenic-plants | Federation of German Scientists |
| Windbichler et al., **A synthetic homing endonuclease-based gene drive system in the human malaria mosquito.** Nature <http://europepmc.org/articles/PMC3093433> | Imperial College London |
| Woodrow Wilson Center (2015) **U.S. Trends In Synthetic Biology Research Funding**<https://www.wilsoncenter.org/sites/default/files/final_web_print_sept2015_0.pdf> | North Carolina State University |
| Wright, C. and Wimberley, M.C. 2012. **Recent land use change in the Western corn belt threatens grasslands and wetlands.** PNAS vol 110, no. 10. <http://www.pnas.org/content/110/10/4134.abstract> | Biofuelwatch |
| Yaqoob H, Shahid AA, Samiullah TR, Rao AQ, Khan MA, Tahir S, Mirza SA, Husnain T (2016) **Risk assessment of Bt crops on the non-target plant-associated insects and soil organisms,** Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture96:2613-2619 doi: 10.1002/jsfa.7661. | Global Industry Coalition |
| Yorobe, J. M., and M. Smale. 2012. **Impacts of Bt maize on smallholder income in the Philippines.** AgBioForum 15: 2: 152-162. | Center for the Study of Science and Innovation Policy |
| Zentrale Kommission für die Biologische Sicherheit.**Position statement of the ZKBS [Central Committee on Biological Safety] on the classification of genetic engineering operations for the production and use of higher organisms using recombinant gene drive systems.** ZKBS <http://www.zkbs-online.de/ZKBS/SharedDocs/Downloads/02_Allgemeine_Stellungnahmen_englisch/01_general_subjects/Recombinant%20gene%20drive%20systems%20(2016).pdf?__blob=publicationFile&v=3> | Imperial College London |
| Zheng-jun G, Shun-bao L, Zheng-Ping G, Biao L, Wei W (2016) **Do genetically modified plants affect adversely on soil microbial communities?** Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment 235:289-305. doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2016.10.026. | Global Industry Coalition |

1. The notification and submissions are available online at <http://bch.cbd.int/synbio/submissions/2017-2018.shtml>. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. http://www.biosintetica.mx/ [↑](#footnote-ref-2)